

San Bernardino Associated Governments

1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92410 Phone: (909) 884-8276 Fax: (909) 885-4407 www.sanbag.ca.gov



•San Bernardino County Transportation Commission •San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

•San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency •Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

AGENDA Plans and Programs Policy Committee

August 20, 2008, 12:00 p.m.

Please note that the meeting time has been changed back to NOON.

SANBAG Offices 1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Fl. San Bernardino, CA 92410

Plans and Programs Committee Membership

<u>Chair</u> Mark Nuaimi, Mayor City of Fontana

<u>East Valley Representatives</u> Bea Cortes, Council Member City of Grand Terrace

Richard Riddell, Mayor City of Yucaipa

Larry McCallon, Council Member City of Highland

<u>West Valley Representatives</u> Diane Williams, Mayor Pro Tem Rancho Cucamonga <u>Vice Chair</u> Paul Eaton, Mayor City of Montclair

Mountain/Desert Representatives
Bill Jahn, Mayor Pro Tem
Big Bear Lake

Rick Roelle, Mayor Town of Apple Valley

William Neeb, Council Member Town of Yucca Valley

<u>San Bernardino County</u> Brad Mitzelfelt, Supervisor

Paul Biane, Supervisor

Dennis Hansberger, Supervisor

Josie Gonzalez, Supervisor

Gary Ovitt, Supervisor

San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) is a council of governments formed in 1973 by joint powers agreement of the cities and the County of San Bernardino. SANBAG is governed by a Board of Directors consisting of a mayor or designated council member from each of the twenty-four cities in San Bernardino County and the five members of the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors.

In addition to SANBAG, the composition of the SANBAG Board of Directors also serves as the governing board for several separate legal entities listed below:

The San Bernardino County Transportation Commission, which is responsible for short and long range transportation planning within San Bernardino County, including coordination and approval of all public mass transit service, approval of all capital development projects for public transit and highway projects, and determination of staging and scheduling of construction relative to all transportation improvement projects in the Transportation Improvement Program.

The San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, which is responsible for administration of the voter-approved half-cent transportation transactions and use tax levied in the County of San Bernardino.

The Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies, which is responsible for the administration and operation of a motorist aid system of call boxes on State freeways and highways within San Bernardino County.

The Congestion Management Agency, which analyzes the performance level of the regional transportation system in a manner which ensures consideration of the impacts from new development and promotes air quality through implementation of strategies in the adopted air quality plans.

As a Subregional Planning Agency, SANBAG represents the San Bernardino County subregion and assists the Southern California Association of Governments in carrying out its functions as the metropolitan planning organization. SANBAG performs studies and develops consensus relative to regional growth forecasts, regional transportation plans, and mobile source components of the air quality plans.

Items which appear on the monthly Board of Directors agenda are subjects of one or more of the listed legal authorities. For ease of understanding and timeliness, the agenda items for all of these entities are consolidated on one agenda. Documents contained in the agenda package are clearly marked with the appropriate legal entity.

San Bernardino Associated Governments
County Transportation Commission
County Transportation Authority
Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies
County Congestion Management Agency

Plans and Programs Policy Committee August 20, 2008 12:00 p.m.

LOCATION:

San Bernardino Associated Governments 1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Floor, San Bernardino The Super Chief

<u>CALL TO ORDER - 12:00 p.m.</u> (Meeting chaired by Mayor Mark Nuaimi)

- I. Attendance
- II. Announcements
- III. Agenda Notices/Modifications

1. Possible Conflict of Interest Issues for the SANBAG Plans and Programs Pg. 7 Meeting of August 20, 2008

Note agenda item contractors, subcontractors and agents which may require member abstentions due to conflict of interest and financial interests. Member abstentions shall be stated and recorded on the appropriate item in the minute summary for each month.

Consent Calendar

Consent Calendar items shall be adopted by a single vote unless removed by Board member request. Items pulled from the consent calendar will be brought up at the end of the agenda.

2. Plans and Programs Attendance Roster

Pg. 8

A quorum shall consist of a majority of the membership of each SANBAG Policy Committee, except that all County Representatives shall be counted as one for the purpose of establishing a quorum.

Notes/Action

Discussion Calendar

Regional Quality of Life Programs

- 3. Additional Financial Commitment to the Environmental Justice and Pg. 10 Community Outreach Study
 - 1) Approve SANBAG Contract Number 07-207-01 for an additional \$2,000 contribution to the Environmental Justice and Community Outreach Study
 - 2) Approve an amendment to the FY 2008/2009 budget, increasing Task No. 11109000 by \$2,000 Ryan Graham

Project Development Program

4. Amendment No. 1 to Contract No. 06-019 with Parsons, Brinkerhoff, Pg. 15 Quade and Douglas to extend the period of performance.

Approve Amendment No 1 to Contract No. 06-019 with Parsons, Brinkerhoff, Quade and Douglas extending the period of performance to September 20, 2010 Garry Cohoe

This item will be reviewed by the Plans and Programs Committee on August 20, 2008. SANBAG Counsel has reviewed and approved this amendment as to form. Finance has reviewed and approved the Contract Summary Sheet.

Subregional Transportaion, Planning & Programming Program

5. Amendment No. 1 to Contract 06-029 with Fluor Corporation on the Pg. 19 Development of the Measure I 2010-2040 Strategic Plan

Approve Amendment No. 1 to Contract 06-029 with Fluor Corporation to extend the period of performance for the Measure I 2010-2040 Strategic Plan effort. **Steve Smith**

This item will be reviewed by the Plans and Programs Policy Committee on August 20, 2008 and has been approved as to form by County Counsel.

Subregional Transportaion, Planning & Programming Program Cont...

- 6. Proposition 1B Trade Corridor Improvement Funds (TCIF) Baseline Pg. 23
 Agreements for seven (7) Grade Separation Projects and three (3)
 Highway Projects.
 - 1. Approve the Following Proposition 1B Trade Corridor Improvement Funds (TCIF) Draft Baseline:
 - a. I-10 Logistics Access Project Cherry Interchange. (Contract No. C09013 \$30,773,000)
 - b. I-10 Logistics Access Project Citrus Interchange. (Contract No. C09014 \$23,601,000)
 - c. I-15 Widening and Devore Interchange. (Contract No. C09015 \$119,069,000)
 - d. South Milliken Ave. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09016 \$8,031,000)
 - e. North Milliken Ave. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09017 \$6,490,000)
 - f. Vineyard Ave. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09018 \$6,884,000)
 - g. Lenwood Rd. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09019 \$6,694,000)
 - h. Glen Helen Pkwy. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09020 \$7,172,000)
 - i. Palm Ave. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09021 \$9,390,000)
 - j. Valley Blvd. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09022 \$7,658,000)
 - 2. Authorize the Executive Director to execute the final TCIF Baseline Agreements including technical and administrative changes that may be necessary following CTC staff review. Should any policy issues arise, the Executive Director will consult with Board Officers. Philip Chu

This item will be reviewed by the Plans and Programs Policy Committee on August 20, 2008. The agreement is being reviewed by SANBAG counsel.

7. Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF) Funding Agreement Pg. 99 between SANBAG and Local Sponsors.

Approve the following funding agreements pertaining to TCIF projects:

- 1. Palm Ave. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09063)
- 2. Glen Helen Pkwy. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09064)
- 3. South Milliken Ave. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09065)
- 4. North Milliken Ave. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09066)
- 5. Vineyard Ave. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09067)
- 6. Valley Blvd. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09068)
- 7. I-10 Logistics Access Project Cherry Interchange. (Contract No. C09069)
- 8. I-10 Logistics Access Project Citrus Interchange. (Contract No. C09070)
- 8. Quarterly Administrative Report on SANBAG Federal Funding Programs Pg. 147
 - 1) Receive report on quarterly reporting and obligation status.
 - 2) Adopt a finding of compliance with obligation requirements for all affected agencies. **Ty Schuiling**

Public Comments

Items under this heading will be referred to staff for further study, research, completion and/or future actions.

- 9. Additional Items from Committee Members
- 10. Brief Comments by General Public
- 11. Acronym List

Pg. 152

ADJOURNMENT

Complete packages of this agenda are available for public review at the SANBAG offices. Staff reports for items may be made available upon request. For additional information call (909) 884-8276 and ask for Joanne Cook.

The next Plans and Programs Meeting is September 17, 2008.

Meeting Procedures and Rules of Conduct

Meeting Procedures

The Ralph M. Brown Act is the state law which guarantees the public's right to attend and participate in meetings of local legislative bodies. These rules have been adopted by the Board of Directors in accordance with the Brown Act, Government Code 54950 et seq., and shall apply at all meetings of the Board of Directors and Policy Committees.

Accessibility

The SANBAG meeting facility is accessible to persons with disabilities. If assistive listening devices or other auxiliary aids or services are needed in order to participate in the public meeting, requests should be made through the Clerk of the Board at least three (3) business days prior to the Board meeting. The Clerk's telephone number is (909) 884-8276 and office is located at 1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Floor, San Bernardino, CA.

Agendas – All agendas are posted at 1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Floor, San Bernardino at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting, Staff reports related to agenda items may be reviewed at the SANBAG offices located at 1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Floor, San Bernardino and our website: www.sanbag.ca.gov.

Agenda Actions – Items listed on both the "Consent Calendar" and "Items for Discussion" contain suggested actions. The Board of Directors will generally consider items in the order listed on the agenda. However, items may be considered in any order. New agenda items can be added and action taken by two-thirds vote of the Board of Directors.

<u>Closed Session Agenda Items</u> – Consideration of closed session items *excludes* members of the public. These items include issues related to personnel, pending litigation, labor negotiations and real estate negotiations. Prior to each closed session, the Chair will announce the subject matter of the closed session. If action is taken in closed session, the Chair may report the action to the public at the conclusion of the closed session.

Public Testimony on an Item — Members of the public are afforded an opportunity to speak on any listed item. Individuals wishing to address the Board of Directors or Policy Committee Members should complete a "Request to Speak" form, provided at the rear of the meeting room, and present it to the Clerk prior to the Board's consideration of the item. A "Request to Speak" form must be completed for each item an individual wishes to speak on. When recognized by the Chair, speakers should be prepared to step forward and announce their name and address for the record. In the interest of facilitating the business of the Board, speakers are limited to three (3) minutes on each item. Additionally, a twelve (12) minute limitation is established for the total amount of time any one individual may address the Board at any one meeting. The Chair or a majority of the Board may establish a different time limit as appropriate, and parties to agenda items shall not be subject to the time limitations.

The Consent Calendar is considered a single item, thus the three (3) minute rule applies. Consent Calendar items can be pulled at Board member request and will be brought up individually at the specified time in the agenda allowing further public comment on those items.

Agenda Times – The Board is concerned that discussion take place in a timely and efficient manner. Agendas may be prepared with estimated times for categorical areas and certain topics to be discussed. These times may vary according to the length of presentation and amount of resulting discussion on agenda items.

<u>Public Comment</u> — At the end of the agenda, an opportunity is also provided for members of the public to speak on any subject within the Board's authority. *Matters raised under "Public Comment" may not be acted upon at that meeting. "Public Testimony on any Item" still apply.*

<u>Disruptive Conduct</u> — If any meeting of the Board is willfully disrupted by a person or by a group of persons so as to render the orderly conduct of the meeting impossible, the Chair may recess the meeting or order the person, group or groups of person willfully disrupting the meeting to leave the meeting or to be removed from the meeting. Disruptive conduct includes addressing the Board without first being recognized, not addressing the subject before the Board, repetitiously addressing the same subject, failing to relinquish the podium when requested to do so, or otherwise preventing the Board from conducting its meeting in an orderly manner. *Please be aware that a NO SMOKING policy has been established for meetings. Your cooperation is appreciated!*

SANBAG General Practices for Conducting Meetings of Board of Directors and Policy Committees

Basic Agenda Item Discussion.

- The Chair announces the agenda item number and states the subject.
- The Chair calls upon the appropriate staff member or Board Member to report on the item.
- The Chair asks members of the Board/Committee if they have any questions or comments on the item. General discussion ensues.
- The Chair calls for public comment based on "Request to Speak" forms which may be submitted.
- Following public comment, the Chair announces that public comment is closed and asks if there is any further discussion by members of the Board/Committee.
- The Chair calls for a motion from members of the Board/Committee.
- Upon a motion, the Chair announces the name of the member who makes the motion. Motions require a second by a member of the Board/Committee. Upon a second, the Chair announces the name of the Member who made the second, and the vote is taken.

The Vote as specified in the SANBAG Bylaws.

- Each member of the Board of Directors shall have one vote. In the absence of the official representative, the alternate shall be entitled to vote. (Board of Directors only.)
- Voting may be either by voice or roll call vote. A roll call vote shall be conducted upon the demand of five official representatives present, or at the discretion of the presiding officer.

Amendment or Substitute Motion.

- Occasionally a Board Member offers a substitute motion before the vote on a previous motion. In instances where there is a motion and a second, the maker of the original motion is asked if he would like to amend his motion to include the substitution or withdraw the motion on the floor. If the maker of the original motion does not want to amend or withdraw, the substitute motion is not addressed until after a vote on the first motion.
- Occasionally, a motion dies for lack of a second.

Call for the Question.

- At times, a member of the Board/Committee may "Call for the Question."
- Upon a "Call for the Question," the Chair may order that the debate stop or may allow for limited further comment to provide clarity on the proceedings.
- Alternatively and at the Chair's discretion, the Chair may call for a vote of the Board/Committee to determine whether or not debate is stopped.
- The Chair re-states the motion before the Board/Committee and calls for the vote on the item.

The Chair.

- At all times, meetings are conducted in accordance with the Chair's direction.
- These general practices provide guidelines for orderly conduct.
- From time-to-time circumstances require deviation from general practice.
- Deviation from general practice is at the discretion of the Board/Committee Chair.

Courtesy and Decorum.

- These general practices provide for business of the Board/Committee to be conducted efficiently, fairly and with full participation.
- It is the responsibility of the Chair and Members to maintain common courtesy and decorum.



San Bernardino Associated Governments

1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Fl, San Bernardino, CA 92410 Phone: (909) 884-8276 Fax: (909) 885-4407 Web: www.sanbag.ca.gov



•San Bernardino County Transportation Commission •San Bernardino County Transportation Authority
•San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency •Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

Minute Action

AGENDA	ITEM:	1

Date:

August 20, 2008

Subject:

Information Relative to Possible Conflict of Interest

Recommendation*:

Note agenda items and contractors/subcontractors which may require

member abstentions due to possible conflicts of interest.

Background:

In accordance with California Government Code 84308, members of the Board of Directors may not participate in any action concerning a contract where they have received a campaign contribution of more than \$250 in the prior twelve months from an entity or individual. This agenda contains recommendations for action relative to the following contractors:

Item No.	Contract No.	Contractor/Agents	Subcontractors
5	06-019-01	Parsons, Brinkerhoff, Quade & Douglas David A. Thomas, President	Earth Mechanics STB Landscape Architects
6	06-029-01	Fluor Corporation Jim Beers, Fluor Director	N/A

Financial Impact:

This item has no direct impact on the 2008/2009 Budget.

Reviewed By:

This item is prepared monthly for review by the Board of Directors and

policy committee members.

Responsibility Staff: Ty Schuiling, Director of Planning and Programming

Plans	Approved and Programs Com	ımittee
D)ate:	_
Moved:	2	Second:
In Favor:	Opposed:	Abstained:
Witnessed:		

AGENDA ITEM: 2

PLANS & PROGRAMS POLICY COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE RECORD - 2007

Name	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
									the state of the s			
Richard Riddell City of Yucaipa	X			×	Cancelled	×	Cancelled	X	×	×	×	X
Bea Cortes City of Grand Terrace	X	X	X	X	Cancelled		Cancelled	X	X	X		X
Larry McCallon City of Highland	X	X	X	X	Cancelled		Cancelled	X	X	X		Х
Paul Eaton Chair City of Montclair		X	X	X	Cancelled	X	Cancelled	X	X	×		X
Mark Nuaimi City of Fontana	X	X	X	X	Cancelled	X	Cancelled	X		×	×	×
Diane Williams City of Rancho Cucamonga	X	X	X	×	Cancelled	X	Cancelled	X	X	×	×	×
Kevin Cole City of Twentynine Palms	X			X	Cancelled	X	Cancelled					
Bill Jahn Bio Bear Lake												×
Rick Roelle Town of Apple Valley	X	Х	×	×	Cancelled		Cancelled		×	×	×	
Chad Mayes Town of Yucca Valley		×	×	×	Cancelled		Cancelled	X	X			X
ACTIVITIES OF THE WORLD CONTRACTOR												
Gary Ovitt Board of Supervisors	×		×	×	Cancelled		Cancelled	×	×	×		x
Josie Gonzales Board of Supervisors	×			×	Cancelled	×	Cancelled	×		×		
Dennis Hansberger Board of Supervisors	X			X	Cancelled	X	Cancelled	×	×	×	×	×
Paul Biane Board of Supervisors	×		Х	X	Cancelled	X	Cancelled	×	×			×
Brad Mitzelfelt Board of Supervisors	х		X		Cancelled	×	Cancelled			×		×

X = Member attended meeting. * = Alternate member attended meeting. Empty box = Member did not attend meeting Crossed out box = Not a member at the time. ppcatt07.doc

AGENDA ITEM: 2

PLANS & PROGRAMS POLICY COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE RECORD - 2008

Name	Ian	Feh	March	Anril	Mav	Imp	Talv	Апо	Sent	Oct	Nov	Dec
The Asset of the A												
Richard Riddell City of Yucaipa	X	X	X	×	Cancelled	X	X					
Bea Cortes City of Grand Terrace	X	X	X	X	Cancelled							
Larry McCallon City of Highland	X		X	X	Cancelled		X					
AND BOSE OF AND LEAD												
Paul Eaton Chair City of Montclair	X	X	X	X	Cancelled	×	X					
Mark Nuaimi City of Fontana	X		X	Х	Cancelled	X	X					
Diane Williams City of Rancho Cucamonga	×	X	X	X	Cancelled	X	Х					
Bill Jahn Big Bear Lake	X	X			Cancelled							
Rick Roelle Town of Apple Valley	X	X	X		Cancelled	X	X					
Chad Mayes Town of Yucca Valley					Cancelled							
William Neeb Town of Yucca Valley					Carcallet	×	X					_
Gary Ovitt Board of Supervisors	X			Х	Cancelled	×	×					
Josie Gonzales Board of Supervisors	X		×	×	Cancelled	×	×					
Dennis Hansberger Board of Supervisors	X		X		Cancelled		X					
Paul Biane Board of Supervisors	X		X		Cancelled	×						
Brad Mitzelfelt Board of Supervisors	×		×	×	Cancelled	×						
X = Member attended meeting.ppcatt08.doc		ernate memb	* = Alternate member attended meeting.		Empty box = Member did not attend meeting	fember did no	ot attend meet		sed out box =	Not a memb	Crossed out box = Not a member at the time.	f 1

Empty box = Member did not attend meeting * = Alternate member attended meeting. X = Member attended meeting. ppcatt08.doc



San Bernardino Associated Governments

1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Fl, San Bernardino, CA 92410 Phone: (909) 884-8276 Fax: (909) 885-4407 Web: www.sanbag.ca.gov



•San Bernardino County Transportation Commission •San Bernardino County Transportation Authority •San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency •Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

Minute Action

	AGENDA ITE	M:3
Date:	August 20, 2008	
Subject:	Additional Financial Commit Outreach Study	ment to the Environmental Justice and Community
Recommendation:*	contribution to the Environ	ract Number 07-207-01 for an additional \$2,000 nmental Justice and Community Outreach Study to the FY 2008/2009 budget, increasing Task
Background:	development of a Multi-Cour Southern California. The Acon a phased strategy to main within Southern California, a impacts of freight movement system, and the environment. As a follow-on effort of the Caltrans awarded the Riversi Environmental Justice Contessolutions to the community otherwise would be possible grant is being used to establish	ing at both technical and management levels in the sty Goods Movement Action Plan (Action Plan) for tion Plan will ultimately reflect regional agreement attain mobility for freight movement to, from, and and also will determine how best to minimize the con local communities, the existing transportation the Multi-County Goods Movement Action Plan, de County Transportation Commission (RCTC) and ext Sensitive Planning Grant to identify potential impacts of goods movement in greater depth than with the funding available in the Action Plan. The ha framework for addressing environmental justice impact of goods movement projects on sensitive
*		Approved
		Approved Plans and Programs Policy Committee
		Date: <u>August 20, 2008</u>
		Moved: Second:
		In Favor: Opposed: Abstained:
		Witnessed

ppc0808a-rpg.doc Attachment: C07207-01 11109000 Plans and Programs Agenda Item August 20, 2008 Page 2

communities throughout a five county study area in Southern California and will supplement the efforts of the Action Plan.

RCTC is the lead agency for the project and is administering the consultant contract. When SANBAG's participation in the project was approved by the Board, four of the agencies participating in the study, the Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LAMTA), Orange County Transportation Agency (OCTA), RCTC, and SANBAG contributed \$6,000 each toward the study for the purposes of providing the local match for the Caltrans Environmental Justice Grant. SANBAG's contribution to the project was approved by the Board at the April 2007 Board of Directors Meeting.

Subsequent to the Board approval of SANBAG's financial contribution to the project, OCTA has opted out of the study. The reason cited by OCTA for opting out of the project is that targeting less-represented groups is inequitable, since equivalent outreach is not occurring to communities that are not viewed to be disadvantaged. It should be pointed out that input on the project will be accepted from any interested party, but the project is focusing on communities most directly impacted by goods movement, and these often tend to be economically disadvantaged communities by nature of their location. The departure of OCTA from the environmental justice goods movement project has resulted in a loss of \$6,000 that was intended to be OCTA's contribution to the local match required for the project. Each of the three agencies—LAMTA, RCTC and SANBAG—are being asked to provide an additional \$2,000 to make up for the loss of the OCTA contribution to the project.

With OCTA's departure from the study, Orange County will no longer be included in the environmental justice goods movement study. The consultant resources that were previously identified for work that would have occurred in Orange County are being redirected to augment the efforts underway in Los Angeles, Riverside and San Bernardino. The additional resources will be targeted at extra mapping and the final environmental justice goods movement guidebook.

Financial Impact:

The FY 2008/2009 budget, TN 11109000, will be increased by \$2,000 in Traffic Management and Environmental Enhancement funds for this project. This item has been approved as to form by County Counsel.

Reviewed By:

This item will be reviewed by the Plans and Programs Committee on August 20, 2008.

Responsible Staff:

Ryan Graham, Transportation Planning Analyst

ppc0808a-rpg.doc Attachment: C07207-01 11109000

SANBAG Contract No. 07-027-01

by and between

San Bernardino Associated Governments

and

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority and Riverside County Transportation Commission

for

Environmental Justice Goods Movement Funding Agreement

	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	100	NG PURPOS	SES ONLY	<u>zemei</u>	<u></u>
□ Payable	Vendor Con	tract #		Retention:		☐ Original
Receivable	Vendor ID <u>B</u>	CTC		 	⊠ No	
Notes:		- 30-24-11	7			
Original Construents	Φ 0 000	Previo	us Amendme	nts Total:		\$ <u>0</u>
Original Contract:	\$ <u>6,000</u>	Previo	us Amendme	nts Contingency	Total:	\$ <u>0</u>
Contingonous Amounts	Ф О	Currer	nt Amendmen	t:		\$ <u>2,000</u>
Contingency Amount:	\$ <u>0</u>	Currer	nt Amendmen	t Contingency:		\$ <u>0</u>
Contingency Amount requires	specific authoriza	ation by Task N	Manager prior to r	elease.		
			Cont	ract TOTAL →	\$ <u>8,0</u>	000
		↓ Please	include funding a	allocation for the orig	inal con	tract or the amendment.
<u>Task</u>	Cost Code	Funding Se	ources (Grant ID	Am	<u>ounts</u>
11109000	<u>5011</u>	TMEE	-		\$ <u>8,</u>	
			•	<u> </u>		
			-		\$_ \$	
Original Board Approved	1 Contract Da	te: 4/4/07	Contrac	ct Start: <u>4/4/07</u>		ntract End: 6/31/08
New Amend. Approval (9/3/08		Start: 9/3/08		end. End: <u>6/31/08</u>
			·			
If this is a multi-year contract/amendment, please allocate budget authority among approved budget authority and future fiscal year(s)-unbudgeted obligations:						
Approved Budget F Authority →	iscal Year: \$		Future F Unbudg	Fiscal Year(s) – eted Obligation	· → :	\$
Is this consistent with the If yes, which Task in	cludes budge	t authority?				
If no, has the budge		PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR				
The second secon			T MANAGEN	MENT :		### 137 ### 137
Please mark an "X" ne						
			-Local [Local P	artly L	ocal
Disadvantaged Business	<u>`</u>	⊠No □	/es%			
Task Manager: Ty Sch	illing		Contrac	ot Manager: Rya	n Gral	ham , , , ,
Wille St	Z1.	8/13/)22 /S			> 8/13/09
Task Manager Signature	e 1	Date	Contra	ict Manager Sigi	nature	Date
CAST -		8/13/0	<u> </u>			
Chief Financial Officer S	Signature	Date				

AMENDMENT NO. 1

TO

THE RCTC FUNDING AGREEMENT NO. 07-67-071 SANABG CONTRACT NO. 07-207 BY AND AMONG

THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION AND SAN BERNARDINO ASSOCIATED GOVERNMENTS

PARTIES AND DATE

This Amendment No. 1 to the Funding Agreement ("Amendment No. 1") is made and entered into as of June 11, 2008 by and among the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority ("LACMTA"), Riverside County Transportation Commission ("RCTC"), and San Bernardino Associated Governments ("SANBAG"). LACMTA and SANBAG may also be referred to herein individually as "CTC" and collectively as "CTCs".

2. RECITALS

- 2.1 RCTC, LACMTA, SANBAG and the Orange County Transportation Authority ("OCTA") have entered into a Funding Agreement dated July 11, 2007 (Agreement No. 07-67-071) (the "Funding Agreement") for the purpose of setting forth the duties, rights, responsibilities and obligations of the parties for providing funding in order for RCTC to retain a Consultant to perform the services as set forth in the Funding Agreement.
- 2.2 OCTA has opted out of the Funding Agreement and will not be contributing its share of local funds as identified in Exhibit "B" of the Funding Agreement.
- 2.3 To address the funding gap resulting from OCTA's decision not to continue its participation in the Funding Agreement, RCTC and the CTCs now desire to amend the Funding Agreement in order to provide additional funding for the continued provision of the services as set forth in the Funding Agreement.

TERMS

3.1 RCTC and the CTCs hereby amend and modify the Funding Agreement to provide that in addition to the funding obligations set forth in the Funding Agreement, RCTC and the CTCs shall, pursuant to this Amendment No. 1, each contribute an additional Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000) of match funds for the continued provision of Environmental Justice Analysis and Community Outreach Services, as further described in the Funding Agreement.

- 3.2 Payment of the additional funds as specified in this Amendment No. 1 shall be made by the CTCs to RCTC as set forth in the Funding Agreement.
- 3.3 Except as amended by this Amendment No. 1, all provisions of the Funding Agreement, including without limitations the indemnity and insurance provisions, shall remain in full force and effect and shall govern the actions of the parties under this Amendment.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Amendment No. 1 to be executed by their respective officers, duly authorized.

	RSIDE COUNTY NSPORTATION COMMISSION	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Ву:	Jeff Stone, Chairman	By: Best Best & Krieger LLP Counsel to the Riverside County Transportation Commission
	Date:	Date:
	ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN NSPORTATION AUTHORITY	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Ву:	Roger Snoble Chief Executive Officer	By: Raymond G. Fortner, Jr. County Counsel
	Date:	Date:
	BERNARDINO ASSOCIATED ERNMENTS	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Ву:	Gary Ovitt, President	By:
	Date:	Date:



San Bernardino Associated Governments

1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Fl, San Bernardino, CA 92410 Phone: (909) 884-8276 Fax: (909) 885-4407 Web: www.sanbag.ca.gov



•San Bernardino County Transportation Commission •San Bernardino County Transportation Authority
•San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency •Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

Minute Action

	AGENDA ITEM: _	4
Date:	August 20, 2008	
Subject:	Amendment No. 1 to Contra Quade and Douglas to extend	act No. 06-019 with Parsons, Brinkerhoff, the period of performance.
Recommendation:*		to Contract No. 06-019 with Parsons, las extending the period of performance to
Background:	contract. In order to comple Flow Lane Addition Projects, must be extended. This con	te the As-built drawings for the I-10 Mixed the period of performance for this contract tract was awarded by SANBAG Board in construction support services for freeway fixed Flow Lane Addition.
Financial Impact:	There is no financial impact as	sociated with this item.
Reviewed By:	This item will be reviewed by the Plans and Programs Committee on August 20, 2008. SANBAG Counsel has reviewed and approved this amendment as to form. Finance has reviewed and approved the Contract Summary Sheet.	
Responsible Staff:	Garry Cohoe, Director of Free	way Construction
		Approved
		Plans and Programs Committee
		Date: August 20, 2008
		Moved: Second:

In Favor:

Witnessed:

Opposed:

Abstained:

SANBAG Contract No. 06-019-01

by and between

the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

and

Parsons, Brinkerhoff, Quade and Douglas

for

Construction Support Services for the I-10 Mixed Flow Lane Addition Projects

	LEORYAGE	ounting:pur	Postestonly :	
☑ Payable	Vendor Contrac	t # <u>12680</u>	Retention:	☐ Original
Receivable	Vendor ID PBQ	<u>DI</u>	☐ Yes%	⊠ No ⊠ Amendment
Notes: Time Extension	Amendment Only			•
O i i a l O a missa di	¢ 200 000	Previous Amend	dments Total:	\$
Original Contract:	\$ <u>300,000</u>	Previous Amend	dments Contingency	/ Total: \$
O the second of the second	œ	Current Amenda	ment:	\$ <u>0.00</u>
Contingency Amount:	\$	Current Amenda	ment Contingency:	\$
Contingency Amount requires	specific authorization	by Task Manager prid	or to release.	
			Contract TOTAL ->	\$ <u>300,000</u>
		◆ Please include fun	ding allocation for the ori	ginal contract or the amendment.
<u>Task</u>		nding Sources	Grant ID	<u>Amounts</u>
860D6019	<u>5553</u> <u>MS</u>	<u>SI</u>	<u>1300</u>	<u>N/A</u>
				\$
				\$
				<u> </u>
Original Board Approved			ntract Start: <u>9/7/05</u>	Contract End: <u>9/7/08</u>
New Amend. Approval (Board) Date:	<u>9/3/08</u> Am	end. Start: <u>9/3/08</u>	Amend. End: <u>9/30/10</u>
If this is a multi-year c budget authority and f				ity among approved
	iscal Year: <u>NA</u>	•	obligations. ure Fiscal Year(s) -	_
Authority →	\$		oudgeted Obligatio	
Is this consistent with th	e adopted budge	t? ⊠Yes	□No	
If yes, which Task in	_		_	•
If no, has the budge		NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.	Name and the second second second second second second second	
		PUEAVACKURINNAKNA	GeMenn, **	
Please mark an "X" ne				
☐ Intergovernmental	⊠ Private	☐ Non-Local	Local _	Partly Local
Disadvantaged Busines		lo 🔲 Yes	%	
Task Manager: Garry C	ohoe	Co	ntract Manager: An	drea Nieto
Samy (6/13/08	Tunk	6/13/08
Task Manager Signatur	<u>e</u>		ontract Manager Si	
(pros		6/12/08		
Chief Financial Officer S	Signature	Date		
Filename: A0601901-A	N.docx			

SANBAG Contract No. 06-019

Amendment No. 1

By And Between

San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

And

Parsons, Brinkerhoff, Quade and Douglas

For

Construction Support Services for I-10 Mixed Flow Lane Addition Project

This AMENDMENT No. 1 to CONTRACT No. 06-019 entered into as of this 3rd day of September of 2008 by the firm of Parsons, Brinkerhoff, Quade and Douglas, (hereafter called CONSULTANT) and the San Bernardino Associated Governments/San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (hereafter called SANBAG):

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, SANBAG, under SANBAG Contract 06-019, has engaged the services of CONSULTANT to provide construction support services for I-10 Mixed Flow Lane Addition; and

WHEREAS, the parties desire to amend the aforesaid contract to extend the completion date for CONSULTANT services.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties do mutually agree to amend Contract No. 06-019 as follows:

- 1. Article 2.1. The Period of Performance by CONSULTANT under this Contract shall be extended to September 30, 2010, or until work is completed, whichever occurs earlier.
- 2. Except as amended by this amendment, all other provisions and terms of Contract No. 06-019 remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the authorized parties have below signed:

San Bernardino Associated Governments

Date:

By:	Gary C. Ovitt, President SANBAG Board of Directors	Ву:	Ron Hartje PBQ&D California Business Manager
Date:		Date:	
Appro	eved as to form:		
Ву:	Jean-Rene Basle SANBAG Counsel		



San Bernardino Associated Governments

1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Fl, San Bernardino, CA 92410 Phone: (909) 884-8276 Fax: (909) 885-4407 Web: www.sanbag.ca.gov



•San Bernardino County Transportation Commission •San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

•San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency •Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

Minute Action

	AGENDA ITEM:5
Date:	August 20, 2008
Subject:	Amendment No. 1 to Contract 06-029 with Fluor Corporation on the Development of the Measure I 2010-2040 Strategic Plan
Recommendation:*	Approve Amendment No. 1 to Contract 06-029 with Fluor Corporation to extend the period of performance for the Measure I 2010-2040 Strategic Plan effort.
Background:	SANBAG initiated a 24-month contract with Fluor Corporation on October 5, 2005 to provide Strategic Plan Development Services for Measure I 2010-2040. The development of the Strategic Plan will be continuing well into calendar year 2009. Therefore it is recommended that the completion date be amended to April 2010, the month in which the collection of sales tax dollars for the new Measure will commence. No amendment to the contract amount is required at this time. Scope and expenditures continue to be controlled through individual task orders.
Financial Impact:	This item has no financial impact. The item is consistent with the approved Fiscal Year 2008-2009 SANBAG budget, Task 60909000.
Reviewed By:	This item will be reviewed by the Plans and Programs Policy Committee on August 20, 2008 and has been approved as to form by County Counsel.
Responsible Staff:	Steve Smith, Chief of Planning Ty Schuiling, Director of Planning and Programming

Approved Plans and Programs Committee

Date: August 20, 2008

Moved:

Second:

In Favor:

Opposed:

Abstained:

Witnessed:

ppc0808a-ss

Attachment: C06029-01

60909000

SANBAG Contract No. 06-029-01

by and between the

San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

and

Fluor Corporation

for

Strategic Plan Development Services for Measure I 2010-2040

	FORACC	OUNTING F	PURPO	SES ONLY					
□ Payable	Vendor Contract	t #		Retention:		☐ Original			
Receivable	Vendor ID FDC			☐ Yes% [⊠ No				
Notes:									
Ovining I Combus ats	# 000 000	Previous Am	endmer	nts Total:		\$ <u>0.00</u>			
Original Contract:	\$ 300,000	Previous Am	endmer	nts Contingency	Total:	\$ <u>0.00</u>			
Contingency Amounts	¢ 0 00	Current Amendment: \$ 0.00							
Contingency Amount:	\$ <u>0.00</u>	Current Ame	endment	Contingency:		\$ <u>0.00</u>			
Contingency Amount requires	specific authorization	by Task Manager	r prior to re	elease.					
Contract TOTAL ->					<u>\$ 30</u>	0,000			
		↓ Please	include fu	inding allocation for the	e origin	al contract or the amendment.			
<u>Task</u>	Cost Code Fu	nding Sources	9	Grant ID	Amo	ounts			
<u>60909</u>	<u>6010</u> MF	<u>P</u> E	_		\$ 20	00,000			
<u>60909</u>	6010 TN	<u>/IEE</u>	_		\$ <u>68</u>	<u>3,780</u>			
60909	6010 <u>LT</u>	E	_		\$ <u>3</u>	<u>1,220</u>			
					\$ <u>00</u>	000,0			
Original Board Approve	d Contract Date:	<u>10/05/05</u>	Contrac	t Start: <u>10/05/05</u>	Cor	ntract End: <u>10/05/07</u>			
New Amend. Approval (Board) Date:	09/03/08	Amend.	Start: <u>10/05/07</u>	Am	end. End: <u>04/30/10</u>			
If this is a multi-year cor authority and future fisc	ntract/amendment al year(s)-unbudg	, please alloca eted obligation	ate budg ns:	et authority amo	ng ap	proved budget			
	iscal Year: <u>05/06</u>] F	uture F	iscal Year(s) -	I				
Authority ->	\$ 300,00	<u>100</u>	Jnbudge	eted Obligation -)	\$ N/A			
Is this consistent with th	e adopted budget	? X Yes	No		,				
If yes, which Task in	ncludes budget au	thority? 609							
If no, has the budge	demand and the second	n submitted?	Yes 🗌	No					
CONTRACTIMANAG	EMENT :			"你我没有我		Parties of the Control of the Contro			
Please mark an "X" nex	t to all that apply:		<u>-</u>						
Intergovernmental	Private X Non	-Local Lo	ocal	Partly Local					
Disadvantaged Busines	s Enterprise: 🖾N	o	%						
Task Manager: Ty Sch	fuiling /		Contrac	t Manager: Stev	e Sm	th			
Will-de	ll s		م مريند	two Sun	H	8/14/03			
Task Manager Signatur	e 7	Date / 8/04	Contra	ct Manager Sign	ature	Date			
Chief Financial Officer S	Signature	Date							

Contract No. 06-029, Amendment No. 1

By and Between

San Bernardino Associated Governments/San Bernardino Transportation Authority

And

Fluor Corporation

For

Strategic Plan Development Services for Measure I 2010-2040

This is an AMENDMENT to SANBAG CONTRACT NO. 06-029 entered into between San Bernardino Associated Governments/San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (hereafter SANBAG) and Fluor Corporation on October 5, 2005 for provision of Strategic Plan Development Services for Measure I 2010-2040.

WITNESSETH:

Whereas, executing the scope of this project as authorized by the SANBAG board requires additional time to complete; and

Whereas, the Strategic Plan Development Services may be required through April 2010; and

Whereas, the parties desire to amend the aforesaid contract;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto do mutually agree to amend SANBAG Contract No. 06-029 as follows:

1. Amend Article 2, subdivision 2.1 to extend the period of performance of this contract to April 30, 2010.

Except as amended by this amendment, all other provisions of Contract No. 06-029 remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the authorized parties have signed on the following page.

Fluor Corporation.	San Bernardino Associated Governments
Ву:	By: Gary C. Ovitt President San Bernardino Associated Governments
Date:	Date:
	Approved as to from:

y: Rene Rasi

Jean-Rene Basle SANBAG Counsel



San Bernardino Associated Governments

1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Fl, San Bernardino, CA 92410 Phone: (909) 884-8276 Fax: (909) 885-4407 Web: www.sanbag.ca.gov



•San Bernardino County Transportation Commission •San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

•San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency •Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

Minute Action

	Minut	е Аспоп	
	AGENDA IT	EM:6	
Date:	August 20, 2008		
Subject:	Proposition 1B Trade Corrid for seven (7) Grade Separation		
Recommendation:*	(TCIF) Draft Baseline: a. I-10 Logistics Acces \$30,773,000) b. I-10 Logistics Acces \$23,601,000) c. I-15 Widening a \$119,069,000) d. South Milliken Av \$8,031,000) e. North Milliken Av \$6,490,000) f. Vineyard Ave. \$6,884,000) g. Lenwood Rd. Gr \$6,694,000) h. Glen Helen Pkwy \$7,172,000) i. Palm Ave. Grade S	ess Project Cherry Interchares Project Citrus Intercharend Devore Interchange. The Grade Separation Project.	rridor Improvement Funds nge. (Contract No. C09013 nge. (Contract No. C09014 (Contract No. C09015 ect. (Contract No. C09016 ect. (Contract No. C09017 e. (Contract No. C09018 (Contract No. C09019 et. (Contract No. C09019 et. (Contract No. C09020 et No. C09021 \$9,390,000) (Contract No. C09022 eproved eproved
		wovea:	Secona:

ppc0808a-pc

Attachment: C09013-pc; C09014-pc; C09015-pc; C09016-pc; C09017-pc; C09018-pc; C09019-pc; C09020-pc;

23

In Favor:

Witnessed:

Opposed:

Abstained:

C09021-pc; C09022-pc 37309000

Plans and Programs Agenda Item August 20, 2008 Page 2

2. Authorize the Executive Director to execute the final TCIF Baseline Agreements including technical and administrative changes that may be necessary following CTC staff review. Should any policy issues arise, the Executive Director will consult with Board Officers.

Background:

The California Transportation Commission (CTC) acted on April 10, 2008 to program \$1.648 billion in Proposition 1B Trade Corridor Improvement Funds for projects in the Los Angeles/Inland Empire Corridor, with approximately \$239 million for SANBAG's Tier 1 projects. In its adopted resolution, the CTC required nominating agencies to provide executed project baseline agreements that commit to full funding of the projects, define the delivery schedule, scope, and expected performance benefits by September 2, 2008 for all rail related TCIF Projects. The CTC also acted to require "Corridor Delivery Plans" with the railroads for the 29 grade separations and other rail-related projects such as Cherry/I-10 and Citrus/I-10 interchanges and I-15/I-215 Devore interchange within the Los Angeles/Inland Empire Corridor, to be completed prior to execution of the baseline agreements with the CTC.

Memoranda of understanding with the railroads are also required for the proposed mainline improvements such as Colton Crossing, Tehachapi, and Donner. The deadline established for baseline agreements on the rail-related projects is September 2008.

There will be three Corridor Delivery Plans: one for the BNSF-only grade separation projects, one for the UP-only grade separation projects including North Milliken, South Milliken, Vineyard and the Cherry and Citrus Interchanges and one for the shared BNSF-UP corridor, including Valley, Palm, Glen Helen, Lenwood grade separation and Devore interchange. These Corridor Delivery Plans are conceptual in nature and will include conceptual design information to serve as a reasonable basis for cost estimation (including shooflies if needed), and confirmation of delivery schedules.

There are a total of ten (10) Baseline Agreements attached, seven (7) grade separation projects and three (3) highway projects. These Baseline Agreements identify funding arrangements, delivery schedule, scope, and expected performance benefits as required. (Note: Project Study Report or Equivalent (Exhibit B of each agreement) of the contract is not included due to the size of the document; a copy of each report is available upon request)

Plans and Programs Agenda Item August 20, 2008 Page 3

Financial Impact: This item is consistent with the approved Fiscal Year 2008-2009 SANBAG

Budget.

Reviewed By: This item will be reviewed by the Plans and Programs Policy Committee on

August 20, 2008. The agreement is being reviewed by SANBAG counsel.

Responsible Staff: Philip Chu, Transportation Programming Analyst.

Ty Schuiling, Director of Planning and Programming

Attachment: C09013-pc; C09014-pc; C09015-pc; C09016-pc; C09017-pc; C09018-pc; C09019-pc; C09020-pc;

C09021-pc; C09022-pc 37309000

25

SANBAG Contract No. C09-013

by and between

San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

and

California Transportation Commission

for

I-10 Logistics Access Project Cherry Interchange

1 - Teste edit is	FOR ACC	OUNTING PURPOS	SES ONLY						
☐ Payable	Vendor Contrac	t #	Retention:	□ Original					
Receivable	Vendor ID CTC		☐ Yes% 図 No	☐ Amendment					
Notes:									
Original Contract	Ф.О.	Previous Amendme	nts Total:	\$					
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>	Previous Amendme	nts Contingency Total:	\$					
Continuous America	ф	Current Amendment: \$							
Contingency Amount:	\$	Current Amendment	t Contingency:	\$					
Contingency Amount requires	specific authorization	i by Task Manager prior to r	elease.						
		Cont	ract TOTAL → \$ 0						
			allocation for the original cor	stract or the amendment.					
Task				<u>ounts</u>					
N/A	N/A TC	<u>IF</u> _							
				····					
			\$ \$						
Original Board Approved	Contract Date:	9/3/08 Contrac	t Start: 9/2/08 Cor	ntract End: <u>N/A</u>					
New Amend. Approval (I				end. End:					
If this is a multi-year co budget authority and fo	ontract/amendm uture fiscal year	ent, please allocate (s)-unbudgeted oblig	budget authority ame						
Approved Budget Find Authority →		Future F	iscal Year(s) –	\$					
Is this consistent with the	e adopted budget	?)						
If yes, which Task in									
If no, has the budge		n submitted?							
		TRACT MANAGEN	MENTA:						
Please mark an "X" ne	xt to all that app	ly:							
Intergovernmental	☐ Private	☐ Non-Local ☐	Local Partly L	ocal					
Disadvantaged Business	s Enterprise: ⊠N	o							
Task Manager: Ty Schu	iling	Contrac	t Manager: Philip Ch u	1/					
Willing Is	1. A	12/08 -		1. 8/13/08.					
Task Manager Signature		Date Contra	ct Manager Signature						
July Stand	1 8	11210							
Chief Financial Officer S	ignature I	Date							

Filename: C09013-pc

SANBAG Agreement No. C09013 TRADE CORRIDORS IMPROVEMENT FUND PROJECT BASELINE AGREEMENT

1. PARTIES AND DATE

1.1 This Project Baseline Agreement (Agreement) for the <u>I-10 Corridor Logistic Access: I-10 Cherry Interchange Improvements</u>, effective on September 1, 2008, is made by and between the California Transportation Commission (Commission), the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), and the <u>San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG)</u>, the City of Fontana and <u>County of San Bernardino</u> (Project Sponsors), sometimes collectively referred to as the "Parties".

2. RECITAL

2.1 Whereas at its April 10, 2008 Meeting the California Transportation Commission programmed the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund and included in this program of projects the I-10 Corridor Logistic Access (Cherry Interchange Improvements), the parties are entering into this Project Baseline Agreement to document the project cost, schedule, scope and benefits, as detailed on the Project Programming Request Form attached hereto as Exhibit A, the Project Report attached hereto as Exhibit B, and the Project Benefits Form attached hereto as Exhibit C, as the baseline for project monitoring by the California Transportation Commission and its Project Delivery Council. The undersigned Project Sponsor certifies that the funding sources cited are committed and expected to be available; the estimated costs represent full project funding; and the scope and description of benefits is the best estimate possible.

3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Project Sponsor and Caltrans agree to abide by the following provisions:

- 3.1 To meet the requirements of Government Code Section 8879.23(c)(1), as added by Proposition 1B, and of Government Code Section 8879.50, as enacted through implementing legislation in 2007 (Senate Bill 88 and Assembly Bill 193).
- 3.2 To adhere to the provisions of the California Transportation Commission Resolution TCIP-P-0708-01, "Adoption of Program of Projects for the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF)," dated April 10, 2008.
- 3.3 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Trade Corridors Improvement Fund Guidelines.
- 3.4 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Accountability Implementation Plan and Policies, and program and baseline amendment processes.
- The Sponsoring Agency agrees to secure funds for any additional costs of the project. Any change to the funding commitments outline in this agreement requires an amendment.

Page 1 C09013-pc

- 3.6 To report to the California Transportation Commission on a quarterly basis on the progress made toward the implementation of the project, including scope, cost and schedule.
- 3.7 To report to the California Transportation Commission on the progress, on a quarterly basis, and outcomes, at the end of the environmental phase, of the environmental process with regard to air quality impacts due to emissions from diesel or other particulates and related mitigation strategies. Whereas the Bond Act mandates that the Commission shall allocate TCIF for trade infrastructure improvements in a manner that places emphasis on projects that improve trade corridor mobility while reducing emissions of diesel particulate and other pollutant emissions, the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and the Corridor Coalition understand and agree that the California Transportation Commission will only allocate TCIF to projects that can demonstrate compliance with applicable environmental requirements. If environmental clearance is conditioned to the implementation of mitigation measures, the sponsoring agency must commit, in writing, to the implementation of those mitigation measures.
- 3.8 To maintain and make available to the California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, all work related documents, including engineering and financial data, during the course of the project and retain those records for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Financial records will be maintained in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
- 3.9 The California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, has the right to audit the project records, including technical and financial data, of the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and any subconsultants at any time during the course of the project and for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Audits will be conducted in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards.

4. SPECIFIC PROVISIONS AND CONDITIONS

4.1 Project Schedule and Cost

See Project Programming Request Form, attached as Exhibit A.

4.2 Project Scope

See Project Study Report/Project Study Report Equivalent, attached as Exhibit B.

4.3 Project Scope

See Project Benefits Form, Attached as Exhibit C.

4.4 Other Project Specific Provisions and Conditions

The Project is also a recipient of State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) funds.

Deborah Barmack Executive Director	DATE
San Bernardino Associated Governments	
Mark N. Nuaimi	DATE
Mayor	DATE
City of Fontana	
Paul Biane	DATE
Chairman County of San Bernardino	
Will Kempton	DATE
Director California Department of Transportation	
John F Barna, Jr.	DATE
Executive Director California Transportation Commission	
De Pa Rl	8/13/0X
Approved as to Form by: Jean-Rene Basle	DAIE
SANBAG County Counsel	

Page 3 C09013-pc

Exhibit A



2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

General Instructions

06/30/14

New Project	✓ Amendment (Existing Project))	Deice	07/11/08							
Calliens District		PANO		TRIP ING.							
8	468000	0137T	20020812	2800 2800							
Country		Spansod Legal Age		idement							
SBD	10 SANBAG	S (324 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 1954 195	SCAG	CO							
Picies Title	TO OANBAG		SCAG								
	I/C Posspetuvetien		entre de principal de la companya d	<u> </u>							
	I/C Reconstruction	1									
	MBk PM And Projectific Control Phone Final Address										
	12.5 13.8 Abunnasr Husain 909-884-8276 ahusain@sanbag.ca.g										
निवस्याविक भिर्वास्त	Clinia Description Sco	di Work, Leafelt	itre Desethian								
Route 10 at Cherr	y Avenue Interchange. This	s project improves in	nterchange and mainline operati	on and safety							
in the city of Fonta	ana by replacing a deficient i	interchange at Cher	ry Ave.								
ે મારામાં છે.	dimensis in the second	(c) Treferitoria	ABBOOD LEGICALLY	(A)							
PA&ED	Caltrans										
PS&E	SANBAG										
Right of Way Construction	Fontana, City of SANBAG										
Legislative Distri											
Assembly:	And a second control of the control	Consta	00								
Congressional:		Senate:	32								
Purpose and Nec	<u> </u>										
uses north and so	uth of I-10. This stretch of I	-10 is one of the mo	icks and passenger vehicles accost congested freeway segment	s in San							
uses north and so	uth of I-10. This stretch of I	-10 is one of the mo	ost congested freeway segment	s in San							
Bernardino County	y, and more capacity is nee	ded to support relial	ble and timely distribution of mai	inline truck							
traπic to and trom	growing logistics-related lar	nd uses in the area.	Inadequate capacity for freigh	t at the							
interchanges chok	ces local roads, inhibits eme	rgency vehicles, an	id degrades air quality.								
Project Denoits											
	a existing five lane Chern.	vo bridge over L 10	with an eight-lane bridge, add o	1.121							
lane on all four rar	mps of the interchange pro-	vida avtansiva impr	ovements at the Cherry-Slover is	ne additional							
improve the Cherr	v-Valley intersection, and w	vide extensive impli iden the evicting Ch	nerry Avenue bridge over the UF	ntersection,							
four lanes to eight	lanes. The project will also	nrovide peeded up	nderneath clearance for the ultim	raiiroad from							
project. This proje	ect will substantially reduce	delave on this corri	dor, increase throughput, and im	prove access							
to kev logistics hu	bs and existing business an	d logistics centers	or, increase throughput, and in	ipiove access							
Profes Mileson		to regionate controlo.		DH.							
Project Study Rep		<u> </u>	المستشفر والمرابسين والمتاب والمستقول والمتاب والمتاب	06/13/0							
	ntal (PA&ED) Phase	17211		09/30/0							
	vironmental Document		Dolesment Type ND/FONSI	05/11/08							
Draft Project Repo			Texas Indiana	04/30/08							
	al Phase (PA&ED Milestone)		03/31/09							
Begin Design (PS	&E) Phase	/*****		02/15/08							
End Design Phase	e (Ready to List for Advertis	ement Milestone)		12/31/10							
Begin Right of Wa	y Phase		<u> </u>	04/01/09							
End Right of Way	Phase (Right of Way Certif	ication Milestone)		04/01/03							
Begin Construction	n Phase (Contract Award M	lilestone)		08/01/11							
End Construction	Phase (Construction Contra	act Acceptance Mile	estone)	12/31/13							
Begin Closeout Ph	nase			12/31/13							
End Classout Db-	on (Classout Denort)										

End Closeout Phase (Closeout Report)



2008 Project Programming Request (Funding Information)

	(dollars in thousan	ds and escalated to the pro	ogrammed year)	Date: 07/11/08
િલ્લામા ં	ளுந்துந்	PANO	ile in the control of	HA.
SBD	8	0137T		468000
Erope: गरिक: I-10 / Cherry Ave I/C Re	construction			

Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	implementing Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	\$6H5								Caltrans
PS&E	(2)(2)						;;	31.60	SANBAG
R/W SUP (CT)	6310)				- n.i.s			330	
CON SUP (CT)	11 (150[0)							-(bja-	
R/W	÷ นะหู								Fontana, City of
CON	15.333			_	· · · -	r			SANBAG
TOTAL	25,,790						<u> </u>	25. 7419.	
			Proposed 1	Total Projec	t Cost				
E&P (PA&ED)	ঙাগুত							-21042	
PS&E	51,3922		j					919 <u>18</u> 5. <u>819</u> 19	
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W	i (£1012)	8, 398						21,5(0)\$)	
CON			and the second second second	Dr. 3:53				E. (5256)	
TOTAL	(0)(8)33	5,5965		\$1 \$415k				(3)016	

Fund No. 1:	RIP - State	Program Code							
			20.XX.075.600						
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	1.5							1/5	San Bernardino County Transporta
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		*. *-				i e
CON SUP (CT)					-2				
R/W									
CON									er -
TOTAL	l'a						ļ	143	
			Propo	sed Fundin	9			<u> </u>	Notes
E&P (PA&ED)						l			
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)	1			*				l	
CON SUP (CT)								in nijoskom	
R/W	1 1					l			
CON									
TOTAL									T CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR

Fund No. 2:		Program Code								
		20.XX.075.600								
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency	
E&P (PA&ED)									Fontana, City of	
PS&E				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
R/W SUP (CT)								!! . ·		
CON SUP (CT)							}			
R/W	3,31018) '- · ·- · · · ·				3) 998		
CON										
TOTAL	فالاقرن							13,016		
			Propo	sed Fundin	g			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Notes	
E&P (PA&ED)									City is requesting allocation	
PS&E		***						and the same of	extension to FY08/09	
R/W SUP (CT)				***************************************						
CON SUP (CT)										
R/W	3,908							3, 51018	. r - g	
CON										
TOTAL	3(9(9)3		[Г	3, 9,03	± 5	

Fund No. 3:	Loc Funds	Program Code								
			Existi	ng Funding)	****			LOCAL FUNDS	
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency	
E&P (PA&ED)	\$1 0 0							Siel6	Fontana, City of	
PS&E	(e)36						1	ពិទ្យុគ		
R/W SUP (CT)					1000		- manuscus zu			
CON SUP (CT)							1			
RM		** .5 ***								
CON		-								
TOTAL	1,235							(,2,5%)		
			Propo	sed Fundin	g	I know to make the second	100.000.000.000.000	13 Commerce of Contract	Notes	
E&P (PA&ED)	935							\$)\$;7	San Bernardino County DIF	
PS&E									can be marane ceanty bu	
R/W SUP (CT)								laran santa		
CON SUP (CT)							 			
R/W										
CON							†	}		
TOTAL	986							2)6/5		

Fund No. 4:	Fund No. 4: Loc Funds - Local Transportation Funds (LTF)									
		LOCAL FUNDS								
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency	
E&P (PA&ED)								[Fontana, City of	
PS&E				-0 - 1081 . -222						
R/W SUP (CT)	63 3 0						1	5(80)		
CON SUP (CT)	(4)(0)(0)			1				1 _ 50(C		
R/W	3) 2(8) 6;					ř -	F	388		
CON	(E),586		İ		±no+sone			្រ (គ្		
TOTAL	30,0852							- 540, s1340		
			Propo	sed Fundin	2	6 aras (<u> </u>		Notes	
E&P (PA&ED)									Change to Development	
PS&E									Impact Fee	
R/W SUP (CT)								7		
CON SUP (CT)								111111111111111111111111111111111111111		
R/W							1		i	
CON				25,151			· · · · · ·	(X8, √8)		
TOTAL		2.94		遊詢			[2(6) (\$3))		

Fund No. 5:									Program Code
Existing Funding									
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		h-11 1			
R/W SUP (CT)					er der gette in e				
CON SUP (CT)	دد طاد داده الاستوادة					**************************************			
R/W						ara yaz masafa			
CON	F72#20			***					
TOTAL									
		***************************************		sed Fundin	3	Manager design	tern age of the	:1	Notes
E&P (PA&ED)						<u> </u>			Proposed TCIF
PS&E									r ropeccu i o
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)						l			
R/W							 		
CON				30,773			<u> </u>	36 77	
TOTAL				510) 7751				5(0) 7/7/3	

Fund No. 6:									Program Code
Existing Funding									
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)						y - y			
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)				·= , ^ · - · - · - · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		į	#= :	j	
CON SUP (CT)		-1							
R/W							}= :		
CON							#	<u> </u>	
TOTAL							Haran Laser	 	
			Propos	ed Fundin	9	.		ئىسىر <u>ويى بىيە</u>	Notes
E&P (PA&ED)							Γ	(San Bernardino County
PS&E	5,822						 		Measure I (35% MI and
R/W SUP (CT)							<u> </u>		64% County)
CON SUP (CT)							ļ		5470 County)
R/W		5,595					ļ	হ ভাইচ	
CON				5,622			<u> </u>	-5.652.2	
TOTAL	3,322	% 35(S)		2:26(9				7.0319	

Page 6 C09013-pc

Trade Corridor Improvement Fund Project Benefits Form EXHIBIT C

Project Title:

I-10 Cherry Interchange

Project Category:

Highway Interchange Improvement

Project Type:

Modified Interchange (1)

Outputs:

Expand current interchange from 5 to 8 total lanes bridge and add one lane to each ramp.

Outcomes:

<u>Outcome</u>

Safety

Although reduction of accident rates is a secondary objective to congestion relief for tracks at this interchange Safety benefit is expected from increase in capacity and creation of additional storage for turn pockets will reduce the frequency of queues backing into cross traffic on adjacent arterials or backing into the freeway mainline.

Velocity

PM peak hour NB average speed on Cherry Avenue improves from 10 to 12 mph (including stopped time at intersections) PM peak hour SB average speed on Cherry Avenue improves from 8 to 19 mph (including stopped time at intersections)

Throughput

LOS improvement from current LOS F to LOS D or better through the design year 2030

Reliability

PM peak hour total delay reduced from approximately 1975 person-hours to approximately 1210 person hours (765 person-hour reduction)

Congestion Reduction

1100 vh/d Daily hours of delay saved for total traffic

Emissions Reduction

90 tons per year Reduction of Particulate Matter combined of ROG, PM10, NOx 164,000 tons per year reduction of Carbon Dioxide (CO2)

Page 7 C09013-pc

SANBAG Contract No. <u>C09-014</u>

by and between

San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

and

California Transportation Commission

for

I-10 Logistics Access Project Citrus Interchange

	FOR AC	COUNTING PL	JRPOS	SES ONLY					
☐ Payable	Vendor Contra	act #		Retention:	⊠ Original				
Receivable	Vendor ID CT	<u> </u>		☐ Yes % 🛛	No Amendment				
Notes:									
Odelesia		Previous Am	Previous Amendments Total: \$						
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>	Previous Am	Previous Amendments Contingency Total: \$						
Continuous Associate	c	Current Ame	Current Amendment: \$						
Contingency Amount:	\$	Current Ame	Current Amendment Contingency: \$						
Contingency Amount requires specific authorization by Task Manager prior to release.									
Contract TOTAL → \$ <u>0</u>									
ullet Please include funding allocation for the original contract or the amendment.									
<u>Task</u>		unding Sources	9	Frant ID	<u>Amounts</u>				
<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>T</u>	CIF	_		\$				
			_	\$					
			-		\$				
					\$				
Original Board Approved	Original Board Approved Contract Date: 9/3/08 Contract Start: 9/2/08 Contract End: N/A								
New Amend. Approval (I	Board) Date:		Amend.	Start:	Amend. End:				
If this is a multi-year contract/amendment, please allocate budget authority among approved budget authority and future fiscal year(s)-unbudgeted obligations:									
Approved Budget F Authority →	scal Year:	F		iscal Year(s) – eted Obligation •	→ \$				
	\$	<u> l</u>			7 3				
Is this consistent with the adopted budget? Yes No									
If yes, which Task includes budget authority?									
If no, has the budget amendment been submitted? ☐Yes ☐No									
CONTRACT MANAGEMENT									
Please mark an "X" next to all that apply:									
	☐ Private	∐ Non-Local		Local	rtly Local				
Disadvantaged Business Enterprise: ⊠No ☐Yes%									
Task Manager: Ty Schuiling Contract Manager: Philip Chu									
[[[]]	the .	8/12/03) 12	8/13/68.				
Task Manager Signature Date Contract Manager Signature Date									
(Nella Spiron) 8/12/08									
Chief Financial Officer Signature Date									

Filename: C09014-pc

SANBAG Agreement No. C09014 TRADE CORRIDORS IMPROVEMENT FUND PROJECT BASELINE AGREEMENT

1. PARTIES AND DATE

1.1 This Project Baseline Agreement (Agreement) for the I-10 Corridor Logistic Access: I-10 Citrus Interchange Improvements, effective on September 1, 2008, is made by and between the California Transportation Commission (Commission), the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), and the San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG), the City of Fontana and County of San Bernardino (Project Sponsors), sometimes collectively referred to as the "Parties".

2. RECITAL

2.1 Whereas at its April 10, 2008 Meeting the California Transportation Commission programmed the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund and included in this program of projects the I-10 Corridor Logistic Access (Citrus Interchange Improvements), the parties are entering into this Project Baseline Agreement to document the project cost, schedule, scope and benefits, as detailed on the Project Programming Request Form attached hereto as Exhibit A, the Project Report attached hereto as Exhibit B, and the Project Benefits Form attached hereto as Exhibit C, as the baseline for project monitoring by the California Transportation Commission and its Project Delivery Council. The undersigned Project Sponsor certifies that the funding sources cited are committed and expected to be available; the estimated costs represent full project funding; and the scope and description of benefits is the best estimate possible.

3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Project Sponsor and Caltrans agree to abide by the following provisions:

- 3.1 To meet the requirements of Government Code Section 8879.23(c)(1), as added by Proposition 1B, and of Government Code Section 8879.50, as enacted through implementing legislation in 2007 (Senate Bill 88 and Assembly Bill 193).
- 3.2 To adhere to the provisions of the California Transportation Commission Resolution TCIP-P-0708-01, "Adoption of Program of Projects for the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF)," dated April 10, 2008.
- 3.3 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Trade Corridors Improvement Fund Guidelines.
- 3.4 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Accountability Implementation Plan and Policies, and program and baseline amendment processes.
- 3.5 The Sponsoring Agency agrees to secure funds for any additional costs of the project. Any change to the funding commitments outline in this agreement requires an amendment.

Page 1 C09014-pc

Deborah Barmack Executive Director San Bernardino Associated Governments	DATE
Mark N. Nuaimi Mayor City of Fontana	DATE
Paul Biane Chairman County of San Bernardino	DATE
Will Kempton Director California Department of Transportation	DATE
John F Barna, Jr. Executive Director California Transportation Commission	DATE
Approved as to Form by: Jean-Rene Basle SANBAG County Counsel	S/13/07 DATE

Page 3 C09014-pc

Exhibit A

2008 Project Programming Request

(Project Information)

General Instructions

02/15/08

12/31/10

11/01/08

12/31/10

04/01/11

12/31/13

12/31/13

06/30/14

New Project	Amendment	(Existing Project	:)		D	ae:	07/11/08
Calhars Distric			[PPI](0)	3.9	P(0)(JD)		rip no
8	4681	0	0138G	SDI	345000		
Gountly Fl	oute/Contieor	સિલાભા	Sporeon/Local Acr	ર્વાલય	MPO	ر مدر العد	linemell
SBD	10	SANBAG			SCAG		СО
Projectatile					Jorta		
Route 10 Citrus	Avenue Interch	ange Recons	truction			o ornamer landa e o Na	43 24 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
PMBR PMAN			Phone		(#_0\0\1)	Nation Control	
14.8 15.5		r Husain	909-884-8276			Archioss	
	_1		909-864-8276 90 01 Work, Leight		ahusain@sa	inbag.ca.g	jov
Fontana - Interch	ange 10 at Citr	us Avenue In	terchange. This pro	EMME IDAES	ट्रामहासञ्ज		
oneration and sa	fety in the city of	us Avenue III of Fontana hv	replacing a deficier	ojeci impr	oves interchar	nge and m	ainiine
operation and sa	loty in the only c	n rontana by	replacing a delicier	it intercha	nge at Citrus i	Avenue	· ,
(Component)	1	imolamanin	No Charles		5 3(0)5(8)	Sile of Ni	Preprepa
PA&ED	Fontana, City						
PS&E	SANBAG						
Right of Way	Fontana, City	of					
Construction	SANBAG						
Legiskilve Dist						7.5	
Assembly			Senate:	32			
Congressional							
Penjago and Ne							
i ne primary purp	ose of this proj	ect is to relie	ve congestion for tru	ucks and p	oassenger vel	nicles acce	essing land
Bernardine Coun	outh of 1-10. If	ils stretch of	I-10 is one of the m	ost conge	sted freeway	segments	in San
traffic to and from	ry, and more ca	ipacity is nee	ded to support relia nd uses in the area	nie and tii	nely distribution	on of main	lline truck
interchanges cho	kee local roads	inhihite om	argency vehicles, ar	. inadeqi	late capacity t	for freight	at tne
interestatinges one	nco local roads	, annous enn	ergericy verticles, at	iu uegrau	es an quanty.		
આ દેશ દેશાં અને)						
		lane Citrus A	ve bridge over I-10	with a se	ven-lane brido	e. add on	e additional
lane on all four ra	amps of the inte	rchange, and	d widen the existing	Citrus Av	enue bridae o	ver the UF	railroad
from three lanes	to six lanes. Ti	ne project wil	l also provide neede	ed undern	eath clearanc	e for the u	Itimate I-10
HOV project. This	is project will sı	ubstantially re	educe delays on this	corridor.	increase thro	ughput, an	nd improve
access to key log	jistics hubs and	l existing bus	iness and logistics o	centers.			•
Propor Mileston							(5)(3 <u>(2)</u>
Project Study Re							10/30/01
Begin Environme							10/15/01
Circulate Draft Er		ocument	·	Dogwiit	ND/	/FONSI	08/24/07
Draft Project Rep		CD M2	,				05/04/07
End Environment	iai Phase (PA&	⊏U Milestone	9)				10/31/08

Page 4 C09014-pc

Begin Design (PS&E) Phase

Begin Right of Way Phase

Begin Closeout Phase

End Closeout Phase (Closeout Report)

End Design Phase (Ready to List for Advertisement Milestone)

End Right of Way Phase (Right of Way Certification Milestone)

End Construction Phase (Construction Contract Acceptance Milestone)

Begin Construction Phase (Contract Award Milestone)

2008 Project Programming Request (Funding Information)



<u> Editure</u>	(dollars in thousands and escalated to the programmed year)						
County	CT District	PPNO	TCRP Project No.	E	Ą		
SBD	8	0138G		468	10		
Project Title: Route 10 Citrus Av	enue Interchange Reconstru	uction					

Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Implementing Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	1,100							1,100	Fontana, City of
PS&E	3,759							3,759	SANBAG
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
RW	5,257							5,257	Fontana, City of
CON		43 196						43,196	SANBAG
TOTAL	10,116	43,196						58,312	
			Proposed 1	Total Projec	ct Cost				
E&P (PA&ED)			100						
PS&E	2,000							2,000	
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W	5,257			1111				5,257	
CON				47,201				47,201	8
TOTAL	7,257			47,201			100	54,458	

Fund No. 1:	RIP - State		Program Code						
			Existi	ng Funding	9				20.XX.075.600
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									Fontana, City of
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)					1.0				
CON SUP (CT)					1250				
R/W	3,238				100			3,238	
CON									
TOTAL	3,238							3,298	
			Propo:	sed Fundir	g				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E								100	
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W	3,238							3,238	
CON									
TOTAL	3,238							3.238	

Fund No. 2:	Loc Funds	- Local Trai	nsportation	Funds (LT	F)				Program Code
			Existi	ing Funding	1				LOCAL FUNDS
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	201.00								Fontana, City of
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W	2,019							2,019	
CON									
TOTAL	2,019							2,019	
			Propo	sed Fundin	g				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									Developer Fees
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON				20,912				20,912	
TOTAL				20,912		4 1		20,912	

Page 5 C09014-pc

Fund No. 3:	Loc Funds		Program Code						
			Existi	ng Funding	3				LOCAL FUNDS
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	1,100							1,100	Fontana, City of
PS&E	3,759							3,759	
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON		43,196						43,196	
TOTAL	4,859	43,196						48,055	
			Propo	sed Fundin	g				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)						,			
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W				·					
CON									
TOTAL						1000			

Fund No. 4:									Program Code
			Exist	ing Funding				,	
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON									
TOTAL									
			Propo	sed Fundin	9				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									Proposed TCIF
PS&E									·
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W								100	
CON				23,601				23,601	
TOTAL				23,601				23,601	

Fund No. 5:									Program Code
			Existi	ng Funding				***************************************	
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E								, 0.0	
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON									
TOTAL									
			Propo	sed Funding	9				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									San Bernardino County
PS&E	2,000							2,000	Measure I
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W	2,019							2,019	
CON				2,688				2,688	
TOTAL	4,019			2,688				6,707	

Trade Corridor Improvement Fund Project Benefits Form **EXHIBIT C**

Project Title:

1-10 Citrus Interchange

Project Category:

Highway Interchange Improvement

Project Type:

Modified Interchange (1)

Outputs:

Expand current interchange from 4 to 7 total lanes bridge and add one lane to each ramp.

Outcomes:

Outcome

Safety

Although reduction of accident rates is a secondary objective to congestion relief for trucks at this interchange Safety benefit is expected from increase in capacity and creation of additional storage for turn pockets will reduce the frequency of queues backing into cross traffic on adjacent arterials or backing into the freeway mainline. In addition, based upon a traffic queuing analysis for future projected (year 2030) traffic, no traffic queues are expected to exceed the space provided along Citrus Avenue or the interchange ramps. Also, the new interchange improvements have been designed to accommodate turning paths of large interstate trucks.

Velocity

Average roadway speeds along Citrus Avenue and the interchange ramps will be enhanced by the Project. For example, an indicator for the average roadway speed, is the delay vehicles experience while traveling through the interchange (i.e. the lower the delay the better the average roadway speed). For the existing interchange in the year 2003, vehicles travelling through the interchange experienced a delay of 260 to 300 seconds. With completion of the Project's improvements, for future projected (year 2030) traffic,

the delay for vehicles travelling through the interchange is expected to be 30 to 36 seconds.

This is nearly a 10-fold reduction in traffic delay, and this would represent a significant increase in the average roadway

speed along Citrus Avenue and the interchange ramps.

Throughput

As noted below, in the Reliability section, this project will improve the Level of Service for traffic at the interchange.

Also, this Project in not expected to effect the number of trucks, or Level of Service along the freeway.

Reliability

For the existing interchange, for Year 2003 traffic, three of the four intersections along Citrus Avenue experience unacceptable traffic operating conditions for either or both the AM and PM peak hour conditions. With the Project's improvements, for future projected (Year 2030) traffic, these three intersections will be improved to levels

of service B and C.

Congestion Reduction

339 vh/d Daily hours of delay saved for total traffic

Emissions Reduction

90 tons per year Reduction of Particulate Matter combined of ROG, PM10, NOx

164,000 tons per year reduction of Carbon Dioxide (CO2)

SANBAG Contract No. <u>C09-015</u>

by and between

San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

and

California Transportation Commission

for

I-15 Widening and I-15/215 Devore Interchange

The state of the s	FOR ACC	OUNTING PURPO	SES ONLY	The second secon						
☐ Payable	Vendor Contrac	et #	Retention:	⊠ Original						
Receivable	Vendor ID CTC		☐ Yes % 🖾 No	□ Amendment						
Notes:										
,	Ф.О.	Previous Amendme	nts Total:	\$						
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>	Previous Amendme	ents Contingency Total	al: \$						
	•	Current Amendmen	t:	\$						
Contingency Amount:	\$	Current Amendmen	t Contingency:	\$						
Contingency Amount requires specific authorization by Task Manager prior to release.										
Contract TOTAL → \$ 0										
		······································	allocation for the original	contract or the amendment.						
<u>Task</u>				mounts						
N/A	N/A TO	<u>CIF</u>								
										
			 \$							
Original Board Approved	Contract Date:	9/3/08 Contra	ct Start: 9/2/08 C	Contract End: N/A						
New Amend. Approval (mend. End:						
If this is a multi-year co										
budget authority and f										
Approved Budget F Authority →	iscal Year: \$		Fiscal Year(s) – geted Obligation →	\$						
Is this consistent with the	e adopted budge	t?	o							
If yes, which Task ir	_	· —	_							
If no, has the budge		en submitted? ∐Yes								
		ITRACT MANAGE	MENT							
Please mark an "X" ne		oly:								
☐ Intergovernmental	☐ Private	☐ Non-Local ☐] Local ☐ Partiy	/ Local						
Disadvantaged Busines										
Task Manager: Ty Schu	illing	Contra	ct Manager: Philip C	hú						
Willi ZDI		8/12/09	200	7_8/0/08						
Task Manager Signature	1	Date Contr	act Manager Signatu	re Date						
Chief Financial Officer S		Date Date								

Filename: C09015-pc

SANBAG Agreement No. C09015 TRADE CORRIDORS IMPROVEMENT FUND PROJECT BASELINE AGREEMENT

1. PARTIES AND DATE

1.1 This Project Baseline Agreement (Agreement) for the <u>I-15 widening and I-15/215</u>

<u>Devore Interchange</u>, effective on September 1, 2008, is made by and between the California Transportation Commission (Commission), the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), and the <u>San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG)</u> (Project Sponsors), sometimes collectively referred to as the "Parties".

2. RECITAL

2.1 Whereas at its April 10, 2008 Meeting the California Transportation Commission programmed the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund and included in this program of projects the I-15 widening and I-15/215 Devore Interchange, the parties are entering into this Project Baseline Agreement to document the project cost, schedule, scope and benefits, as detailed on the Project Programming Request Form attached hereto as Exhibit A, the Draft Project Study Report attached hereto as Exhibit B, and the Project Benefits Form attached hereto as Exhibit C, as the baseline for project monitoring by the California Transportation Commission and its Project Delivery Council. The undersigned Project Sponsor certifies that the funding sources cited are committed and expected to be available; the estimated costs represent full project funding; and the scope and description of benefits is the best estimate possible.

3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Project Sponsor and Caltrans agree to abide by the following provisions:

- 3.1 To meet the requirements of Government Code Section 8879.23(c)(1), as added by Proposition 1B, and of Government Code Section 8879.50, as enacted through implementing legislation in 2007 (Senate Bill 88 and Assembly Bill 193).
- 3.2 To adhere to the provisions of the California Transportation Commission Resolution TCIP-P-0708-01, "Adoption of Program of Projects for the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF)," dated April 10, 2008.
- 3.3 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Trade Corridors Improvement Fund Guidelines.
- 3.4 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Accountability Implementation Plan and Policies, and program and baseline amendment processes.
- 3.5 The Sponsoring Agency agrees to secure funds for any additional costs of the project. Any change to the funding commitments outline in this agreement requires an amendment.

Page 1 C09015-pc

- 3.6 To report to the California Transportation Commission on a quarterly basis on the progress made toward the implementation of the project, including scope, cost and schedule.
- 3.7 To report to the California Transportation Commission on the progress, on a quarterly basis, and outcomes, at the end of the environmental phase, of the environmental process with regard to air quality impacts due to emissions from diesel or other particulates and related mitigation strategies. Whereas the Bond Act mandates that the Commission shall allocate TCIF for trade infrastructure improvements in a manner that places emphasis on projects that improve trade corridor mobility while reducing emissions of diesel particulate and other pollutant emissions, the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and the Corridor Coalition understand and agree that the California Transportation Commission will only allocate TCIF to projects that can demonstrate compliance with applicable environmental requirements. If environmental clearance is conditioned to the implementation of mitigation measures, the sponsoring agency must commit, in writing, to the implementation of those mitigation measures.
- 3.8 To maintain and make available to the California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, all work related documents, including engineering and financial data, during the course of the project and retain those records for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Financial records will be maintained in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
- 3.9 The California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, has the right to audit the project records, including technical and financial data, of the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and any subconsultants at any time during the course of the project and for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Audits will be conducted in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards.

4. SPECIFIC PROVISIONS AND CONDITIONS

4.1 Project Schedule and Cost

See Project Programming Request Form, attached as Exhibit A.

4.2 Project Scope

See Project Study Report/Project Study Report Equivalent, attached as Exhibit B.

4.3 Project Scope

See Project Benefits Form, Attached as Exhibit C.

4.4 Other Project Specific Provisions and Conditions

None

Deborah Barmack Executive Director San Bernardino Associated Governments	DATE
Will Kempton Director California Department of Transportation	DATE
John F Barna, Jr. Executive Director California Transportation Commission	DATE
Approved as to Form by: Jean-Rene Basle SANBAG County Counsel	S)13/OY DATE

Exhibit A

2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

General Instructions

✓ New Project	Amendment (Existing	Project)		Date	03/26/08
entel@ensitle		PPNO	MP®	ID 3	TERPINO.
08	0K710		20061	201	
Country R	oute/Contidar Pa	oledi Sponson/Lezidi/Ad	EAGN/	MPO	Element
SBD	15/215 San Be	ernardino Associated Go	vernments	SCAG	LA
Piolesi Illie					
I-15 Widening an	d Devore Interchange	Reconstruction	ing signated to the control of the second signature of the second	areas in the section of the section	
PMBK PMAK				Simen Avidace	Ř.
14 R16.0	Dennis Saylor	909-884-8276	4	saylor@sanbag.c	
Location Projec		Soperium			a.gov
I-15 PM 14 - R16	.0 and I-215 PM 16-17	.8. In San Bernardino C	ounty at the in	terchange of I-15	and [-215
(Devore IC), add	one mixed flow lane in	each direction on I-15 f	rom Glen Hele	n Parkway throug	th the
interchange, reco	nfigure interchange, ai	nd add truck bypass land	9s.	unitinaly amoug	j., a.o
_					
Companienti		mografia yalanga	(AVE) (S)	EC LANGING	No Prejudice
PA&ED	SANBAG				
PS&E	SANBAG				
Right of Way	Caltrans				
Construction	SANBAG				
Legislative Distr					
Assembly:		Senate	: 31, 32		
Congressional: Purpose and Ne					
high deport I so \	is me main transportat /agaa Daala Mayetais	ion connection between	the Los Angel	es metropolitan a	area and the
San Diego counti	regas, nocky wountair	n states and the Midwes	t. It is also an	mportant link to I	Riverside and
number of interrel	lated factors. These fa	15 Corridor is experience ctors include higher that	ing serious pe	normance proble	ems due to a
of the total traffic)	. roadway design limita	ations particularly at the	Devore Interes	volumes (ten to	findeen percent
both weekends ar	nd weekdavs, as well a	as a lack of alternative tr	avel options of	sufficient quality	nc demand on
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		avoi optiono o	outhorit quality	•
Roled Dentille					
Overall, this proje	ct will increase through	nput, velocity, and reliab	ility, reduce co	ngestion, and bo	ost access to
existing and future	e freight facilities along	this critical national tra	de corridor. In	addition, it will in	prove safety by
reducing operatio	nal conflict between tru	ucks and passenger veh	icles. An over	all capacity incre	ase
approaching 40 p	ercent is expected.				
Project Study D		and the second s	<u>— 18. 1888 (Albandara e da da</u>	anna a gailge ann an air	Date
Project Study Rep	ntal (PA&ED) Phase				02/01/09
	vironmental Documen	+	This is a second of the second	ND/CONO	08/01/08
Draft Project Rep		<u> </u>	Protective Country	Moe ND/FONSI	
	al Phase (PA&ED Mile	stone)		·	06/30/10
Begin Design (PS		otorioj			08/01/11
		vertisement Milestone)			01/01/11 07/01/13
Begin Right of Wa	ay Phase				06/01/13
End Right of Way	Phase (Right of Way	Certification Milestone)			06/01/13
Begin Constructio	n Phase (Contract Aw	ard Milestone)			11/01/13
End Construction	Phase (Construction C	Contract Acceptance Mi	estone)		11/01/16
Begin Closeout Pl	hase				11/01/16
End Closeout Pha	se (Closeout Report)				11/01/17



2008 Project Programming Request

(Funding Information)

(dollars in thousands and escalated to the programmed year)

Date: 03/26/08

County	CT District	PPNO	TCRP Project No.	EA
SBD	08	0	0	0K710
Project Title: I-15 Widening and Deve	ore Interchange Recons	truction		

	Existing Total Project Cost									
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Implementing Agency	
E&P (PA&ED)	. 0		. 0	. 0	0		0	0		
PS&E	. 0	0	5	- 1	. 0	0	0	0		
R/W SUP (CT)	. 0	0	ō	T)	0	- 0	0	* O		
CON SUP (CT)	0	0		0		0	. 0	0.00		
R/W	Ē		0	0	0	. 0	. 0	# F - F - O		
CON	0	0	0	0	. 0	. 0	. 0	. 0		
TOTAL	O	6	0		. 0	0	0	0		
		ı	roposed 1	lotal Projec	Cost					
E&P (PA&ED)	750	6,325	- 0	0	0	- 0	- 0	7,075		
PS&E	0	0	- D	16,773	. 0	0	V 45 0	16,773		
R/W SUP (CT)		- 0	0	- 0	0	. 0	0	0		
CON SUP (CT)		0	0.00	i0	0	. 0	0			
R/W	* 0	. 0	. 0	0	21,610	0	0	21,610		
CON	. 0	. 0	Ū		0	. 0	239,970	239,970		
TOTAL	750	6,325	0	16,773	21,610	0	239.970	285.428		

Fund No. 1:									Program Code
			Existi	ng Funding	9				
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	. 0	Ū	O	G C	0	0	. 0		
PS&E	9.00	0	0	- 0	- 0	240 0	0	9-10-10 Q	
R/W SUP (CT)		0	0.0		0	0		0	
CON SUP (CT)		0 (1988)		9 0	1 427 - 14 0	0	0	. 0	
R/W	. 0	0		Ö	- 0	G.	Ö	. 0	
CON	- 0	0		0	0	0	6	0	
TOTAL	0	0 111	1 - 1 - 0	9	0	0	0	0	
-			Propo	sed Fundir	ng				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								. 0	Proposed TCIF
PS&E								. 0	
R/W SUP (CT)								. 0	
CON SUP (CT)								. 0	
R/W								0	
CON							118,012	118,012	
TOTAL	0	- 0	0	3.0	0 11	. 0	118,012	118,012	

Fund No. 2:									Program Code
			Existi	ng Funding					
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0 - 12 - 1		G	. 0	0	
PS&E	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	. 0	
R/W SUP (CT)	0	0	0	O	Ø	9	0	. 0	
CON SUP (CT)	4.5 0	0	Ó	0	0	Ö	C	0	
R/W	. 0	0	0	. 0	0	0	. 0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	. 0	0	0	. 0	0	9	
			Рторо	sed Funding	3				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)	750	6,325						7,075	San Bernardino County
PS&E				16,773				16,773	Measure I
R/W SUP (CT)								0	
CON SUP (CT)								- 0	
R/W					21,610			21,610	
CON							121,958	121,958	
TOTAL	750	6,325	. 0	16,773	21,610	. 0	121,958	167,416	

Project Title:

I-15 widening and I-15/215 Devore interchange

Project Category:

Highway Interchange Improvement Truck climbing lane/widening

Project Type:

Modified Interchange (1)

Outputs:

Increase to 4 through lanes on each direction on I-15

Reconfigure one interchange

Outcomes:

<u>Outcome</u>

Safety

The truck bypass ramps both north and south bound will greatly reduce

the speed differential by keeping trucks in the right lanes as they pass throughthe interchange. Similar configurations have shown significant safety benefit.

Velocity AM peak hour average speed improves from 43 to 52 mph

PM peak hour average speed improves from 31 to 40 mph

Throughput

Peak LOS improvement from current LOS F to LOS D or better through the design year 2040

Reliability

AM and PM peak hour total delay reduced approximately 30%

Congestion Reduction

More than 1200vh/d Daily hours of delay saved for total traffic

Emissions Reduction

60 tons per year Reduction of Particulate Matter combined of ROG, PM10, NOx 119,000 tons per year reduction of Carbon Dioxide (CO2)

Page 6 C09015-pc

SANBAG Contract No. <u>C09-016</u>

by and between

San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

and

California Transportation Commission

for

South Milliken Ave. Grade Separation

and the second of the second o	FOR AC	COUNTING P	URPOS	ES ONLY	100 A Con-
☐ Payable	Vendor Contr	act #		Retention:	
Receivable	Vendor ID <u>CT</u>	C		☐ Yes % 🗵 No	□ Amendment
Notes:			- 		
	Φ.0	Previous Ar	nendmer	nts Total:	\$
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>	Previous Ar	nendmer	nts Contingency Tot	al: \$
		Current Am	endment	::	\$
Contingency Amount:	\$	Current Am	endment	Contingency:	\$
Contingency Amount requires	specific authorizat	। ion by Task Manage	er prior to r	elease.	
			Cont	ract TOTAL → \$	<u>o</u>
A STATE OF THE STA	•••	-			contract or the amendment.
<u>Task</u>		Funding Source	<u>s (</u>		Amounts
<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>TCIF</u>			S
			-		
			-		S S
Original Board Approved			Contrac		Contract End: N/A
New Amend. Approval (s. <u>676766</u>			Amend. End:
If this is a multi-year c	·	iment, please a			mong approved
budget authority and f					
Approved Budget F Authority →	iscal Year: \$			Fiscal Year(s) – eted Obligation →	\$
Is this consistent with th	e adopted bud	get? □Yes	N	0	
If yes, which Task ir	ncludes budget	authority?			
If no, has the budge					
April 18 and April		ONTRACT MA	NAGE	MENT	
Please mark an "X" ne	ext to all that a	ipply:		_	
	☐ Private	☐ Non-Loc		Local 🔲 Parti	y Local
Disadvantaged Busines	s Enterprise: [⊠No □Yes_	%		
Task Manager: Ty Sch	uiling		Contrac	ct Manager: Philip	Chu/
Will To	U1.	8/12/08) 15	2/13/6
Task, Manager Signatur	e	Date	Contra	act Manager Signate	ure Date
Alla Stant		8/12/0k			•
Chief Financial Officer S	Signature	Date			

Filename: C09016-pc

SANBAG Agreement No. C09016 TRADE CORRIDORS IMPROVEMENT FUND PROJECT BASELINE AGREEMENT

1. PARTIES AND DATE

1.1 This Project Baseline Agreement (Agreement) for the South Milliken Ave. Grade Separation, effective on September 1, 2008, is made by and between the California Transportation Commission (Commission), the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), and the San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG), and the City of Ontario (Project Sponsors), sometimes collectively referred to as the "Parties".

2. RECITAL

2.1 Whereas at its April 10, 2008 Meeting the California Transportation Commission programmed the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund and included in this program of projects the South Milliken Ave. Grade Separation, the parties are entering into this Project Baseline Agreement to document the project cost, schedule, scope and benefits, as detailed on the Project Programming Request Form attached hereto as Exhibit A, the Draft Project Study Report or Equivalent attached hereto as Exhibit B, and the Project Benefits Form attached hereto as Exhibit C, as the baseline for project monitoring by the California Transportation Commission and its Project Delivery Council. The undersigned Project Sponsor certifies that the funding sources cited are committed and expected to be available; the estimated costs represent full project funding; and the scope and description of benefits is the best estimate possible.

3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Project Sponsor and Caltrans agree to abide by the following provisions:

- 3.1 To meet the requirements of Government Code Section 8879.23(c)(1), as added by Proposition 1B, and of Government Code Section 8879.50, as enacted through implementing legislation in 2007 (Senate Bill 88 and Assembly Bill 193).
- 3.2 To adhere to the provisions of the California Transportation Commission Resolution TCIP-P-0708-01, "Adoption of Program of Projects for the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF)," dated April 10, 2008.
- 3.3 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Trade Corridors Improvement Fund Guidelines.
- 3.4 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Accountability Implementation Plan and Policies, and program and baseline amendment processes.
- 3.5 The Sponsoring Agency agrees to secure funds for any additional costs of the project. Any change to the funding commitments outline in this agreement requires an amendment.

Page 1 C009016-pc

- 3.6 To report to the California Transportation Commission on a quarterly basis on the progress made toward the implementation of the project, including scope, cost and schedule.
- 3.7 To report to the California Transportation Commission on the progress, on a quarterly basis, and outcomes, at the end of the environmental phase, of the environmental process with regard to air quality impacts due to emissions from diesel or other particulates and related mitigation strategies. Whereas the Bond Act mandates that the Commission shall allocate TCIF for trade infrastructure improvements in a manner that places emphasis on projects that improve trade corridor mobility while reducing emissions of diesel particulate and other pollutant emissions, the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and the Corridor Coalition understand and agree that the California Transportation Commission will only allocate TCIF to projects that can demonstrate compliance with applicable environmental requirements. If environmental clearance is conditioned to the implementation of mitigation measures, the sponsoring agency must commit, in writing, to the implementation of those mitigation measures.
- 3.8 To maintain and make available to the California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, all work related documents, including engineering and financial data, during the course of the project and retain those records for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Financial records will be maintained in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
- 3.9 The California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, has the right to audit the project records, including technical and financial data, of the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and any subconsultants at any time during the course of the project and for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Audits will be conducted in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards.

4. SPECIFIC PROVISIONS AND CONDITIONS

4.1 Project Schedule and Cost

See Project Programming Request Form, attached as Exhibit A.

4.2 Project Scope

See Project Study Report/Project Study Report Equivalent, attached as Exhibit B.

4.3 Project Scope

See Project Benefits Form, Attached as Exhibit C.

4.4 Other Project Specific Provisions and Conditions

	_
Deborah Barmack	DATE
Executive Director	
San Bernardino Associated Governments	
Gregory C. Devereaux	DATE
City Manager	
City of Ontario	
•	
Will Kempton	DATE
Director	
California Department of Transportation	
John F Barna, Jr.	DATE
Executive Director	
California Transportation Commission	
\bigcap	
$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L})$	w) -) - ,
1/e Ve IV	1/13/DY
Approved as to Form by:	DATE
Jean-Rene Basle	
SANBAG County Counsel	

Page 3 C009016-pc

Exhibit A



2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

General Instructions

✓ New Project	Amendment (Existing Proje	ect)		D:(te#	03/10/08
Cilians Distinc	Δ) E/A	PRNO	M(2/0/10)		TERPINE.
08		0.00	200405	···	
Country In	entelonito Pane	ai Sporkovilekei Aej	entery Wis	(6)	Elemeni
SBD	SANBAG		SC/		MT
Project Title					
South Milliken Av	e Railroad Grade Separati	on at UP/Los Angeles	·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
PMBK PMAD				enil Ayorolas	- S(S)
	Tom Danna	909-395-2387		oci.ontario	
Location, Proje	ai Liniis, Disodojio, Se	1000 01 Work 1000 11	stilve Desembling	Johomano	
In the City of Ont	ario along Alameda Corrid	or East, on Milliken Av	ve at UP/Los Angele	es immedi	ately north of
Mission Blvd, cor	nstruct grade separation (lil	kely highway under ra	il).		,
Component		ling Actantey	1		
PA&ED	Ontario	min a colome /	(AB) 3060	Fence (o	िरिक्त भिरम्भवीष्ट
PS&E	Ontario				
Right of Way	Ontario				
Construction	Ontario	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			П
Laciative Dat	des				
Assembly		Senate:	31, 32		The second secon
Congressional					
Purpose and Me					
Milliken Ave is a	north/south corridor east o	f Los Angeles/Ontario	International Airpo	rt (ONT) ri	unning from SR-
60 to I-10 and pro	ovides access to and from	ONT (for both passer	nger traffic and carg	o-related	uses), and to
Ontario's Foreign	Trade Zone No. 50-1 (an	extension of the Port	of Long Beach's FT	Z No. 50)	. It carries an
estimated 19,500	vehicles per day (43,000	vpd by 2030), and hea	avy duty trucks are	estimated	to comprise 20
percent of daily ti	raffic. Separating the railre	oad crossing from Mil	liken Ave, which is	a key loca	tion along the
access to the loc	r East, will mitigate commu istics complex and the air of	inity impacts of goods	s movement and pro	ovide more	e reliable truck
access to the log	isues complex and the all t	Jaigo lacililles at ON	•		
alleneEregions					
	eparations increase travel i	reliability on major roa	dways for both the	communit	v and truck
traffic, improve a	ir quality, and eliminate pot	ential conflicts between	en vehicular and tra	in traffic.	which all act to
mitigate the impa	act of freight movement on	communities. This gr	rade separation will	eliminate	gate down time
totaling 2 hours p	er day in 2030 and is estin	nated to reduce 131 d	laily vehicle hours o	f delay in	2030.
			-	•	
					======================================
Project Mileston					Desc
Project Study Re	port Approved ental (PA&ED) Phase				N/A
	nvironmental Document		(50-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-	NI/A	07/01/08
Draft Project Rep			Elegated and American	N/A	CEQA SE
	tal Phase (PA&ED Milestor	ne)	·····		01/01/09
Begin Design (Ps		10)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	se (Ready to List for Advert	isement Milestone)	····		01/01/10 12/31/1
Begin Right of W					01/01/10
End Right of Wav	y Phase (Right of Way Cer	tification Milestone)			12/31/1
Begin Construction	on Phase (Contract Award	Milestone)			12/01/12
	Phase (Construction Con		stone)		12/31/14
Begin Closeout F					01/01/1
End Closeout Ph	ase (Closeout Report)				04/30/18

Page 4 C009016-pc



2008 Project Programming Request (Funding Information)

CEEDOS S	(dollars in thousand	is and escalated to the p	rogrammed year)	Date: 03/10/08
County	CT District	PPNO	TCRP Project No.	EA
SBD	08	0	0	0
Project Title: South Milliken Ave Rails	road Grade Separation a	at UP/Los Angeles		

	Existing Total Project Cost											
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Implementing Agency			
E&P (PA&ED)	. 0	0	- 0	0	0	- 0	0	0				
PS&E	0	. 0	6	0	- 0	0	O	D				
R/W SUP (CT)	. 0	- 0	. 0	0	. 0	. 0	. 0	0				
CON SUP (CT)	0	0.0	0	0	0	. 0	0	. 0				
R/W	0	- 0	0 ::::::::::	0		0	- 0	0				
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
TOTAL	. 0	· 4 · 1 0	0	0	0	0	Ü	0				
-			Proposed '	rotal Projec	t Cost							
E&P (PA&ED)	750	0	O	- 0	0	ρ	. 0	750				
PS&E	. 0	. 0	2,000	0	0	0	0	2,000				
R/W SUP (CT)	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0				
CON SUP (CT)	. 0	6 S 0	- 0		. 0	0	0	. 0				
RW	- 0	0	546	Đ	. 0	0	. 0	546				
CON	-0	0	. 0	. 0	26,787	0	0	26,787				
TOTAL	750	0.00	2.546		26,787	. 0		30,083				

Fund No. 1:									Program Code
			Existi	ng Funding					
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	. 0	0	0	0	. 0	- 0	. 0	
PS&E	. 0	0	ä,	0	0	0	0	0	
R/W SUP (CT)	- 0	. 0	0	. O	0	0	0	. 0	
CON SUP (CT)	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	. 0	0	
RW	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0		0	- 0		0	0	
TOTAL	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	Ü	o o	
			Propos	sed Funding	9				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								. 0	Proposed TCIF
PS&E								0	·
R/W SUP (CT)								0	
CON SUP (CT)									
RW				7				0	
CON					8,031			8,031	
TOTAL	. 0			a	8,031	O		8,031	

Fund No. 2:									Program Code
			Existi	ng Funding	3				
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	- 0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	
PS&E	. 0	. 0	. 0	0	0	# Y O	- 6		
R/W SUP (CT)	. 1/ 0	0	- 0	. 0	0	0	0	0	
CON SUP (CT)	. 0	- 0	0		0	0.00	О.	- 0	
RW	. 0	0	. 0		. 0	0.6	0	- 0	
CON	- 0	- 0	0		D D	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0		. 0	0	. 0	0	. 0	
			Propos	sed Fundin	g				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								- 0	Developer Impact Fees
PS&E								. 0	' '
R/W SUP (CT)								0	
CON SUP (CT)								- 0	
R/W								0	
CON					6,017			6,017	
TOTAL	. 0	- 0	. 0	0	6.017	0	0	6,017	

Fund No. 3:									Program Code
			Existin	ng Funding					
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	- 0	0	. 0	. 0	. 0	0	. 0	0	
PS&E	. 0	0	G	o o	Q V	, 7 a	e	. 0	
R/W SUP (CT)	0	0	0	. 0	. 0	. 0	0	0	
CON SUP (CT)	- 0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	3 0	
R/W	- 0	. 0		. 0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0		. 0	. 0	0	0	O	
TOTAL	0	Ü	0	. 0	0	0	. 0	. 0	
			Propos	ed Fundin	9				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)	750							750	San Bernardino County
PS&Ē			2,000						Measure I
R/W SUP (CT)								. 0	
CON SUP (CT)								. 0	
R/W			546					546	
CON					12,739			12,739	
TOTAL	750	0	2,546	. 0	12,739	O	0	16,035	

Page o
C009016-pc

Trade Corridor Improvement Fund Project Benefits Form EXHIBIT C

Project Title:

S Milliken Ave. Grade Separation

Project Category:

Grade Separation project (rail)

Project Type:

Removal of at grade crossing

Outputs:

Removal of one at grade crossing

Outcomes:

<u>Outcome</u>

Safety

Eliminate potential accidents with at grade crossings of rial lines

Velocity

Reduction of 29 existing daily vehicle hours
Reduction of 131 daily vehicle hours in 2030

Elinination of current gate down time of 1.3 hours per day

Throughput

Elimination of gate down time of 2.0 hours per day in 2030

Reliability

Eliminate emergency vehicle delay time up to 5 minutes

Congestion Reduction

Eliminate current at grade vehicle queue rate of 203 vehicles per hour per lane Eliminate at grade vehicle queue rate of 448 vehicles per hour per lane in 2030

Emissions Reduction

Estimate emission reductions in tons per day

CO2- 0.077 Nox- 0.00005 PM2.5- 0.00001 ROG- 0.00002

Page 7 C009016-pc

SANBAG Contract No. <u>C09-017</u>

by and between

San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

and

California Transportation Commission

for

North Milliken Ave. Grade Separation

North Willinger / Ave. Grade Ocparation										
2.99000	FOR A	CCC	DUNTING	PURPOS	SES ONLY	1	Maria de la compansión de La compansión de la compa			
☐ Payable	Vendor Con	tract	#		Retention	:	☑ Original			
Receivable	Vendor ID C	CTC			☐ Yes	_% ⊠ No	☐ Amendment			
Notes:							,			
Original Contract	¢ 0		Previous A	\mendme	nts Total:		\$			
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>		Previous A	\$						
Contingency Amount:	\$		Current Ar	Current Amendment: \$						
	· ———				t Contingen	су:	\$			
Contingency Amount requires specific authorization by Task Manager prior to release.										
Contract TOTAL → \$ 0										
<u>Task</u>	Cost Code		nding Sourc	<u>es</u> (Grant ID	Amo	<u>ounts</u>			
<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>TCI</u>	<u>F</u>	-		·				
				-	·····	-				
				-						
Orlein al Desart A	<u></u> \$									
Original Board Approved		te:			t Start:		ntract End:			
New Amend. Approval (Start:		end. End:			
If this is a multi-year country budget authority and for	ontract/amer uture fiscal v	idme earl	ent, please s)-unbudo	allocate	budget aut	hority amo	ong approved			
Approved Budget F			_		iscal Year	(s) -				
Authority -	\$				eted Obliga	• •	\$			
Is this consistent with the	e adopted but	dget'	?	s \square No)					
If yes, which Task in	cludes budge	et au	thority?	_						
If no, has the budge	t amendment	bee	n submitted	?	□No					
1	C	ON'	TRACT MA	ANAGEN	IENT		ne o divining			
Please mark an "X" ne	xt to all that	appl	y:							
	☐ Private		☐ Non-Loc	al 🔲	Local	☐ Partly L	ocal			
☑ Intergovernmental ☐ Private ☐ Non-Local ☐ Local ☐ Partly Local Disadvantaged Business Enterprise: ☑No ☐Yes%										
Task Manager: Ty Schuiling Contract Manager: Philip Chu										
Willi ZSI	X		3/2/08		> ·		8/17/08			
Task Manager Signature	0110100									
Gull Shout	C.	<i>u</i>	/12/01				Date			
Chief Financial Officer S	ignature	_ <i>o</i> [<i>) 2 00</i> Date	ı						

Filename: C09017-pc

SANBAG Agreement No. C09017 TRADE CORRIDORS IMPROVEMENT FUND PROJECT BASELINE AGREEMENT

1. PARTIES AND DATE

1.1 This Project Baseline Agreement (Agreement) for the North Milliken Ave. Grade Separation, effective on September 1, 2008, is made by and between the California Transportation Commission (Commission), the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), and the San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG), and the City of Ontario (Project Sponsors), sometimes collectively referred to as the "Parties".

2. RECITAL

2.1 Whereas at its April 10, 2008 Meeting the California Transportation Commission programmed the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund and included in this program of projects the North Milliken Ave. Grade Separation, the parties are entering into this Project Baseline Agreement to document the project cost, schedule, scope and benefits, as detailed on the Project Programming Request Form attached hereto as Exhibit A, the Draft Project Study Report or Equivalent attached hereto as Exhibit B, and the Project Benefits Form attached hereto as Exhibit C, as the baseline for project monitoring by the California Transportation Commission and its Project Delivery Council. The undersigned Project Sponsor certifies that the funding sources cited are committed and expected to be available; the estimated costs represent full project funding; and the scope and description of benefits is the best estimate possible.

3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Project Sponsor and Caltrans agree to abide by the following provisions:

- 3.1 To meet the requirements of Government Code Section 8879.23(c)(1), as added by Proposition 1B, and of Government Code Section 8879.50, as enacted through implementing legislation in 2007 (Senate Bill 88 and Assembly Bill 193).
- 3.2 To adhere to the provisions of the California Transportation Commission Resolution TCIP-P-0708-01, "Adoption of Program of Projects for the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF)," dated April 10, 2008.
- 3.3 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Trade Corridors Improvement Fund Guidelines.
- 3.4 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Accountability Implementation Plan and Policies, and program and baseline amendment processes.
- 3.5 The Sponsoring Agency agrees to secure funds for any additional costs of the project. Any change to the funding commitments outline in this agreement requires an amendment.

Page 1 C09017-pc

- 3.6 To report to the California Transportation Commission on a quarterly basis on the progress made toward the implementation of the project, including scope, cost and schedule.
- 3.7 To report to the California Transportation Commission on the progress, on a quarterly basis, and outcomes, at the end of the environmental phase, of the environmental process with regard to air quality impacts due to emissions from diesel or other particulates and related mitigation strategies. Whereas the Bond Act mandates that the Commission shall allocate TCIF for trade infrastructure improvements in a manner that places emphasis on projects that improve trade corridor mobility while reducing emissions of diesel particulate and other pollutant emissions, the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and the Corridor Coalition understand and agree that the California Transportation Commission will only allocate TCIF to projects that can demonstrate compliance with applicable environmental requirements. If environmental clearance is conditioned to the implementation of mitigation measures, the sponsoring agency must commit, in writing, to the implementation of those mitigation measures.
- 3.8 To maintain and make available to the California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, all work related documents, including engineering and financial data, during the course of the project and retain those records for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Financial records will be maintained in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
- 3.9 The California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, has the right to audit the project records, including technical and financial data, of the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and any subconsultants at any time during the course of the project and for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Audits will be conducted in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards.

4. SPECIFIC PROVISIONS AND CONDITIONS

4.1 Project Schedule and Cost

See Project Programming Request Form, attached as Exhibit A.

4.2 **Project Scope**

See Project Study Report/Project Study Report Equivalent, attached as Exhibit B.

4.3 Project Scope

See Project Benefits Form, Attached as Exhibit C.

4.4 Other Project Specific Provisions and Conditions

The Project is also a recipient of Traffic Congestion Relief Project (TCRP) funds.

Deborah Barmack Executive Director San Bernardino Associated Governments	DATE
Gregory C. Devereaux City Manager City of Ontario	DATE
Will Kempton Director California Department of Transportation	DATE
John F Barna, Jr. Executive Director California Transportation Commission	DATE
Approved as to Form by:	\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

Page 3 C09017-pc

SANBAG County Counsel

Exhibit A

2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

General Instructions

✓ New Project	Amendment (Existing Project)			Deje	03/10/08
Californs Distrib		PP((0)	MP		TORPING.
08			2001	0139	55.2
Country Re	outexCondition Project	and the second	n(e)//	MPO	Henrin
SBD	SANBAG			SCAG	МТ
Piolegi Tille					
North Milliken Ave	e Railroad Grade Separation	at UPRR	• Annual Communication and Communication Communication	and the second s	Andrew Control and Control of the Co
EMIBK EMIANO	Piored Moraginer	Phone		Ennell Ayoko	liess
	Tom Danna	909-395-2387		tdanna@ci.onta	
Location, Projec	n Flings' જિલ્લાઇયુગમ સુંવર્ષ				inorodiao
In the City of Onta	ario along Alameda Corridor I	East, on Milliken Ave	at UPRE	between Guas	ti and Airport Dr
construct grade s	eparation (likely highway und	er rail). (TCRP Leg.	Desc A	lameda Corrido	r East: Build grade
separations on Bu	urlington Northern Santa Fe a	and Union Pacific Ra	ailroad line	s with rail to rail	separation at
Colton through Sa	an Bernardino County.)				
(James and A	intrinsianel grad	e) Agenday	A/B	3090 Lake	enting Prepides
PA&ED	Ontario				
PS&E	Ontario				
Right of Way	Ontario				
Construction	Ontario				
For islative pish	and the second s				
Assembly:		Senate: 3	32		
Congressional:			***		
Purpose and Ner				عاد المراجعة والتحديد في المراجع والتحديد المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المرا	
IVIIIIKen Ave is a r	north/south corridor east of Lo	os Angeles/Ontario I	nternation	al Airport (ONT) running from SR-
Optorio's Foreign	vides access to and from ON	vi (for both passeng	ger traffic a	and cargo-relate	ed uses), and to
estimated 32 000	Trade Zone No. 50-1 (an exvehicles per day (45,000 vpc	tension of the Port o	TLONG BE	acn's FI∠NO. t	ou). It carries an
nercent of daily tr	affic. Separating the railroad	i by 2030), and near	/y duty tru	cks are estimati	ea to comprise 26
Alameda Corridor	East, will mitigate communit	vimpacts of goods	movemen	vnich is a key io	cation along the
access to the logi	stics complex and the air car	nn facilities at ONT	movemen	and provide m	ore reliable truck
	out our our our	go radiities at O141.			
Pioled Bondilla					
	parations increase travel reli	ability on major road	lways for I	ooth the commu	nity and truck
traffic, improve air	quality, and eliminate poten	tial conflicts between	n vehicula	and train traffic	c. which all act to
mitigate the impac	ct of freight movement on co	mmunities. This gra	de separa	ition will elimina	te gate down time
totaling 2.4 hours	per day in 2030 and is estim	ated to reduce 218	daily vehic	le hours of dela	v in 2030.
			•		•
Project Mileston	9				Date
Project Study Rep		·			N/A
Begin Environmen	ntal (PA&ED) Phase				07/11/01
Circulate Draft En	vironmental Document		deletaris) ur	N/A	CEQA SE
Draft Project Repo	ort				12/16/02
	al Phase (PA&ED Milestone)				11/21/02
Begin Design (PS				····	01/01/07
Begin Dight of Wa	e (Ready to List for Advertise	ement Milestone)			06/30/08
Begin Right of Way	Phase (Pight of May Cartiff	ation Milaters		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	03/01/08
Begin Construction	Phase (Right of Way Certific n Phase (Contract Award Mi	lastone)			10/31/08
End Construction	Phase (Construction Contract	ot Acceptance Miles	tonol		01/01/09
Begin Closeout Pl	hase (Constituction Contra	LI Acceptance Willes	ione)		11/30/10
	ase (Closeout Report)				12/01/10
Cioscout i lie	(Signaturi report)				12/31/10

2008 Project Programming Request (Funding Information)

	(dollars in thousands and escalated to the programmed year)									
County	CT District	PPNO	TCRP Project No.	E,	Α					
SBD	08	0	55.2	0						
Project Title: North Milliken Ave Railr	oad Grade Separation a	t UPRR								

			Existing T	otal Project	t Cost			T T	······································
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Implementing Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	- 0	0	ű	2.0	0	0	- 0	
PS&E	0 11	0	. 0	0	Q	. 0	0.00 C	. 0	
R/W SUP (CT)		0	0	0	. 0	- 0	0	0	
CON SUP (CT)		0.55	10	(347 50 10)	0	0	- UNIV. 0	0	
R/W	0	10	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	
CON	0	9 0	0	0	- 0	** C# 0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0		0	0.00	- 1245 T TD	0	1 O	
			Proposed 1	Total Projec	ct Cost				<u> </u>
E&P (PA&ED)	557	0	0			0	.0	557	
PS&E	4.440		0.00			0	0	4,443	
R/W SUP (CT)	0	. 0	0	- O	9	- 0	. 0	. 0	
CON SUP (CT)	127 0	0	0		2, 47, 77, 40	19.00 × 0		0	
R/W	200	eren e C	0.00	. 0		34.0		200	
CON	- 1 - C	69,010	7 0	0	0	0	11 10 11 0	69,010	
TOTAL	5,200	69,010	0	Ó		0	0	74.210	

Fund No. 1:									Program Code
			Existi	ng Funding	3				
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)		0	- 0	iĝ.		6	0	0	
PS&E	0	0.00	- 0	0		0		0	
R/W SUP (CT)	. 0		0		1.5 0		D	. 0	
CON SUP (CT)	0	e (e 0	0	14(14 to 0	0			0	
R/W	. 0		0	Q	201	0	a a a a a a a a	0	
CON		0	0	0	0		0	1 0	
TOTAL	0	0.00		od sam	100	D	0	0	
			Propo:	sed Fundir	g				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)				-				0	Proposed TCIF
PS&E								0	· ·
R/W SUP (CT)								0	
CON SUP (CT)								9	
R/W								- 0	1
CON		6,490						6,496	
TOTAL	0	6.490	0	- 0	. 0	0	3 0	6,490	1

Fund No. 2:									Program Code	
			Existi	ng Funding	3					
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency	
E&P (PA&ED)	, 0	0	0	. 0	. 0	0	0	0		
PS&E	0	1.0	0	0	0	0	- 0	Ū		
R/W SUP (CT)	0	- 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
CON SUP (CT)	G)			9	6 3 - 1 - 6	1,134 O	G			
R/W	0	0	0	0			O	- 0		
CON		0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL	- 0	O	0			0	0	. 0		
			Propos	sed Fundin	g				Notes	
E&P (PA&ED)	557		•					557	TCRP - Ontario	
PS&E	4,443				_			4,443		
R/W SUP (CT)								- 0		
CON SUP (CT)								. 0		
R/W	100							100		
CON		43,454						43,454		
TOTAL	5.100	43,454	O	0	0	. 0	- 0	48,554		

Fund No. 3:									Program Code
			Existin	ng Funding	3				
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	- 0	. 0	
PS&E	. 0	G	0	an en 0	0	61. o	9	G	
R/W SUP (CT)	0	- 0	0	0	0	- 0	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	
CON SUP (CT)	O.	0	. 0	. 0	D	0	- 0	0	
R/W			D D	0		0	, e O	0	•
CON	0	0	0	• 0	0.00		. c+ 0	0.00	
TOTAL	. 0	0		0	0	0		. 0	
			Propos	sed Fundir	ıg				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)			l				_	is 0	Developer Impact Fee
PS&E								0	
R/W SUP (CT)								0	
CON SUP (CT)								0	
R/W	100							100	
CON		12,986						12,986	
TOTAL	100	12,986	0	0	0	0	0	13.086	

Page 5 C09017-pc

Fund No. 4:									Program Code
			Existi	ng Funding					
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	
PS&E	-0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	
R/W SUP (CT)	- 0	. 0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON SUP (CT)	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	
R/W	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	. 0	- 0	0	0	0	0	. 0	O	
			Propos	ed Fundin	9				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								. 0	UPRR
PS&E								- 0	
R/W SUP (CT)								. 0	
CON SUP (CT)								. 0	
R/W								0	
CON		3,580						3,580	
TOTAL	. 0	3,580	0	. 0	0	0	0	3,580	

Fund No. 5:									Program Code
			Existi	ing Funding)				
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0		0	. 0	0	. 0	
PS&E	. 0	0	0	. 0	. 0	0	. 0	.0	
R/W SUP (CT)	0	0	. 0	0		0	.0	0	
CON SUP (CT)	0	0	. 0	. 0	0	0	0	. 0	
R/W		0	0	. 0	0	ð	0	0	
CON	0	62	7.0	0	0	5	0	- 0	
TOTAL	0	0	- 0	0	- 0	0	0	- 0	
			Propo	sed Fundir	ng				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								0	San Bernardino County
PS&E								0	Measure I
R/W SUP (CT)								0	
CON SUP (CT)								0	
R/W								C	
CON		2,500						2,500	
TOTAL	0	2,500	0	0	0	0	. 0	2,500	

Trade Corridor Improvement Fund Project Benefits Form EXHIBIT C

Project Title:

N Milliken Ave. Grade Separation

Project Category:

Grade Separation project (rail)

Project Type:

Removal of at grade crossing

Outputs:

Removal of one at grade crossing

Outcomes:

<u>Outcome</u>

Safety

Eliminate potential accidents with at grade crossings of rial lines

Velocity

Reduction of 98 existing daily vehicle hours Reduction of 218 daily vehicle hours in 2030

Elinination of current gate down time of 1.6 hours per day Elimination of gate down time of 2.4 hours per day in 2030

Throughput

Reliability

Eliminate emergency vehicle delay time up to 5 minutes

Congestion Reduction

Eliminate current at grade vehicle queue rate of 394 vehicles per hour per lane Eliminate at grade vehicle queue rate of 625 vehicles per hour per lane in 2030

Emissions Reduction

Estimate emission reductions in tons per day CO2-0.27 Nox-0.00019 PM2.5-0.00002

ROG- 0.00008

Page 7 C09017-pc

- 3.6 To report to the California Transportation Commission on a quarterly basis on the progress made toward the implementation of the project, including scope, cost and schedule.
- 3.7 To report to the California Transportation Commission on the progress, on a quarterly basis, and outcomes, at the end of the environmental phase, of the environmental process with regard to air quality impacts due to emissions from diesel or other particulates and related mitigation strategies. Whereas the Bond Act mandates that the Commission shall allocate TCIF for trade infrastructure improvements in a manner that places emphasis on projects that improve trade corridor mobility while reducing emissions of diesel particulate and other pollutant emissions, the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and the Corridor Coalition understand and agree that the California Transportation Commission will only allocate TCIF to projects that can demonstrate compliance with applicable environmental requirements. If environmental clearance is conditioned to the implementation of mitigation measures, the sponsoring agency must commit, in writing, to the implementation of those mitigation measures.
- 3.8 To maintain and make available to the California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, all work related documents, including engineering and financial data, during the course of the project and retain those records for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Financial records will be maintained in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
- 3.9 The California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, has the right to audit the project records, including technical and financial data, of the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and any subconsultants at any time during the course of the project and for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Audits will be conducted in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards.

4. SPECIFIC PROVISIONS AND CONDITIONS

4.1 Project Schedule and Cost

See Project Programming Request Form, attached as Exhibit A.

4.2 Project Scope

See Project Study Report/Project Study Report Equivalent, attached as Exhibit B.

4.3 **Project Scope**

See Project Benefits Form, Attached as Exhibit C.

4.4 Other Project Specific Provisions and Conditions

The Project is also a recipient of State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) funds.

SANBAG Contract No. <u>C09-018</u>

by and between

San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

and

California Transportation Commission

for

Vineyard Ave. Grade Separation Project

FOR ACCOUNTING PURPOSES ONLY										
☐ Payable	Vendor Con	tract #	Ret	ention:	□ Original					
Receivable	Vendor ID C	CTC	Y	es % 🛛 No	☐ Amendment					
Notes:										
		Previous A	Amendments Total: \$							
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>	Previous /	Previous Amendments Contingency Total: \$							
		Current A	mendment:		\$					
Contingency Amount:	\$	Current A	mendment Cor	itingency:	\$					
Contingency Amount require	s specific authoriz	। ation by Task Mana	ger prior to release	e.						
	•	·	Contract	TOTAL → \$ <u>0</u>						
◆ Please include funding allocation for the original contract or the amendment.										
<u>Task</u>	Cost Code	Funding Source	es <u>Grant</u>		<u>iounts</u>					
<u>N/A</u>	N/A	<u>TCIF</u>		. \$_						
				\$						
-				· \$_						
				<u> </u>						
Original Board Approve		te: <u>9/3/08</u>	Contract Sta		ntract End: <u>N/A</u>					
New Amend. Approval					nend. End:					
If this is a multi-year of budget authority and	contract/amer future fiscal y	ndment, please /ear(s)-unbudg	e allocate budo jeted obligation	get authority am ns:	ong approved					
1	Fiscal Year: _ \$		Future Fisca	l Year(s) –	\$					
Is this consistent with the lift yes, which Task			s □No							
If no, has the budg	_		d? ∐Yes ∐No)						
Parking and Control of the Control o	C	ONTRACT M	ANAGEMEN	T	Harris III (1997) (1997) 1997					
Please mark an "X" n	ext to all that	apply:		·						
	☐ Private	☐ Non-Lo	cal 🗌 Loc	al 🔲 Partly I	Local					
Disadvantaged Busine	ss Enterprise:	⊠No □Yes	%							
Task Manager: Ty Sch	uiling		Contract Ma	nager: Philip Ch	u					
Wall - M	hs	8/12/12			2 8/13/					
Task Manager Signatu	re /	Date	Contract M	anager Signature						
Jula Shad		8/12/95								
Chief Financial Officer	Signature	Date								

Filename: C09018-pc

SANBAG Agreement No. C09018 TRADE CORRIDORS IMPROVEMENT FUND PROJECT BASELINE AGREEMENT

1. PARTIES AND DATE

1.1 This Project Baseline Agreement (Agreement) for the <u>Vineyard Ave Grade Separation</u>, effective on September 1, 2008, is made by and between the California Transportation Commission (Commission), the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), and the <u>San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG)</u>, and the City of Ontario (Project Sponsors), sometimes collectively referred to as the "Parties".

2. RECITAL

2.1 Whereas at its April 10, 2008 Meeting the California Transportation Commission programmed the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund and included in this program of projects the Vineyard Ave. Grade Separation, the parties are entering into this Project Baseline Agreement to document the project cost, schedule, scope and benefits, as detailed on the Project Programming Request Form attached hereto as Exhibit A, the Draft Project Study Report or Equivalent attached hereto as Exhibit B, and the Project Benefits Form attached hereto as Exhibit C, as the baseline for project monitoring by the California Transportation Commission and its Project Delivery Council. The undersigned Project Sponsor certifies that the funding sources cited are committed and expected to be available; the estimated costs represent full project funding; and the scope and description of benefits is the best estimate possible.

3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Project Sponsor and Caltrans agree to abide by the following provisions:

- 3.1 To meet the requirements of Government Code Section 8879.23(c)(1), as added by Proposition 1B, and of Government Code Section 8879.50, as enacted through implementing legislation in 2007 (Senate Bill 88 and Assembly Bill 193).
- 3.2 To adhere to the provisions of the California Transportation Commission Resolution TCIP-P-0708-01, "Adoption of Program of Projects for the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF)," dated April 10, 2008.
- 3.3 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Trade Corridors Improvement Fund Guidelines.
- 3.4 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Accountability Implementation Plan and Policies, and program and baseline amendment processes.
- 3.5 The Sponsoring Agency agrees to secure funds for any additional costs of the project. Any change to the funding commitments outline in this agreement requires an amendment.

Page 1 C09018-pc

- 3.6 To report to the California Transportation Commission on a quarterly basis on the progress made toward the implementation of the project, including scope, cost and schedule.
- 3.7 To report to the California Transportation Commission on the progress, on a quarterly basis, and outcomes, at the end of the environmental phase, of the environmental process with regard to air quality impacts due to emissions from diesel or other particulates and related mitigation strategies. Whereas the Bond Act mandates that the Commission shall allocate TCIF for trade infrastructure improvements in a manner that places emphasis on projects that improve trade corridor mobility while reducing emissions of diesel particulate and other pollutant emissions, the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and the Corridor Coalition understand and agree that the California Transportation Commission will only allocate TCIF to projects that can demonstrate compliance with applicable environmental requirements. If environmental clearance is conditioned to the implementation of mitigation measures, the sponsoring agency must commit, in writing, to the implementation of those mitigation measures.
- 3.8 To maintain and make available to the California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, all work related documents, including engineering and financial data, during the course of the project and retain those records for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Financial records will be maintained in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
- 3.9 The California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, has the right to audit the project records, including technical and financial data, of the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and any subconsultants at any time during the course of the project and for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Audits will be conducted in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards.

4. SPECIFIC PROVISIONS AND CONDITIONS

4.1 Project Schedule and Cost

See Project Programming Request Form, attached as Exhibit A.

4.2 Project Scope

See Project Study Report/Project Study Report Equivalent, attached as Exhibit B.

4.3 Project Scope

See Project Benefits Form, Attached as Exhibit C.

4.4 Other Project Specific Provisions and Conditions

Deborah Barmack	DATE
Executive Director	21111
San Bernardino Associated Governments	
Gregory C. Devereaux	DATE
City Manager	
City of Ontario	
Will Kompton	DATE
Will Kempton Director	DATE
California Department of Transportation	
Camiornia Department of Fransportation	
John F Barna, Jr.	DATE
Executive Director	
California Transportation Commission	
$()_{\alpha} \setminus \mathcal{A}$	8/-1.4
The sa Il	0/)3/ <i>U</i> f
Approved as to Form by:	DAIL
Jean-Rene Basle	
SANBAG County Counsel	

Page 3 C09018-pc

Exhibit A



2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

				u	eneral manacher	3
✓ New Project	Amendment (Existing Project	t)		Date	03/10/08	
ehele encue	BEAN DE L	PPN(0)	1/16/0		TOBE NO.	
08			200849			-
CLOUDINGY LAC	egest soldhoodag	i Sponsowileed Augon	egy I	(JE(O)	nienielzi	
SBD	SANBAG		#7 L	SCAG	MT	ب بالاداء
Piolegrafiae				00/10	(VI)	-
	Iroad Grade Separation at	IP/Alhambra			سعري والمعادرة والمكتب المستوانية	
	Pioles Mor/Cortes	Pione I		i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Sout Mills	
Garage Co.				E-meil Aoloh		
	Tom Danna	909-395-2387		nna@ci.ontar	o.ca.us	
In the City of Onto	i Links, Description, Sco	195 on Works, Literal	WE DESEMBLE	<u> </u>		يسجم
Blud construct or	ario along Alameda Corrido	r ⊨ast, on vineyard Av	e at UP/Ainai	mbra immedi	ately south of	Holt
biva, construct gr	ade separation (likely high)	way under rail).				
(Confedentall)	lingsteinert	Sign Market State Commence	The Well of the		-29 Ni - 15 29 209	
PA&ED	Ontario	Well-stelle user.	/AB 309	<u> </u>	मित्राधारी	(M.)
PS&E	Ontario	1,1 <u>1,1 3,00 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1</u>				
Right of Way	Ontario					
Construction	Ontario		 			
redelenine Distr						
Assembly:	To Married Married and the specification of the second companion of the second and the second of the	Senate: 3	2			
Congressional:						
Purpose and Ne	<u>(a)</u>					
Vineyard Ave is a	north/south corridor north	of Los Angeles/Ontario	Internationa	Airport (ON	running fron	n I-
10 to ONT and to	Ontario's Foreign Trade Z	one No. 50-1 (an exten	sion of the P	ort of Lona B	each's FTZ No	٥.
50). It carries an e	əstimated 11,400 vehicles ı	oer day (25,200 vpd by	2030), and h	eavy duty tru	cks are estima	ated
to comprise 20 pe	ercent of daily traffic. Sepa	arating the railroad cros	sing from Vi	nevard Ave, v	vhich is a kev	
location along the	Alameda Corridor East, w	ill mitigate community i	mpacts of go	ods moveme	nt and provide	9
more reliable truc	k access to the logistics co	mplex and the air carg	o facilities at	ONT.		
Projectible						
Haliroad grade se	parations increase travel re	eliability on major road	ways for both	the commun	ity and truck	
trainc, improve all	r quality, and eliminate pote	ential conflicts between	vehicular an	d train traffic,	which all act t	to
iniliyale lile lilipal totalina 2.4 hours	ct of freight movement on c	communities. I nis grad	de separatior	will eliminate	e gate down tir	me
totaling 2.4 nours	per day in 2030 and is esti	mated to reduce 98 da	ily venicle no	urs of delay i	n 2030.	
roedlilibeion					Dete	
Project Study Rep		one to be a succession of the second of the	ili 1800 tilg <u>haya</u> ya yazina.	<u>2000</u>	EGS	N/A
	ntal (PA&ED) Phase			··	07/0	
	vironmental Document	(D	(oldingeleini :[4	oie N/A	CEQA	
Draft Project Rep	ort				01/0	
End Environmenta	al Phase (PA&ED Mileston	e)	····		12/3	
Begin Design (PS	&E) Phase				01/0	
	e (Ready to List for Adverti	sement Milestone)		.** `	12/3	
Begin Right of Wa	ay Phase				01/0	
End Right of Way	Phase (Right of Way Cert	ification Milestone)			12/3	
Begin Constructio	on Phase (Contract Award I	Milestone)			12/0	
	Phase (Construction Cont	ract Acceptance Milest	one)		12/3	
Begin Closeout Pl					01/0	1/15
End Claseout Pha	se (Closeout Report)				0.4/0	0/45

Page 4 C09018-pc



2008 Project Programming Request (Funding Information)

	(dollars in thousand	is and escalated to the p	programmed year)	Date: 03/10/08
County	CT District	PPNO	TCRP Project No.	EA
SBD	08	0	0	0
Project Title: Vineyard Ave Railroad C	rade Separation at UP	/Alhambra		

Existing Total Project Cost									
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Implementing Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	.0	. 0	0	0	
PS&E	8	0.00	e	0	. 0	0	0.00	Grand G	
R/W SUP (CT)	3000000		0	0			P	6	
CON SUP (CT)	0	0		0		100		0	
R/W	. 0	0	0		0		0	CONTRACTOR	
CON	0		10	0		12 × 0	0.00	0	
TOTAL	. 0	- 0	0	0	. 0	D	. 0	0	
			Proposed 1	otal Projec	t Cost				
E&P (PA&ED)	750	- 6	.0	C)	0	100 000	0	750	
PS&E	- 0	- 0	2 000		0	- 10	. 0	2,000	
R/W SUP (CT)	0	0	of the co	6.00 × 1.00				. 0	
CON SUP (CT)	0	0.00	Park Section D	6.237.61.0	0	G	144 14 4 0	50.00	
R/W	0.55	0	546	100	4.4. 2.0	0	100	546	
CON	. 0		9.0	0	22,490	0	W	22,490	
TOTAL	750	0	2.546	0	22,490	6 C	0	25,786	

Fund No. 1:									Program Code
			Existi	ng Funding					
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	. 0		- 6	0	0	0	. 0	0	
PS&E	0	. 0	D	0		0	6		
R/W SUP (CT)		0	0	0 to 5 0	0	(9)	0.00	Market 0	
CON SUP (CT)		(0)	0	0	0	9	01	0	
R/W	0	5.0		9	0	0	0	0	
CON	9	0	.0	Ĝ	9 9 9	Ø	0	0	
TOTAL		** 0	0	6	0		0.00	0	
			Propos	sed Funding	9				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									Proposed TCIF
PS&E								Ü	·
R/W SUP (CT)								0	
CON SUP (CT)								- 0	
R/W								0	
CON					6,884			6,884	
TOTAL		9	9 0	0	6.884		Û	6,884	

Fund No. 2:									Program Code
			Existi	ng Funding	1				
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	. 0	0.	. 0	.0		0	. 0		
PS&E	1. T. O	0	. 0	0	0	0	. 0	. 0	
R/W SUP (CT)	0	10	0	0		0	0	3	
CON SUP (CT)	. 5	- 10		0	0	0	- 0		1 .
R/W	- 0	10	- 0	8	. 0	. O	. 0	- 0	
CON		0.00	0.0	0	- 0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	- 0	0	. 0	0	0	. 0	
			Propo	sed Fundin	g				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									Developer Impact Fees
PS&E								0	, .
R/W SUP (CT)								o d	
CON SUP (CT)								0	
R/W								0.00	
CON					5,157			5,157	
TOTAL	o de la composição	- 0	24 . 0	- 0	5,157	0	- A C	5,157	·

Fund No. 3:									Program Code
			Existi	ng Funding	,				
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	www.c	0	
PS&E	0.0	C C	0		. 0	g Q	0		
R/W SUP (CT)			0	- 0	100	9	- 0	9	
CON SUP (CT)	0	0	0	0	- 0	0	. 0	alizai C	
R/W	- C		/r: 0		. 0	e a			
CON		0	0	ies o	0	1 0	0	0	
TOTAL	- 0	0	6	. 0	0	6	Ü	1 0	
			Propo	sed Fundin	9				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)	750	_						750	San Bernardino County
PS&E			2,000					2,000	Measure I
R/W SUP (CT)								- 0	
CON SUP (CT)								Ü	
R/W			546					546	
CON					10,449			10,449	
TOTAL	750	- 0	2,546	0	10,449	- 0		13,745	

Page 5 C09018-pc

Trade Corridor Improvement Fund Project Benefits Form EXHIBIT C

Project Title:

Vineyard Ave. Grade Separation

Project Category:

Grade Separation project (rail)

Project Type:

Removal of at grade crossing

Outputs:

Removal of one at grade crossing

Outcomes:

<u>Outcome</u>

Safety

Eliminate potential accidents with at grade crossings of rial lines

Velocity

Reduction of 23 existing daily vehicle hours Reduction of 98 daily vehicle hours in 2030

Elinination of current gate down time of 1.6 hours per day Elimination of gate down time of 2.4 hours per day in 2030

Throughput

Reliability

Eliminate emergency vehicle delay time up to 5 minutes

Congestion Reduction

Eliminate current at grade vehicle queue rate of 178 vehicles per hour per lane

Eliminate at grade vehicle queue rate of 394 vehicles per hour per lane in 2030

Emissions Reduction

Estimate emission reductions in tons per day CO2- 0.86 Nox- 0.00006

PM2.5- 0.00001 ROG- 0.00003

Page 6 C09018-pc

SANBAG Contract No. <u>C09-019</u>

by and between

San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

and

California Transportation Commission

for

Lenwood Road Grade Separation Project

	FOR A	CCOUNTING	PURPOS	SES ONLY		
☐ Payable	Vendor Con	tract #		Retention:		
Receivable	Vendor ID C	<u>TC</u>		☐ Yes %	⊠ No	☐ Amendment
Notes:						
0		Previous	Amendme	nts Total:		\$
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>	Previous	Amendme	nts Contingency	/ Total:	\$
		Current A	mendmen	t:		\$
Contingency Amount:	\$	Current A	mendmen	t Contingency:		\$
Contingency Amount requires	specific authoriza	ł				"
		-	-	ract TOTAL →	\$ <u>0</u>	
		◆ Please incl	ude funding a	allocation for the ori	ginal con	tract or the amendment.
<u>Task</u>	Cost Code	Funding Sour	ces (Grant ID		<u>ounts</u>
<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>TCIF</u>	-			
			-		\$	
			-		\$_	
					\$	
Original Board Approved	d Contract Da	te: <u>9/3/08</u>	Contrac	t Start: <u>9/2/08</u>	Cor	ntract End: <u>N/A</u>
New Amend. Approval (Board) Date:		Amend.	. Start:	Am	end. End:
If this is a multi-year country budget authority and f					ity amo	ong approved
Approved Budget F Authority →			Future F	Fiscal Year(s) - eted Obligatio		\$
Is this consistent with th	e adopted bud	lget? ∐Y∈	s 🔲 N	0		
If yes, which Task ir	_					
If no, has the budge	t amendment	been submitte	d? ∐Yes	□No		
	C	ONTRACT N	IANAGEN	JENT		
Please mark an "X" ne	xt to all that	apply:				
☑ Intergovernmental	☐ Private	☐ Non-Lo	ocal [] Local 🔲 🛭	Partly L	ocal
Disadvantaged Busines	s Enterprise:	⊠No ∐Yes	·%			
Task Manager: Ty Sch u	iling		Contrac	ct Manager: Phi	lip Chu	u
6611.71		8/11/0	y <	$\overline{}$		8/13/00
Task Manager Signatur	7	Date	·>	act Manager Sig	inature	Date /
Wille Stunds	- /	8/12/0	A		,	
Chief Financial Officer S	Signature	Date	•			

Filename: C09019-pc

SANBAG Agreement No. C09019 TRADE CORRIDORS IMPROVEMENT FUND

PROJECT BASELINE AGREEMENT

1. PARTIES AND DATE

1.1 This Project Baseline Agreement (Agreement) for the <u>Lenwood Road Grade Separation</u>, effective on September 1, 2008, is made by and between the California Transportation Commission (Commission), the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), and the <u>San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG)</u>, and the <u>City of Barstow</u> (Project Sponsors), sometimes collectively referred to as the "Parties".

2. RECITAL

2.1 Whereas at its April 10, 2008 Meeting the California Transportation Commission programmed the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund and included in this program of projects the Lenwood Road Grade Separation, the parties are entering into this Project Baseline Agreement to document the project cost, schedule, scope and benefits, as detailed on the Project Programming Request Form attached hereto as Exhibit A, the Draft Project Study Report or Equivalent attached hereto as Exhibit B, and the Project Benefits Form attached hereto as Exhibit C, as the baseline for project monitoring by the California Transportation Commission and its Project Delivery Council. The undersigned Project Sponsor certifies that the funding sources cited are committed and expected to be available; the estimated costs represent full project funding; and the scope and description of benefits is the best estimate possible.

3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Project Sponsor and Caltrans agree to abide by the following provisions:

- 3.1 To meet the requirements of Government Code Section 8879.23(c)(1), as added by Proposition 1B, and of Government Code Section 8879.50, as enacted through implementing legislation in 2007 (Senate Bill 88 and Assembly Bill 193).
- 3.2 To adhere to the provisions of the California Transportation Commission Resolution TCIP-P-0708-01, "Adoption of Program of Projects for the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF)," dated April 10, 2008.
- 3.3 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Trade Corridors Improvement Fund Guidelines.
- 3.4 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Accountability Implementation Plan and Policies, and program and baseline amendment processes.
- 3.5 The Sponsoring Agency agrees to secure funds for any additional costs of the project. Any change to the funding commitments outline in this agreement requires an amendment.

Page 1 C09019-pc

- 3.6 To report to the California Transportation Commission on a quarterly basis on the progress made toward the implementation of the project, including scope, cost and schedule.
- 3.7 To report to the California Transportation Commission on the progress, on a quarterly basis, and outcomes, at the end of the environmental phase, of the environmental process with regard to air quality impacts due to emissions from diesel or other particulates and related mitigation strategies. Whereas the Bond Act mandates that the Commission shall allocate TCIF for trade infrastructure improvements in a manner that places emphasis on projects that improve trade corridor mobility while reducing emissions of diesel particulate and other pollutant emissions, the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and the Corridor Coalition understand and agree that the California Transportation Commission will only allocate TCIF to projects that can demonstrate compliance with applicable environmental requirements. If environmental clearance is conditioned to the implementation of mitigation measures, the sponsoring agency must commit, in writing, to the implementation of those mitigation measures.
- 3.8 To maintain and make available to the California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, all work related documents, including engineering and financial data, during the course of the project and retain those records for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Financial records will be maintained in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
- 3.9 The California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, has the right to audit the project records, including technical and financial data, of the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and any subconsultants at any time during the course of the project and for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Audits will be conducted in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards.

4. SPECIFIC PROVISIONS AND CONDITIONS

4.1 Project Schedule and Cost

See Project Programming Request Form, attached as Exhibit A.

4.2 **Project Scope**

See Project Study Report/Project Study Report Equivalent, attached as Exhibit B.

4.3 Project Scope

See Project Benefits Form, Attached as Exhibit C.

4.4 Other Project Specific Provisions and Conditions

Deborah Barmack Executive Director San Bernardino Associated Governments	DATE
Lawrence Dale	DATE
Mayor	
City of Barstow	
Will Kempton	DATE
Director G. V. G. Donata and G. T. C.	
California Department of Transportation	
John F Barna, Jr.	DATE
Executive Director	
California Transportation Commission	
•	
De Ca. Bl	8/17/08
Approved as to Form by:	'DATE
Jean-Rene Basle	
SANBAG County Counsel	

Page 3 C09019-pc

Exhibit A



2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

General Instructions

✓ New Project	Amendment (Existing Pr	oject)		DAG:	07/10/08
અંતરાવિ હતસ્તાક્ર		PPNO	MPO (D)		GRIP IXIO
08			200622		N/A
County R	ente Contied Proj	pol Sporterallerol Avera	16%/	1 0	Eprem
SBD	SANBAG		SC.		МТ
	road Grade Separation	manumere mer i der år av i flåbad i flavarinan flavarde en er ever ett flav		المستعمله ومناه فيكا المهاهد	at a se a se included and a second
PMEK PMAR		લ ગાળમ	le:	nai Agingas	5
	Michael Stewart	(760) 255-5154		t@barstowc	
				l@barslowc	a.org
	at Limits, Description, s				landa da la la la la como de
over roll Legisle	stow, on Lenwood Road	at the BNSF Cajon Line,	construct grade s	eparation (II	kely nignway
over raily. Legist	ative Description - Const	ruction of Lenwood Hoad	Grade Separation	n in Barstow	, CA.
Congrandati	The state of the s	initine) (Agrangy	ABSOLO :		रात्। हमसा <u>र</u> ात्व
PA&ED	Barstow, City of		200.00	ानवारका द्या	no majornes
PS&E	Barstow, City of	<u> </u>			
Right of Way	Barstow, City of				<u> </u>
Construction	Barstow, City of				T .
Legislative Dist					
Assembly		Senate:	18		
Congressional	: 25				**************************************
Purpose and ike					
	major north/south arteri	al through the City of Ba	rstow that crosses	both I-15 a	nd SR-58 and
carries approxim	ately 4,200 vehicles per	day. Because of Barstoy	v's strategic location	on at the inte	ersection of
these two facilitie	s, the area adjacent to L	enwood Rd. is increasing	alv beina develope	ed as wareho	ouse and
distribution cente	rs. Lenwood Rd. is the p	rimary point of access fo	r these facilities.	Currently, tru	uck traffic
travels 8 miles ou	it of the way to avoid the	Lenwood Rd at grade cr	ossing due to the	unreliability	of access. An
estimated 94 frei	ght trains and two passe	nger trains cross Lenwoo	od Rd. daily, creat	ing substant	ial traffic
delays and provid	ding a physical impedime	ent to emergency respons	se service and mo	bility to resid	dents of
Barstow.					
Project Banaffe					
Railroad grade se	eparations increase trave	el reliability on major road	lways for both the	community	and truck
traffic, improve a	ir quality, and eliminate p	otential conflicts betwee	n vehicular and tra	ain traffic, wh	nich all act to
mitigate the impa	ct of freight movement o	on communities. This gra	ade separation will	eliminate g	ate down time
totaling 6.6 hours	per day in 2030 and is	estimated to reduce 371	daily vehicle hours	of delay in	2030.
Project Study Re		والمادة ويرون ويتونيس والمنافية والمتعارض ويواري والمتعارض والمتعا	بدارت بين سين في فيست مدينيين	<u> </u>	Datie
Project Study Re					N/A
	ntal (PA&ED) Phase			ND/AE	10/01/08
Draft Project Rep			Determination Living	ND/CE	04/01/10
	tal Phase (PA&ED Milest	lana)			06/01/08
Begin Design (PS		tone)			10/01/10
	se (Ready to List for Adv	orticoment Milestone			01/01/11
Begin Right of W		or document whiestone)	····		01/01/12
End Right of Way	/ Phase (Right of Way C	ertification Milestone			10/01/10
Begin Construction	on Phase (Contract Awa	rd Milestone			12/01/11
End Construction	Phase (Construction Co	ontract Acceptance Miles	etone)		04/01/12
Begin Closeout F		Simulat Acceptance Willes	10116)		09/01/13
	ase (Closeout Report)				09/01/13
0.000001111	acc (closcout report)				12/01/13

Page 4 C09019-pc



2008 Project Programming Request (Funding Information)

			ds and escalated to the pr	rogrammed year)	Date: 07/10/08
	County :	CT District	PPNO	TCRP Project N	
	SBD	08	0	N/A	0
Project Title:	Lenwood Rd Railroa	d Grade Separation			
		Existing Total Projec	t Cost	The state of the s	
Component	Prior 08/09		11/12 12/13	13/14+ Total	Implementing Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	Committee of the Committee of	o same o	0 0	0 0	p.ag.z.g.z.g
PS&E	0 888	ca finales o select c		0 0	
R/W SUP (CT)	6	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR			
CON SUP (CT)		CONTRACTOR OF THE			
				1.0	
R/W	C Discussion	SO PASSES OF SURE C	1 0 0	(2) (0)	
CON	6	C Million College	0	0 0	
TOTAL	. 0	0.00	e elicitation de la contraction de la contractio	0 0	
,	The state of the s	Proposed Total Project	ct Cost	126, 25	
E&P (PA&ED)	6 (10)	6	(B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B)	0 0	
PS&E	2.790	(6) Here . T. (6) 10 (19) 20 (20)	0 0	\$6 6 0 2,780	
R/W SUP (CT)		0.00	E-10 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0	0 0	
CON SUP (CT)		0 2000 0 0 00 400	O province and a company transport of the company of	Parameter () statement ()	
				9 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	
R/W		PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH		646 at 6 9 5 79 749	
CON		0 0 0	D CIVILIDIA, HOLDING COMPANY CO.	0 21572	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TOTAL	2.780	0 6 6 6	20,572	0 25,076	
Fund No. 1:					Program Code
		Existing Fundin	0		
Component	Prior 08/09		11/12 12/13	13/14+ Total	
	production of the production o	COMPANY ACCORDING SECURIORANGE SECURIORANGE SANCOLO SECURIORANGE SECUR	TO 12 07 Q A STATE OF THE PARTY	13/14+ Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)		P C C			
PS&E	0 000	10 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	AT THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	0 0	
R/W SUP (CT)	200.000	6 (48) 400 O CH 1	** ***********************************	68453 J. (49 Steel 1990)	
CON SUP (CT)	6	TG PERSON OF SOME AND	0.000	** # C # 60 0	
R/W	9-3- 0 D F F F F	O Se of two streets (0 0	
CON		a service constitution			
TOTAL	State Control	6			
1.01AL	I to the second	PARTIED DE TOTAL MANAGEMENT AND ALL AND	er sandige grand and sand de sand construction de		
L		Proposed Fundi	19 		Notes
E&P (PA&ED)			<u> </u>		Proposed TCIF
PS&E	L				
R/W SUP (CT)					
CON SUP (CT)					
R/W	1 1				
CON	.		6,894		
				5,694	
TOTAL	Barrier Clare	4 4	0 888 0	oja seesa	
Fund No. 2:					Program Code
		Existing Fundin	9		
Component	Prior 08/09		11/12 12/13	13/14+ Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0 00/01	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF			Tutturing Agency
		0 0		0	
PS&E			No contraction of the contractio	G (4) (4)	
R/W SUP (CT)	3		W (Manager)		
CON SUP (CT)	0 000	70 B 10 B	0 2 3 6	0 8 6	
R/W	0	0 0 0	0 000 0	5) 9	
CON	0.0	0.00	6 6 6 6		
TOTAL	e a d	6		6 6	
TO TALL		Proposed Fundi	per emperous argumento markete en encontrato proprieta de la contrato del la contrato de la contrato de la contrato de la co		Notes
	·	- rioposed rundi	'' ' 9		
E&P (PA&ED)				3 2 2 2 9	Local (City of Barstow)
PS&E	1,206			1,208	
R/W SUP (CT)	l			0	
CON SUP (CT)				70	
R/W	1	743	3	743	
CON			14,878	94.878	
TOTAL	1,208	0 5 G 74		D 18,827	
101742	All the control of the charter of the charter of the control of the charter of th		of the second state of the second	Control of the Contro	
Fund No. 3:	L			 	
					Program Code
Component		Existing Fundin			
	Prior 08/09		11/12 12/13	13/14+ Total	Program Code Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	Prior 08/09		11/12 12/13	13/14+ Total	
	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	0 09/10 10/11 0 0	11/12 12/13 0 0 0	13/14+ Total	
PS&E		0 09/10 10/11 Q 0	11/12 12/13 0 0 0	9 20 20 20 20	
PS&E R/W SUP (CT)	0 (10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 09/10 10/11 0 2 5 0	11/12 12/13 3 0 0 0		
PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT)		0 09/10 10/11 0 2 5 0	11/12 12/13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W		0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0		
PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 09/10 10/11 0 2 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W		0 00/10 10/11 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 3 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 6 0 0 0		Funding Agency
PS&E / R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 09/10 10/11 0 2 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 3 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 6 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Funding Agency Notes
PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 00/10 10/11 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 3 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 6 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Funding Agency
PS&E / R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 00/10 10/11 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 3 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 6 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Funding Agency Notes
PS&E / R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E		0 00/10 10/11 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 3 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 6 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Funding Agency Notes
PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT)		0 00/10 10/11 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 3 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 6 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Funding Agency Notes
PS&E / R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT)		0 00/10 10/11 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 3 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 6 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Funding Agency Notes
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW		0 00/10 10/11 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 3 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 6 0 0 0		Funding Agency Notes
PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON	1,200	0 00/10 10/11 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 3 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 6 0 0 0		Funding Agency Notes
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW		0 00/10 10/11 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 3 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 6 0 0 0		Funding Agency Notes
PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON	1,200	0 00/10 10/11 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 3 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 6 0 0 0		Funding Agency Notes
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL	1,200	0 00/10 10/11 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 3 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 6 0 0 0		Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU
PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON	1,200	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Funding Agency Notes
PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL	1,200	9 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component	1,200 Prior 08/00	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED)	1,200 Prior 08/00	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13/14+ Total	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component	1,200 Prior 08/00	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E	1,200 Prior 08/00	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13/14+ Total	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT)	1,200 Prior 08/00	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13/14+ Total	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT)	1,200 1,200 Prior 08/00	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0	13/14+ Total	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code
PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) COM SUP (CT) COM SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL	1,200 Prior 08/06	9 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13/14+ Total 13/14+ Total 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL	1,200 Prior 08/00	0 00/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0	13/14+ Total 13/14+ Total 10/100	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code
PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) COM SUP (CT) COM SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL	1,200 Prior 08/06	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0	13/14+ Total 13/14+ Total 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code Funding Agency
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL	1,200 Prior 08/00	0 00/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0	13/14+ Total 13/14+ Total 10/100	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code
PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL	1,200 Prior 08/00	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0	13/14+ Total 13/14+ Total 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code Funding Agency Notes
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL	1,200 Prior 08/00	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0	13/14+ Total 13/14+ Total 0 0 0 0 13/14+ Total 0 0 0 0 13/14+ Total	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code Funding Agency Notes
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON	1,200 Prior 08/00	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0	13/14+ Total 13/14+ Total 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code Funding Agency Notes
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL	1,200 Prior 08/00	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0	13/14+ Total 13/14+ Total 0 0 0 0 13/14+ Total 0 0 0 0 13/14+ Total	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code Funding Agency Notes
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT)	1,200 Prior 08/00	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0	13/14+ Total 13/14+ Total 0 0 0 0 13/14+ Total 0 0 0 0 13/14+ Total	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code Funding Agency Notes
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL	1,200 Prior 08/00	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0	13/14+ Total 13/14+ Total 0 0 0 0 13/14+ Total 0 0 0 0 13/14+ Total	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code Funding Agency Notes
PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON TOTAL Fund No. 4: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT)	1,200 Prior 08/00	0 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 12/13 0	13/14+ Total 13/14+ Total 0 0 0 0 13/14+ Total 0 0 0 0 13/14+ Total	Funding Agency Notes Demo - SAFETEA-LU Program Code Funding Agency Notes

Page 5 C09019-pc

Trade Corridor Improvement Fund Project Benefits Form EXHIBIT C

Project Title:

Lenwood Road Grade Separation

Project Category:

Grade Separation project (rail)

Project Type:

Removal of at grade crossing

Outputs:

Removal of one at grade crossing

Outcomes:

<u>Outcome</u>

Safety

Eliminate potential accidents with at grade crossings of rial lines

Velocity

Reduction of 17 existing daily vehicle hours Reduction of 130 daily vehicle hours in 2030

Throughput

Elinination of current gate down time of 3.3 hours per day Elimination of gate down time of 6.6 hours per day in 2030

Reliability

Eliminate emergency vehicle delay time up to 5 minutes

Congestion Reduction

Eliminate current at grade vehicle queue rate of 131 vehicles per hour per lane Eliminate at grade vehicle queue rate of 380 vehicles per hour per lane in 2030

Emissions Reduction

Estimate emission reductions in tons per day CO2- 0.96 Nox- 0.00054 PM2.5- 0.00008 ROG- 0.00025

Page 6 C09019-pc

SANBAG Contract No. <u>C09-020</u>

by and between

San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

and

California Transportation Commission

for

Glen Helen Pkwy Grade Separation Project

e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	FOR AC	COUNTING PURPO	SES ONLY	
☐ Payable	Vendor Contra	act #	Retention:	☑ Original
Receivable	Vendor ID CT	<u>C</u>	☐ Yes% ⊠ No	Amendment
Notes:				
Original Contract:	Φ.Ο.	Previous Amendm	ents Total:	\$
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>	Previous Amendm	ents Contingency Total	
Continganou Amounts	ф	Current Amendme	nt:	\$
Contingency Amount:	\$	Current Amendme	nt Contingency:	\$
Contingency Amount requires	specific authorization	on by Task Manager prior to	release.	
		Cor	tract TOTAL → \$ 0	
			allocation for the original co	ntract or the amendment.
<u>Task</u>		unding Sources	Grant ID Am	n <u>ounts</u>
<u>NA</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>T</u>	CIF	\$_	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	_			
			\$_	
Original Board Approved			·	ntract End: <u>N/A</u>
New Amend. Approval (-	nend. End:
If this is a multi-year co	ontract/amendı uture fiscal yea	ment, please allocate ar(s)-unbudgeted obl	budget authority am igations:	ong approved
Approved Budget Find Authority →	scal Year: \$	Future Unbud	Fiscal Year(s) – geted Obligation →	\$
Is this consistent with the	e adopted budg	et? Yes I	No	
If yes, which Task in	-	,		
If no, has the budge	and the second second second	en submitted? Yes		
	CO	NTRACT MANAGE	MENT	
Please mark an "X" ne	xt to all that ap	ply:		
☑ Intergovernmental	☐ Private	☐ Non-Local [☐ Local ☐ Partly I	_ocal
Disadvantaged Business	s Enterprise: 🛛	No 🔲 Yes%		
Task Manager: Ty Schu	iling	Contra	act Manager: Philip Ch	<u> </u>
Will ISu	le l	3/12/08 0		2 2/13/08
Task Manager Signature	·)	Date Contr	act Manager Signature	e Date
Chief Financial Officer S	ignature	Date		

Filename: C09020-pc

SANBAG Agreement No. C09020 TRADE CORRIDORS IMPROVEMENT FUND PROJECT BASELINE AGREEMENT

1. PARTIES AND DATE

1.1 This Project Baseline Agreement (Agreement) for the Glen Helen Pkwy Grade Separation, effective on September 1, 2008, is made by and between the California Transportation Commission (Commission), the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), and the San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG), and the County of San Bernardino (Project Sponsors), sometimes collectively referred to as the "Parties".

2. RECITAL

Whereas at its April 10, 2008 Meeting the California Transportation Commission programmed the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund and included in this program of projects the Glen Helen Pkwy Grade Separation, the parties are entering into this Project Baseline Agreement to document the project cost, schedule, scope and benefits, as detailed on the Project Programming Request Form attached hereto as Exhibit A, the Draft Project Study Report or Equivalent attached hereto as Exhibit B, and the Project Benefits Form attached hereto as Exhibit C, as the baseline for project monitoring by the California Transportation Commission and its Project Delivery Council. The undersigned Project Sponsor certifies that the funding sources cited are committed and expected to be available; the estimated costs represent full project funding; and the scope and description of benefits is the best estimate possible.

3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Project Sponsor and Caltrans agree to abide by the following provisions:

- 3.1 To meet the requirements of Government Code Section 8879.23(c)(1), as added by Proposition 1B, and of Government Code Section 8879.50, as enacted through implementing legislation in 2007 (Senate Bill 88 and Assembly Bill 193).
- 3.2 To adhere to the provisions of the California Transportation Commission Resolution TCIP-P-0708-01, "Adoption of Program of Projects for the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF)," dated April 10, 2008.
- 3.3 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Trade Corridors Improvement Fund Guidelines.
- 3.4 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Accountability Implementation Plan and Policies, and program and baseline amendment processes.
- 3.5 The Sponsoring Agency agrees to secure funds for any additional costs of the project. Any change to the funding commitments outline in this agreement requires an amendment.

Page 1 C09020-pc

- 3.6 To report to the California Transportation Commission on a quarterly basis on the progress made toward the implementation of the project, including scope, cost and schedule.
- 3.7 To report to the California Transportation Commission on the progress, on a quarterly basis, and outcomes, at the end of the environmental phase, of the environmental process with regard to air quality impacts due to emissions from diesel or other particulates and related mitigation strategies. Whereas the Bond Act mandates that the Commission shall allocate TCIF for trade infrastructure improvements in a manner that places emphasis on projects that improve trade corridor mobility while reducing emissions of diesel particulate and other pollutant emissions, the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and the Corridor Coalition understand and agree that the California Transportation Commission will only allocate TCIF to projects that can demonstrate compliance with applicable environmental requirements. If environmental clearance is conditioned to the implementation of mitigation measures, the sponsoring agency must commit, in writing, to the implementation of those mitigation measures.
- 3.8 To maintain and make available to the California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, all work related documents, including engineering and financial data, during the course of the project and retain those records for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Financial records will be maintained in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
- 3.9 The California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, has the right to audit the project records, including technical and financial data, of the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and any subconsultants at any time during the course of the project and for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Audits will be conducted in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards.

4. SPECIFIC PROVISIONS AND CONDITIONS

4.1 Project Schedule and Cost

See Project Programming Request Form, attached as Exhibit A.

4.2 Project Scope

See Project Study Report/Project Study Report Equivalent, attached as Exhibit B.

4.3 **Project Scope**

See Project Benefits Form, Attached as Exhibit C.

4.4 Other Project Specific Provisions and Conditions

Deborah Barmack	DATE
Executive Director	
San Bernardino Associated Governments	
Paul Biane	DATE
Chairman Apputite at the Apput	
County of San Bernardino	
	and the second of the second o
Will Kempton	DATE
Director California Department of Transportation	
John F Barna, Jr.	DATE
Executive Director	
California Transportation Commission	
De Be Bl	8/3/or
Approved as to Form by:	'DATE
Jean-Rene Basle	
SANBAG County Counsel	

Page 3 C09020-pc

Exhibit A



2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

General In	istruct	ЮГ
------------	---------	----

06/01/13

				GOTTOT TITOTI GOTTOTO
✓ New Project	Amendment (Existing Project)		03/10/08
Had arents	ii BA	PPNO	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	TORP NO.
08			200806	N/A
Goundy (F)	audeniio Polei	Sponson/Lated Arga	uay II Mirig	Elemen
SBD	SANBAG		SCAG	MT
Projective			00/14	IVII
	y Railroad Grade Separation		the second production of the second production of	
	d Proper May (Consider	Phone	والمرابع المرابع	Addices
	Chris Saed	909-387-7877		
North Protection	of Units Description, See		csaea@apw	.sbcounty.gov
In the County of	San Bernardino, on Glen Hel	on Plant of LIPP or	MCE construct	
highway over rai	oan bernardino, on Gien Hei N	en Pkwy at OPAR ar	ia BNSF, construct gr	ade separation (likely
lingilway over tal	·y•			
HELICO MODERNO	ing Constitution	© (AE 3090	and of Ne Parelle
PA&ED	County of San Bernardino	P1.02-8-2 8-2		and of No Classica
PS&E	County of San Bernardino			
Right of Way	County of San Bernardino		H	
Construction	County of San Bernardino			
Legislative Dist	Mos			
Assembly		Senate: 3	31, 32	
Congressiona				
Pungoese shiel W				
Glen Helen Pkw	y connects I-15 and I-215 and	d carries approximate	ely 5,600 vehicles per	day, although that
substantially incr	ease during special events a	t Glen Helen Region	al Park and Hyundai F	Pavillion, which holds
65,000 people. I	In addition, it is a detour alter	native to the Devore	Interchange during co	enstruciton or
emergencies.	he estimated 94 freight and to	wo passenger trains	that cross Glen Helen	Pkwy every day create
substantial traffic	delays and and provide a ph	iysical impediment to	passenger and truck	mobility in this
goods movemen	Separating the railroad cros	ising from Gien Hele	n Pkwy will mitigate c	ommunity impacts of
goods movemen				
Ficigo Banalli	S. C.			
	eparations increase travel re	liability on major road	iways for both the cor	nounity and truck
traffic, improve a	ir quality, and eliminate poter	ntial conflicts betwee	n vehicular and train t	raffic which all act to
mitigate the impa	act of freight movement on co	mmunities. This are	de separation will elir	minate date down time
totaling 6.6 hours	s per day in 2030 and is estin	nated to reduce 371	daily vehicle hours of	delay in 2030.
	•		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,
				Ditt
Project Study Re				N/A
	ental (PA&ED) Phase			06/01/06
	nvironmental Document		Dioisument Trype N/A	
Draft Project Rep		,		06/30/08
	tal Phase (PA&ED Milestone)		06/30/08
Begin Design (P		oment Milesters		08/01/08
Begin Right of W	se (Ready to List for Advertis	ement ivillestone)		09/30/10
	y Phase (Right of Way Certif	igation Milestone'		11/01/08
	on Phase (Contract Award M			09/01/09
	n Phase (Construction Contra		etone)	11/01/10
Begin Closeout F		tor Acceptance Miles	orone)	11/01/12
	nase (Closeout Report)	·		06/01/1
	\			j 00/01/10

Page 4 C09020-pc



2008 Project Programming Request (Funding Information)

(dollars in thousands and escalated to the programmed year)

County GI District PPNO TCRP Project No.

SBD 08 0 N/A

Project Title: Glen Helen Pkwy Railroad Grade Separation Date: 03/10/08

Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Implementing Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	- 0	or Later D	0	- O	. 0	
PS&E	0.	60.0			0	9	terior ar O	- 0	
R/W SUP (CT)	6	0		- 0	-0		0	0	
CON SUP (CT)	0	. 0	- 0	0	v' 0	0	. D	0	
R/W	0	0		0	- 0	0	- 0	0	
CON	0	- 0	. 0	. 0	V. 0	0	0	. 0	
TOTAL	0	0 0	0		- 0		0	0	
			Proposed 1	otal Projec	t Cost				
E&P (PA&ED)	. 0		- D	= 0	0	- 0	. 0	0	
PS&E	2,650	0	e D		Ü,	0		2,650	
R/W SUP (CT)		- 95 0		0.00	111 0	4-12 G		0	
CON SUP (CT)	0		. 0	. 0	9 1 1 1 0	1 0	. D	0	
R/W	0	3,000	0	- 6	6 0	. 0 0	0	3,000	
CON		0	21,218	0 484	0	- 0	0	21,218	
TOTAL	2,650	3,000	21,218	60 W O	0		0	26,868	

Fund No. 1:									Program Code
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0.	.0	4 0	0	D	Ω		0	
PS&E		0		0	. 0		0		
R/W SUP (CT)	0		0	. A 0		. 0	- 0	0 11-11-1	•
CON SUP (CT)	. 0	0	0	0	0		0	Walter O	
R/W	. 0		- 0	0.00	e (50 d) 0	D.	0		
CON	0		0	9	Sec. 20		***0		
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	W6'5 0				
			Propos	ed Fundir	ıg	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								6	Proposed TCIF
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)								0	
CON SUP (CT)								N 0	
R/W									
CON			7,172		1			7 172	
TOTAL	0	Ö	7,172	. 0	0	7	- 0	7,172	

Fund No. 2:									Program Code
	ng								
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	- 0	0	0	. 0	ő	0	. 0	
PS&E	0.00	O	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	9	0	9	- 110	
R/W SUP (CT)	1000				0				
CON SUP (CT)	0	0	- 3 0	0		0		Ü	
RW		0.00		0.00		0.	0		
CON	0	0		0	sul trii O	6	6 - 6 - O		
TOTAL	0	0	0	. 0	0	0			
			Propos	ed Fundin	9				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)				*****					Developer Impact Fee
PS&E				,				0	i i
R/W SUP (CT)								0	
CON SUP (CT)				*****					I
R/W								i a	
CON			7,469					7.469	
TOTAL	. 0	- 0	7.469	0		6	6	7,460	

Fund No. 3:									Program Code
Existing Funding									
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0.0	. 0		o	0	O	
PS&E	. 0	0	9	0	0	0	6		·
R/W SUP (CT)	0	a a		0	0	- 0	0	9	
CON SUP (CT)	0	0	S 0	0	0			0	
R/W		- 0	0	0			. 0	G G	
CON	0	0		0	6	2.00		0	
TOTAL	- 0	- 6	0	0	0	0	0.		,
			Propos	ed Fundin	g				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								G.	San Bernardino County
PS&E	2,650								Measure I
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)				-710				- 0	
R/W		3,000						3,600	
CON			6,577					6,577	
TOTAL	2,650	3.000	6,577	0	0	0		12,227	

Page 5 C09020-pc

Trade Corridor Improvement Fund Project Benefits Form EXHIBIT C

Project Title:

Geln Helen Parkway Grade Separation

Project Category:

Grade Separation project (rail)

Project Type:

Removal of at grade crossing

Outputs:

Removal of one at grade crossing

Outcomes:

<u>Outcome</u>

Safety

Eliminate potential accidents with at grade crossings of rial lines

Velocity

Reduction of 24 existing daily vehicle hours Reduction of 371 daily vehicle hours in 2030

Throughput

Elinination of current gate down time of 3.3 hours per day Elimination of gate down time of 6.6 hours per day in 2030 $\,$

Reliability

Eliminate emergency vehicle delay time up to 5 minutes

Congestion Reduction

Eliminate current at grade vehicle queue rate of 175 vehicles per hour per lane Eliminate at grade vehicle queue rate of 368 vehicles per hour per lane in 2030

Emissions Reduction

Estimate emission reductions in tons per day

CO2- 0.961 Nox- 0.00054 PM2.5- 0.00008 ROG- 0.00025

Page 6 C09020-pc

SANBAG Contract No. <u>C09-021</u>

by and between

San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

and

California Transportation Commission

for

Palm Ave. Grade Separation Project

	FORA	CC	OUNTING	PURPOS	SES ONLY			
☐ Payable	Vendor Contract #				Retention:	-	☑ Original	
Receivable	Vendor ID CTC				☐ Yes%	⊠ No	Amendment	
Notes:		<u> </u>					1 L J Amendment	
Original Contract	Φ.Δ.				Amendments Total: \$			
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>		Previous A	Previous Amendments Contingency Total: \$				
Contingency Amount:	\$		Current Ar	mendment	t:		\$	
Contingency Amount.	Ψ		Current Ar	mendmen	t Contingency:		\$	
Contingency Amount requires	specific authoriza	ation	by Task Mana	ger prior to r	elease.			
				Cont	ract TOTAL →	\$ <u>0</u>		
					allocation for the orig	ginal cor	tract or the amendment.	
Task	Cost Code		nding Sourc	es (Grant ID	<u>Am</u>	<u>ounts</u>	
<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	TC	<u>IF</u>	-		\$		
		.—		-		\$_		
				_		\$_		
Original Board Approved	d Cantra et Da		0/0/00					
Original Board Approved		te:	<u>9/3/08</u>		t Start: <u>9/2/08</u>		ntract End: N/A	
New Amend. Approval (Start:		end. End:	
If this is a multi-year conduction budget authority and for	ontract/amer uture fiscal v	ıdm 'ear	ent, please (s)-unbuda	allocate eted oblid	budget authori pations:	ty amo	ong approved	
1	iscal Year:				iscal Year(s) –			
Authority →	\$				eted Obligàtior	,	\$	
Is this consistent with the	e adopted bud	dget	?	s 🔲 No)			
If yes, which Task in								
If no, has the budge	1000	and the same of		MANAGEMENT AND PROPERTY OF THE			ACCUMA IN CONTRACT OF THE CONT	
		22300000	TRACT M	ANAGEN	MENT		AND CONTRACTOR	
Please mark an "X" ne	xt to all that	app	ly:					
	☐ Private	.	☐ Non-Loc	cal 🔲	Local	artly L	ocal	
Disadvantaged Business		\boxtimes N	o [Yes	%				
Task Manager: Ty Schu	illing/			Contrac	t Manager: Phil	ip Chu	7	
Will 71	de	81	12/08			<i>Z</i> /	8/13/08	
Task Manager Signature			Date ,	Contra	ct Manager Sig	nature	Date	
Wille Street		2	8/12/05					
Chief Financial Officer S	Signature	[Date					

Filename: C09021-pc

SANBAG Agreement No. C09021 TRADE CORRIDORS IMPROVEMENT FUND PROJECT BASELINE AGREEMENT

1. PARTIES AND DATE

1.1 This Project Baseline Agreement (Agreement) for the <u>Palm Ave Grade Separation</u>, effective on September 1, 2008, is made by and between the California Transportation Commission (Commission), the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), and the <u>San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG)</u> and the <u>City of San Bernardino</u>(Project Sponsors), sometimes collectively referred to as the "Parties".

2. RECITAL

2.1 Whereas at its April 10, 2008 Meeting the California Transportation Commission programmed the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund and included in this program of projects the Palm Ave Grade Separation, the parties are entering into this Project Baseline Agreement to document the project cost, schedule, scope and benefits, as detailed on the Project Programming Request Form attached hereto as Exhibit A, the Draft Project Study Report or Equivalent attached hereto as Exhibit B, and the Project Benefits Form attached hereto as Exhibit C, as the baseline for project monitoring by the California Transportation Commission and its Project Delivery Council. The undersigned Project Sponsor certifies that the funding sources cited are committed and expected to be available; the estimated costs represent full project funding; and the scope and description of benefits is the best estimate possible.

3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Project Sponsor and Caltrans agree to abide by the following provisions:

- 3.1 To meet the requirements of Government Code Section 8879.23(c)(1), as added by Proposition 1B, and of Government Code Section 8879.50, as enacted through implementing legislation in 2007 (Senate Bill 88 and Assembly Bill 193).
- 3.2 To adhere to the provisions of the California Transportation Commission Resolution TCIP-P-0708-01, "Adoption of Program of Projects for the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF)," dated April 10, 2008.
- 3.3 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Trade Corridors Improvement Fund Guidelines.
- 3.4 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Accountability Implementation Plan and Policies, and program and baseline amendment processes.
- 3.5 The Sponsoring Agency agrees to secure funds for any additional costs of the project. Any change to the funding commitments outline in this agreement requires an amendment.

Page 1 C09021-pc

- 3.6 To report to the California Transportation Commission on a quarterly basis on the progress made toward the implementation of the project, including scope, cost and schedule.
- 3.7 To report to the California Transportation Commission on the progress, on a quarterly basis, and outcomes, at the end of the environmental phase, of the environmental process with regard to air quality impacts due to emissions from diesel or other particulates and related mitigation strategies. Whereas the Bond Act mandates that the Commission shall allocate TCIF for trade infrastructure improvements in a manner that places emphasis on projects that improve trade corridor mobility while reducing emissions of diesel particulate and other pollutant emissions, the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and the Corridor Coalition understand and agree that the California Transportation Commission will only allocate TCIF to projects that can demonstrate compliance with applicable environmental requirements. If environmental clearance is conditioned to the implementation of mitigation measures, the sponsoring agency must commit, in writing, to the implementation of those mitigation measures.
- 3.8 To maintain and make available to the California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, all work related documents, including engineering and financial data, during the course of the project and retain those records for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Financial records will be maintained in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
- 3.9 The California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, has the right to audit the project records, including technical and financial data, of the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and any subconsultants at any time during the course of the project and for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Audits will be conducted in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards.

4. SPECIFIC PROVISIONS AND CONDITIONS

4.1 **Project Schedule and Cost**

See Project Programming Request Form, attached as Exhibit A.

4.2 **Project Scope**

See Project Study Report/Project Study Report Equivalent, attached as Exhibit B.

4.3 Project Scope

See Project Benefits Form, Attached as Exhibit C.

4.4 Other Project Specific Provisions and Conditions

DI ID	
Deborah Barmack	DATE .
Executive Director	
San Bernardino Associated Governments	
Lori Sassoon	
Acting City Manager	DATE
City of San Bernardino	
Will Kempton	DATE
Director	
California Department of Transportation	
John F Barna, Jr.	DATE
Executive Director	
California Transportation Commission	
(20)	\mathcal{O}
Le Cai Du	8/17/08
Approved as to Form by:	DATE
Jean-Rene Basle	
SANBAG County Counsel	

Page 3 C09021-pc

Exhibit A



2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

General Instructions

				GOTIOTEI TITSU GOLIOTIS
✓ New Project	Amendment (Existing Project)		Dett	07/10/08
ીતાંસાથી સનસામાં ી		15) BIND	MPOND 1	TOTALE INFO
08			200850	N/A
Ciountly In	oute Confident Project	Sportsoff for Age	TRIENY (VIELE)	Elemeni
SBD	SANBAG		SCAG	MT
માળાવ્યાં મોલ			00/10	1411
	ad Grade Separation	<u> </u>		
	d Rojed Mor/Gorted	Phone -	12 10 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	
	Gilbert Betancourt	909-886-3971	IVA (IISIN-E'	and the state of the second countries and the second secon
			gbetancourt@sa	nbag.ca.gov
In the City of Sar	Scilinits, Description, Sage	of Con Dornardina	TIME AGRACIONAL	
1000' north and s	n Bernardino and the County of south of grade on Cajon Blvd,	on San Bernardino,	rom 1000 east of at-grad	e on Palm Ave and
Palm and Caion	from 2 to 4 lanes	construct grade se	paration (likely nighway o	ver rail) and widen
i umi una cajon	nom 2 to 4 lanes			
Component	iiinendin	ci/Avoi-invel//	AS SOS BAG	a aiko Piande
PA&ED	SANBAG	- Land De Colon State of the Colon of the Co	A2 3030 1 320	ar on ke mananas
PS&E	SANBAG			П
Right of Way	SANBAG			
Construction	SANBAG			
Legishive Dist				
Assembly		Senate:	32	
Congressional				
Purpose and INC				
Palm Ave is a ma	ajor east-west arterial that car	ries approximately	5,300 vehicles per day. T	he estimated 94
freight and two p	assenger trains that cross Pa	lm Ave every day c	reate substantial traffic de	lays and disrupt
access to a deve	loping industrial/warehouse a	rea nearby. Separ	ating the railroad crossing	from Palm Ave will
mugate commur facilities.	nity impacts of goods movement	ent and provide mo	re reliable access to ware	house and truck
raciilles.				
Projectionelle				
	eparations increase travel reli	ability on major roa	dways for both the comm	unity and truck
traffic, improve a	ir quality, and eliminate poten	tial conflicts between	en vehicular and train traff	ic which all act to
mitigate the impa	ct of freight movement on co	mmunities. This ar	ade separation will elimin	ate date down time
of 6.6 hours per d	day in 2030 and is estimated	to reduce 105 daily	vehicle hours of delay in	2030.
		•		
noted IVI region				Delia
Project Study Re				N/A
	ntal (PA&ED) Phase			07/01/08
	nvironmental Document		Doeimani Type N/A	CEQA SE
Draft Project Rep			·	06/30/09
End Environment	tal Phase (PA&ED Milestone)			12/30/09
Begin Design (PS				01/01/10
	se (Ready to List for Advertise	ement Milestone)		06/30/12
Begin Right of Way				07/01/10
Regin Construction	Phase (Right of Way Certific	cation Milestone)		06/30/12
End Construction	on Phase (Construction Control	nestone)		07/01/12
Begin Closeout P	Phase (Construction Contra	or Acceptance Mile	stone)	12/30/13
	ase (Closeout Report)			01/01/14
Lina Oloseoul Pil	ase (Cioseout neport)			06/30/14



2008 Project Programming Request

<i>Caltrans</i>			(dollars i		ling Infor		ogrammed ye	er)		Date: 07/10
the market of the second secon	950 ti (\$\forall 1		egr o)r	ire.	EEN			Fiogosi	E	BA BA
Papa vilo	SBD Palm Ave Ra	ailroad Grad	e Separation		0			N/A		0
	1		paration	<u>. </u>						
	1 54 - I		Existing To							
Component RP (PA&ED)	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Impleme	nting Agency
5&E	6	(6) (1)	- 22				(S)	, i		
W SUP (CT)	6		4							
ON SUP (CT)	5	2				y l				
DN	9	<u> </u>								
OTAL	<u>i</u> gi	,6,	24				9 -			
D (DARED)			Proposed To	otal Project	Cost	Tradition :		filesy, altri		
&P (PA&ED) S&E	750 0		51 20000	25	91		M.	:-5j€: (g6:6)		
W SUP (CT)	(ii)	.61	- 4	o,						
ON SUP (CT)	و ا	હો	\$1	9,	34			2.		
W ON	<u>ी</u>	<u>9</u>	1 (23)			5. 7. 7. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.		10g) 10g)		
OTAL	73(0)	0)	2 126	, 65 63	10001	2/		54. 35E10.	4 1	
ınd No. 1:										
ina No. 1:		,,	Existin	g Funding				,	Prog	ram Code
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Fundi	ng Agency
RP (PA&ED)	9	<u> </u>		2.5		4				
W SUP (CT)	124	:01		96 94		011		6		
ON SUP (CT)	Ġ.	<u>.</u>	94 94	3	91					
w	9		0.4			4		6		
DTAL	즼	0) 10:		3				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
) IAL	의			ed Funding			· · · . · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			lotes
&P (PA&ED)								ŋ	Proposed To	
S&E	igwdot							ū.		
W SUP (CT)	 							2.		
w	1					-				
ON					9,390			. 59 <u>1</u> 0		
OTAL	9	إققا		¥(5, 55±)0 ₄		9	11-419		
und No. 2:									Prog	ram Code
	T I			ng Funding						
Component &P (PA&ED)	Prior	08/09 6	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Fundi	ng Agency
S&E	. o)	(0)		146						
/W SUP (CT)		.0.	34	9,	3	5				
ON SUP (CT)	(6)	(0)	95		.45					
/W ON										
OTAL	-Ĉ	3		9/		<u></u>	11			
			Propos	ed Funding	1					Notes
&P (PA&ED) S&E								· · · · <u>·</u>	Developer I	mpact Fees
W SUP (CT)	†									
ON SUP (CT)										
W										
ON		9)	28	-55	5,136 5 : 318		:04	6 : 21-		
	haracete								4	
and No. 3:			Evicti	ng Funding					Prog	ram Code
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Fund	ing Agency
&P (PA&ED)	.0.	<u>ब</u> 9	6.	0.7	0.4		9		3	
S&E	0. 0.3 6.3			.97			64			
/W SUP (CT)	5	the second second	(4) (3)	51	9. 3.	9				
ON SUP (CT)	<u> </u>	<u>⊆</u> (93. 24	9,4 63		34			
	1200		§		s2.5	91				
w	G.			ē#.	의	2)	3			
W ON		- 6)								
W ON OTAL	0)			sed Funding				الاست		Notes
W ON OTAL &P (PA&ED)		3)							San Bernar Measure I	
ON OTAL &P (PA&ED) S&E	0)		Propos						San Bernar	
W DN DTAL &P (PA&ED) S&E W SUP (CT) ON SUP (CT)	750		2,000						San Bernar	
ON SUP (CT) //W ON OTAL &P (PA&ED) S&E //W SUP (CT) ON SUP (CT) //W ON	750	.6)	Propos						San Bernar	

Page 5 C09021-pc

Trade Corridor Improvement Fund Project Benefits Form EXHIBIT C

Project Title:

Palm Ave Grade Separation

Project Category:

Grade Separation project (rail)

Project Type:

Removal of at grade crossing

Outputs:

Removal of one at grade crossing

Outcomes:

<u>Outcome</u>

Safety

Eliminate potential accidents with at grade crossings of rial lines

Velocity

Reduction of 23 existing daily vehicle hours Reduction of 105 daily vehicle hours in 2030

Throughput

Elinination of current gate down time of 3.32 hours per day Elimination of gate down time of 6.6 hours per day in 2030

Reliability

Eliminate emergency vehicle delay time up to 5 minutes

Congestion Reduction

Eliminate current at grade vehicle queue rate of 166 vehicles per hour per lane Eliminate at grade vehicle queue rate of 327 vehicles per hour per lane in 2030

Emissions Reduction

Estimate emission reductions in tons per day

CO2- 0.066 Nox- 0.00002 PM2.5- 0.00001 ROG- 0.00001

Page 6 C09021-pc

SANBAG Contract No. <u>C09-022</u>

by and between

San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

and

California Transportation Commision

for

Valley Blvd., Grade Separation Project

	FORA	CCC	DUNTING	PURPOS	SES ONLY	e distriction de la company de
☐ Payable	Vendor Con	tract	#		Retention:	
Receivable	Vendor ID CTC				☐ Yes% ⊠ No	
Notes:						
Original Contract:	ф О		Previous A	mendme	nts Total:	\$
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>		Previous A	mendme	nts Contingency Tota	ıl: \$
Contingonov Amount:	¢.		Current An	nendmen	t:	\$
Contingency Amount:	\$	l	Current An	nendmen	t Contingency:	\$
Contingency Amount requires	specific authoriza	tion b	y Task Manaç	ger prior to r	elease.	
				Cont	ract TOTAL → \$ 0	
					allocation for the original co	ontract or the amendment.
<u>Task</u>	Cost Code		ding Source	<u>es (</u>	Grant ID Ar	<u>nounts</u>
N/A	<u>N/A</u>	<u>TCI</u>	E	-	·	
				-		· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	******		_	-		
Original Board Approved		e:	<u>9/3/08</u>			ontract End: <u>N/A</u>
New Amend. Approval (I						mend. End:
If this is a multi-year co	ontract/amen uture fiscal y	dme ear(:	ent, please s)-unbudge	allocate eted oblig	budget authority an gations:	nong approved
Approved Budget Fi	scal Year:		1		iscal Year(s) –	
Authority →	\$			Unbudg	eted Obligation →	\$
Is this consistent with the	e adopted bud	lget?	? □Yes		0	
If yes, which Task in	_					
If no, has the budge		000000000000000000000000000000000000000		CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE		
			TRACT MA	ANAGEN	MENTA	and the last property
Please mark an "X" ne	xt to all that a	appl	y:			
Intergovernmental	☐ Private		☐ Non-Loc	al 🗌	Local	Local
Disadvantaged Business	Enterprise: [⊠Nc	Yes	%		
Task Manager: Ty Schu	iling			Contrac	t Manager: Philip Cl	<u>)</u>
Well ZSE	11	ربخ	1/2/18) Ji	Z Rhizma
Task Manager Signature	7	С	ate	Contra	ict Manager Signatur	e Date
Della Stant		_8	12/10			
Chief Financial Officer S	ignature	C	ate			

Filename: C09022-pc

SANBAG Agreement No. C09022 TRADE CORRIDORS IMPROVEMENT FUND PROJECT BASELINE AGREEMENT

1. PARTIES AND DATE

1.1 This Project Baseline Agreement (Agreement) for the <u>Valley Blvd Grade Separation</u>, effective on September 1, 2008, is made by and between the California Transportation Commission (Commission), the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), and the <u>San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG)</u>, and the City of Colton (Project Sponsors), sometimes collectively referred to as the "Parties".

2. RECITAL

2.1 Whereas at its April 10, 2008 Meeting the California Transportation Commission programmed the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund and included in this program of projects the Valley Blvd Grade Separation, the parties are entering into this Project Baseline Agreement to document the project cost, schedule, scope and benefits, as detailed on the Project Programming Request Form attached hereto as Exhibit A, the Draft Project Study Report or Equivalent attached hereto as Exhibit B, and the Project Benefits Form attached hereto as Exhibit C, as the baseline for project monitoring by the California Transportation Commission and its Project Delivery Council. The undersigned Project Sponsor certifies that the funding sources cited are committed and expected to be available; the estimated costs represent full project funding; and the scope and description of benefits is the best estimate possible.

3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Project Sponsor and Caltrans agree to abide by the following provisions:

- 3.1 To meet the requirements of Government Code Section 8879.23(c)(1), as added by Proposition 1B, and of Government Code Section 8879.50, as enacted through implementing legislation in 2007 (Senate Bill 88 and Assembly Bill 193).
- 3.2 To adhere to the provisions of the California Transportation Commission Resolution TCIP-P-0708-01, "Adoption of Program of Projects for the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF)," dated April 10, 2008.
- 3.3 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Trade Corridors Improvement Fund Guidelines.
- 3.4 To adhere to the California Transportation Commission's Accountability Implementation Plan and Policies, and program and baseline amendment processes.
- 3.5 The Sponsoring Agency agrees to secure funds for any additional costs of the project. Any change to the funding commitments outline in this agreement requires an amendment.

Page 1 C09022-pc

- 3.6 To report to the California Transportation Commission on a quarterly basis on the progress made toward the implementation of the project, including scope, cost and schedule.
- 3.7 To report to the California Transportation Commission on the progress, on a quarterly basis, and outcomes, at the end of the environmental phase, of the environmental process with regard to air quality impacts due to emissions from diesel or other particulates and related mitigation strategies. Whereas the Bond Act mandates that the Commission shall allocate TCIF for trade infrastructure improvements in a manner that places emphasis on projects that improve trade corridor mobility while reducing emissions of diesel particulate and other pollutant emissions, the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and the Corridor Coalition understand and agree that the California Transportation Commission will only allocate TCIF to projects that can demonstrate compliance with applicable environmental requirements. If environmental clearance is conditioned to the implementation of mitigation measures, the sponsoring agency must commit, in writing, to the implementation of those mitigation measures.
- 3.8 To maintain and make available to the California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, all work related documents, including engineering and financial data, during the course of the project and retain those records for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Financial records will be maintained in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
- 3.9 The California Transportation Commission and/or its designated representative, has the right to audit the project records, including technical and financial data, of the Department of Transportation, the Sponsoring Agency, and any subconsultants at any time during the course of the project and for four years from the date of the final closeout of the project. Audits will be conducted in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards.

4. SPECIFIC PROVISIONS AND CONDITIONS

4.1 **Project Schedule and Cost**

See Project Programming Request Form, attached as Exhibit A.

4.2 **Project Scope**

See Project Study Report/Project Study Report Equivalent, attached as Exhibit B.

4.3 Project Scope

See Project Benefits Form, Attached as Exhibit C.

4.4 Other Project Specific Provisions and Conditions

Deborah Barmack	DATE
Executive Director	in the second of
San Bernardino Associated Governments	
Daryl Parrish City Manager City of Colton	DATE
Will Kempton	DATE
Director	
California Department of Transportation	
John F Barna, Jr.	DATE
Executive Director	
California Transportation Commission	
Da Pan Bl	8),3/01
Approved as to Form by:	'DATE
Jean-Rene Basle	
SANBAG County Counsel	

Page 3 C09022-pc

Exhibit A



2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

General Instructions

				Gonerai	motractions
✓ New Project	Amendment (Existing Project)		Date	07/10/08
ગામેલીઇ લાસમાર		PPN0	MPOID	1)©	8(P No)
08			200849		N/A
COUNTY E	ector Project	Special Age	anery (V):		Biomoni I
SBD	SANBAG		sc		MT
भिरवी ञ् ली गाँगे।			- 00	iu .	1911
	oad Grade Separation	<u></u>	are a committee of the committee of	a contracta and sure sure and a	
PMBK PMAN	<u> </u>	io (company		M. A.	
	and the second of the second o	Phone		EII Alotiness	
	Gilbert Betancourt	909-886-3971	gbetancoi	ırt@sanbag.ca	i.gov
in Colton on Vol	al Limis, Desemption, Seq	ne or work, teacher	Mint Distantishen		
in Colton, on val	ley Blvd from N. Pennsylvani	a Ave east to N. 7th	St, construct railro	ad grade sepa	ration by
bullding a liyover	bridge for the railroad.				
intheologo	linip Control line	รถ (พระกรรณ)	1 AS SOLO 1	1 - V V V V	
PA&ED	SANBAG	El (YE) BITEN	1 340 9050	Paral entre	Les (et friende
PS&E	SANBAG]
Right of Way	SANBAG	·			
Construction	SANBAG	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1
legishiw Del					
Assembly		Senate:	32	neuralisment de la companya del companya de la comp	
Congressional					
Man Section					7 7 7 7
	najor east-west arterial that o	arries approximate	v 11.000 vehicles r	erday The e	stimated 71
trains that cross	Valley Blvd every day create	substanital traffic d	elavs and disrupt b	usiness in dow	ntown
Colton. Separati	ing the railroad crossing from	Valley Blvd, which	is also a key location	on along the A	lameda
Corridor East, wi	Il mitigate community impact	s of goods moveme	ent and provide mo	e reliable acce	ess to
warehouse and t	ruck facilities.	_	•		
Profee Barette	eparations increase travel re				
Railroad grade s	eparations increase travel re	liability on major roa	dways for both the	community ar	nd truck
traffic, improve a	ir quality, and eliminate poter	ntial conflicts betwe	en vehicular and tra	ain traffic, whic	h all act to
mitigate the impa	act of freight movement on co	ommunities. This g	rade separation is	estimated to re	duce 115
idaliy venicle nou	rs of delay in 2030.				
PoperMisso					(,)
Project Study Re		<u></u>	name d'alla anna a le marcidit ant de	<u> </u>	Daip
	ental (PA&ED) Phase	·			N/A
Circulate Draft F	nvironmental Document		Discincente Type	NI/A	07/01/08
Draft Project Rep			ENGRANGER II WEE	IN/A	CEQA SE
	tal Phase (PA&ED Milestone)			06/30/09 12/30/09
Begin Design (P		·/			
End Design Phas	se (Ready to List for Advertis	ement Milestone)	<u> </u>		01/01/10 06/30/12
Begin Right of W		oric inmodicine)			07/01/10
	y Phase (Right of Way Certif	ication Milestone)			06/30/12
	on Phase (Contract Award M				07/01/12
	n Phase (Construction Contra		estone)		12/30/13
Begin Closeout F					01/01/14
	nase (Closeout Report)				06/30/14
l	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				33/30/17

Page 4 C09022-pc



2008 Project Programming Request (Funding Information)

Caltrans	/			D.1. 07:10:00
county	(dollars in thousan	ds and escalated to the program	mmed year) Merkelerolood	Date: 07/10/08 EA
SBD	08	0	N/A	0
Zrojec utiles. Valley Blvd Rail	Iroad Grade Separation			
	Existing Total Projec	et Cost		
	08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/	and the second s	Implementing Agency
E&P (PA&ED) 0 PS&E 0 R/W SUP (CT) 0	9 9	의 <u>의</u> 의) <u>(</u>	
R/W SUP (CT)				
CON SUP (CT)		4 4	<u> </u>	
R/W S	man and the larger and the first and the control of		91	
TOTAL 3	والمستقدين والمستقدين والمستقدين والمستقدين والمستقدين	6 <u>9</u> 3		****
ERB (DARED)	Proposed Total Proje			
E&P (PA&ED) 750 PS&E	01 (Sein)		다. 25년 대 1969	
R/W SUP (CT)	(0)		84	
CON SUP (CT)	9 1 22		4 2.75 j	
CON	the contract of the first that the contract of	91 25 66	वि एक अधिक	
TOTAL /Sig		ध्री २७ व्यक्ती हा	01 (16, 476)	
Fund No. 1:				Barana and a
rand No. 1;	Existing Fundir	ng		Program Code
The same of the sa	08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/	14+ Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED) 5 PS&E 2 R/W SUP (CT) 9		리 6 중 일 6 6		
R/W SUP (CT)	61 0			
CON SUP (CT)	04		AL .	
R/W 3		9 9	3[3	
CON 6 70TAL 7		의 <u>현</u> 공	<u></u>	
\$ 14.4 ci	Proposed Fundi			Notes
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E			3	Proposed TCIF
R/W SUP (CT)				
CON SUP (CT)				
CON		7.050		
TOTAL	<u> </u>	7,658	24, 7,000 ti	
		and the second s		<u> </u>
Fund No. 2:	Existing Fundi	10		Program Code
	Existing Fundin		14+ Total	Program Code Funding Agency
Component Prior C	08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/		
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E	08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/		
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT)	08/09	11/12 12/13 13/	3	
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E	08/09	11/12 12/13 13/		
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E 0 R/W SUP (CT) 0 CON SUP (CT) 0 R/W 5 CON 5	08/09	11/12 12/13 13/		
Component	08/09	11/12 12/13 13/	3	
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E 7 R/W SUP (CT) R/W CON SUP (CT) R/W CON 3 TOTAL E&P (PA&ED)	08/09	11/12 12/13 13/		Funding Agency
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E	08/09	11/12 12/13 13/		Funding Agency Notes
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E	08/09	11/12 12/13 13/		Funding Agency Notes
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) 5 PS&E 7 R/W SUP (CT) 6 CON SUP (CT) 7 R/W 7 CON 7 TOTAL 7 E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W 7 08/09	11/12 12/13 13/		Funding Agency Notes	
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON 3 TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW CON	08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/		Funding Agency Notes
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL EAP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL	08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/		Funding Agency Notes
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON 3 TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON R/W CON R/W CON R/W CON R/W CON R/W CON	08/09 09/10 10/11 Proposed Fund	11/12 12/13 13/		Funding Agency Notes
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E 0 R/W SUP (CT) 0 R/W 5 CON UP (CT) 0 TOTAL 0 E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) 0 CON UP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON UP (CT) R/W CON UP (CT) R/W CON UP (CT) R/W CON UP (CT) Fund No. 3:	08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/		Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON	08/09 09/10 10/11 Proposed Fundi Existing Fundii 08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/	/14+ Total	Funding Agency Notes Developer Impact Fees
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON	08/09 09/10 10/11 Proposed Fundi Existing Fundii 08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/	/14+ Total	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) FIND E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON TOTAL Fund No. 3:	08/09 09/10 10/11 Proposed Fundi Existing Fundii 08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/ 13/ 13/ 13/ 13/ 13/ 13/ 13/ 13/ 13/	/14+ Total	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL Fund No. 3: Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL CON TOTAL Fund No. 3:	08/09 09/10 10/11 Proposed Fundi Existing Fundii 08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/	/14+ Total	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON TOTAL Fund No. 3: Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT)	08/09 09/10 10/11 Proposed Fundi Existing Fundi 08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/	/14+ Total	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL Fund No. 3: Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL CON TOTAL Fund No. 3:	08/09 09/10 10/11 Proposed Fund Existing Funding 08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/	/14+ Total	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code Funding Agency
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL S Fund No. 3: Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) TOTAL	08/09 09/10 10/11 Proposed Fundi 08/09 09/10 10/11 08/09 09/10 10/11 08/09 09/10 10/11 08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/	/14+ Total	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL CON TOTAL Fund No. 3: Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E	08/09 09/10 10/11 Proposed Fundi Existing Fundi 08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/	/14+ Total	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code Funding Agency Notes
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL S Fund No. 3: Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL S FUND NO. 3: COMPONENT PRIOR CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON SUP (CT)	08/09 09/10 10/11 Proposed Fundi 08/09 09/10 10/11 08/09 09/10 10/11 08/09 09/10 10/11 08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/	/14+ Total	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code Funding Agency Notes San Bernardino County
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON CON CON CON CON CON CON CO	08/09 09/10 10/11 Proposed Fundi 08/09 09/10 10/11 08/09 09/10 10/11 08/09 09/10 10/11 08/09 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/	/14+ Total	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code Funding Agency Notes San Bernardino County
Component Prior C E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT)	08/09 09/10 10/11 Proposed Fundi Existing Fundii 08/09 09/10 10/11 Proposed Fund 2,500 2,251	11/12 12/13 13/	/14+ Total	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code Funding Agency Notes San Bernardino County

Page 5 C09022-pc

Trade Corridor Improvement Fund Project Benefits Form EXHIBIT C

Project Title:

Valley Blvd Grade Separation

Project Category:

Grade Separation project (rail)

Project Type:

Removal of at grade crossing

Outputs:

Removal of one at grade crossing

Outcomes:

<u>Outcome</u>

Safety

Eliminate potential accidents with at grade crossings of rial lines

Velocity

Reduction of 34 existing daily vehicle hours
Reduction of 115 daily vehicle hours in 2030

Throughput

Elinination of current gate down time of 2.5 hours per day Elimination of gate down time of 3.8 hours per day in 2030

Reliability

Eliminate emergency vehicle delay time up to 5 minutes

Congestion Reduction

Eliminate current at grade vehicle queue rate of 165 vehicles per hour per lane Eliminate at grade vehicle queue rate of 312 vehicles per hour per lane in 2030

Emissions Reduction

Estimate emission reductions in tons per day

CO2- 0.23061 Nox- 0.00007 PM2.5- 0.00002 ROG- 0.00004

Page 6 C09022-pc



San Bernardino Associated Governments

1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Fl, San Bernardino, CA 92410 Phone: (909) 884-8276 Fax: (909) 885-4407 Web: www.sanbag.ca.gov



•San Bernardino County Transportation Commission •San Bernardino County Transportation Authority
•San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency •Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

Minute Action

The second of th	AGENDA ITEM:7
Date:	August 20, 2008
Subject:	Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF) Funding Agreement between SANBAG and Local Sponsors.
Recommendation:*	 Approve the following funding agreements pertaining to TCIF projects: Palm Ave. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09063) Glen Helen Pkwy. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09064) South Milliken Ave. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09065) North Milliken Ave. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09066) Vineyard Ave. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09067) Valley Blvd. Grade Separation Project. (Contract No. C09068) I-10 Logistics Access Project Cherry Interchange. (Contract No. C09069) I-10 Logistics Access Project Citrus Interchange. (Contract No. C09070)
Background:	The California Transportation Commission (CTC) acted on April 10, 2008 to program \$1.648 billion in Proposition 1B Trade Corridor Improvement Funds for projects in the Los Angeles/Inland Empire Corridor, with approximately \$239 million for SANBAG's Tier 1 projects. In its adopted resolution, the CTC required nominating agencies to provide executed project baseline agreements that commit to full funding of each of the projects.
	Approved Plans and Programs Policy Committee
	Date:
	Moved: Second:
	In Favor: Opposed: Abstained:
	Witnessed:

ppc0808b-pc

Plans and Programs Agenda Item August 20, 2008 Page 2

In order to secure the TCIF funding for each project, the local sponsoring agencies need to identify all project funding sources as being committed and available. TCIF projects included in the current SANBAG Development Mitigation Nexus Study project list will have to commit their local development share contribution as established in the Nexus Study. The attached funding agreements state the development mitigation fair-share percentage for each phase of the project. Local sponsors may borrow funds from other accounts to cover the development mitigation requirement but the loans must be reimbursed with development contributions once they are available.

If the local development share is not available at the time when funding is required, SANBAG may provide a loan with Measure I 2010-2040 dollars to cover the local share at the discretion of the SANBAG Board. Loan terms and agreements will be established through the 2010-2040 Measure I strategic plan process. Measure I 2010-2040 funds cannot be borrowed until the SANBAG Board has approved loan terms and agreement for each project.

The funding agreement also reaffirms that TCIF funds are considered as part of the public share funding and will not reduce the local development share contribution requirement.

In discussion with the County on agreement No. 2 & 7 (C09064 & C09069) for I-10 Cherry Interchange and Glen Helen Pkwy Grade Separation project, County staff proposed the following modifications to the original agreement (underlined in bold – the reference to CITY refers to Fontana):

- 4. If at the time the funds are required the CITY and/or the COUNTY are not able to provide the required 22.7% Percent County share and 12.7% Percent City share of the PROJECT cost as estimated on the most current Project Programming Request form (Attachment A), SANBAG may shall provide a loan of Measure I dollars to cover the CITY and/or COUNTY local share with terms acceptable to all parties and approved by the SANBAG Board, County Board of Supervisors, and CITY Council. Repayment of such a loan by development contributions would occur in accordance with the agreed upon provisions of a loan agreement between SANBAG, the CITY and the COUNTY.
- 5. No commitment to loan Measure I funds for the PROJECT can be made until the SANBAG Board and the COUNTY Board of Supervisors and CITY Council have approved a loan agreement containing the specific terms and conditions for the PROJECT.

Plans and Programs Agenda Item August 20, 2008 Page 3

The modifications proposed by the County firmly commit the SANBAG Board to provide a loan of Measure I dollars (based on acceptable loan terms and conditions by all parties) to cover local development contribution share in the event the City and/or the County cannot provide the required local development share as identified. The language proposed by the County would make this a commitment of the Board in advance of the time at which the SANBAG staff was expecting to have the Board make such a commitment. Nevertheless, it is highly likely that loans will be required for the I-10/Cherry interchange project, as well as other TCIF projects, if the projects are to be delivered within the established TCIF timelines, given the magnitude of the expected expenditures.

To fund these loans, it is also likely that SANBAG would either need to bond against future Measure I 2010-2040 dollars or borrow significant sums from other Valley programs. Based on financial analyses conducted to date for the Strategic Plan, these financing strategies are likely feasible, but the potential impacts on the delivery of other programs and projects has not been assessed. SANBAG has already made a substantial funding commitment of Measure I 2010-2040 dollars by applying for and executing baseline agreements on TCIF projects.

All parties agree that these are critical projects and that none of the agencies can afford to forgo the commitment of TCIF funds. Therefore, a substantial incentive exists on the part of all the involved agencies to come to a mutually satisfactory financial arrangement.

Direction is desired from the Plans and Programs Committee as to whether the language should be modified to reflect the County's proposal, which would strengthen SANBAG's commitment to loan Measure I 2010-2040 funds to cover development mitigation shares should the funds not be available. SANBAG staff's opinion is that language in the attached agreement already provides for adequate protections, given the incentives that all agencies have to deliver these TCIF projects. However, the committee could determine that the stronger SANBAG commitment should be included in the funding agreements for the I-10/Cherry and Glen Helen Parkway projects at this time. If such direction is given, staff believes it would be most fair to provide the same language in all the TCIF funding agreements, so as to treat each project equally. If such direction is not given, the language included in the attached agreements would apply. In either case, the terms of the loans would be determined through the Strategic Plan process and through negotiations with the individual jurisdictions, subject to the approval of the SANBAG Board.

Plans and Programs Agenda Item August 20, 2008 Page 4

Financial Impact:

This item is consistent with the approved FY 08/09 Budget.

Reviewed By:

This item will be reviewed by the Plans and Programs Policy Committee

on August 20, 2008

Responsible Staff:

Philip Chu, Transportation Programming Analyst

Steve Smith, Chief of Planning

Ty Schuiling, Director of Planning and Programming

ppc0808b-pc

Attachment: C09063-pc, C09064-pc, C09065-pc, C09066-pc, C09067-pc, C09068-pc, C09069-pc, C09070-pc

37309000

SANBAG Contract No. C09063

by and between

San Bernardino Associated Governments

and

City of San Bernardino

for

Rail-Highway Grade Separation Project at Palm Ave BNSF

	FOR A	CCOUNTING	PURPOS	SES ONLY		
☐ Payable	Vendor Con	tract #		Retention:		
Receivable	Vendor ID _			☐ Yes % 🖾 No	☐ Amendment	
Notes: Local DIF funding commitment agreement. Future Co-op agreement will determine dollar amount.						
Original Contract:	¢ 0	Previous	Amendme	nts Total:	\$	
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>	Previous	Amendme	nts Contingency Total	I: \$	
0 1:		Current A	mendmen	t:	\$	
Contingency Amount:	\$ <u>0</u>	Current A	mendmen	t Contingency:	\$	
Contingency Amount requires	specific authoriza	ation by Task Man	ager prior to r	release.		
Contract TOTAL →						
		↓ Pleas	se include fund	ling allocation for the original	l contract or the amendment.	
<u>Task</u>	Cost Code	Funding Sour	ces (Grant ID An	nounts	
<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	TCIF	-			
			-			
			-			
Original Board Approved	Contract Dat	te: 9/3/08	Contrac		ontract End: <u>NA</u>	
New Amend. Approval (nend. End:	
If this is a multi-year conduction budget authority and f					ong approved	
Approved Budget F	-		•	Fiscal Year(s) –		
Authority →	\$			eted Obligation →	\$	
Is this consistent with the	e adopted bud	 dget? □Ye	s 🔲 N	0		
If yes, which Task ir						
If no, has the budge	t amendment	been submitte	d? ∐Yes	□No		
	COV	ITRACT MAN	IAGENE	NT		
Please mark an "X" ne	xt to all that	apply:				
Intergovernmental	☐ Private	☐ Non-Lo	cal _	Local Partly	Local	
Disadvantaged Busines	s Enterprise: [⊠No ∐Yes	%			
Task Manager: Ty Schu	iling		Contrac	ct Manager: Philip Ch	147	
Will- Total	A	2/12/08			~ 8/15/0X	
Task Manager Signature	• >	Date,		act Manager Signature		
Im Start		8/0/08		-		
Chief Financial Officer S Filename: C09063-pc	Signature	Date				

FUNDING AGREEMENT NO.C09063 BETWEEN

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

AND

CITY OF SAN BERNARDINO

FOR

Rail-Highway Grade Separation Project at Palm Ave BNSF

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this ____ day of ____ by and between the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (hereinafter referred to as "SANBAG") and City of San Bernardino (hereinafter referred to as "CITY").

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, on April 10, 2008 the California Transportation Commission (CTC) approved \$9,390,000 in Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF) for this project; and

WHEREAS, the estimated total cost of the Palm Ave. Railroad Grade Separation Project (hereinafter referred to as the "PROJECT")is \$35,176,000, which includes construction of a grade separation (highway over rail) and widening of Palm Ave and Cajon Blvd from 2 to 4 lanes; and

WHEREAS, SANBAG and the CITY are the co-sponsors of the project; and

WHEREAS, in order to secure the \$9,390,000 in TCIF funding, the sponsoring agencies will need to identify all project funding as being committed and available; and

WHEREAS, if the CITY and SANBAG cannot provide the identified share of the project cost at the time of project construction, the TCIF funds will be lost;

NOW, THEREFORE, SANBAG and the CITY agree to the following:

- 1. Local share is defined as the development contribution portion of the PROJECT cost established in the SANBAG Development Mitigation Nexus Study. This portion is established as 14.6% Percent for all phases of the PROJECT and is estimated in the PROJECT Programming Request form (Attachment A) which maybe be updated from time to time to reflect current conditions
- 2. TCIF funds are considered as part of the public share funding and the local share is not reduced by the TCIF contribution.

- 3. The CITY agrees to commit a minimum of 14.6% Percent of the total PROJECT cost as its share of the funding of the PROJECT. The CITY agrees that this funding will come from development contributions but that the CITY may borrow from other accounts to provide this funding, if provision is made to reimburse such accounts with development contribution as they become available.
- 4. If at the time the funds are required the CITY is not able to provide the required 14.6% Percent local share of the PROJECT cost as estimated on the most current Project Programming Request form (Attachment A), SANBAG may provide a loan of Measure I dollars to cover the CITY local share at the discretion of the SANBAG Board. Repayment of such a loan by development contributions would occur in accordance with the provision of a loan agreement between SANBAG and the CITY. Loan agreement will be established through the strategic plan process, which will result in specific policies and procedures governing these loans.
- 5. No commitment to loan Measure I funds for the PROJECT can be made until the SANBAG Board has approved a loan agreement containing the specific terms and conditions for the PROJECT.

San Bernardino County	City of San Bernardino			
Transportation Authority				
By:	By:			
Deborah Barmack Executive Director	Lori Sassoon Acting City Manager			
Date:	Date:			
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND PROCEDURE:	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND PROCEDURE:			
By: Jean-Rene Basle	Ву:			
SANBAG County Counsel	Attorney			
Date: 8/17/08	Date:			

Attachment A



2008 Project Programming Request

(Project Information)

General Instructions

New Project Amendment (Existing Project) Date 07/10/08 Caltrans District FA EA MPO ID TORPING 200850 N/A County Route/Corridon Reproject/Sponsor/Lead Agency MPO Figure Flement SBD SANBAG **SCAG** MT Project Title 14 Table 1 Palm Ave Railroad Grade Separation PM Bk PM And Project Mgr/Contact Reserving Phone Gilbert Betancourt 909-886-3971 gbetancourt@sanbag.ca.gov Location Project Limits Description Scope of Works Legislative Description In the City of San Bernardino and the County of San Bernardino, from 1000' east of at-grade on Palm Ave and 1000' north and south of grade on Cajon Blvd, construct grade separation (likely highway over rail) and widen Palm and Cajon from 2 to 4 lanes Components: AB 3090 Letter of No Prejudice PA&ED SANBAG PS&E SANBAG Right of Way SANBAG Construction SANBAG Legislative Districts Assembly: 63 Senate: 32 Congressional: 43 Purpose and Need Palm Ave is a major east-west arterial that carries approximately 5,300 vehicles per day. The estimated 94 freight and two passenger trains that cross Palm Ave every day create substantial traffic delays and disrupt access to a developing industrial/warehouse area nearby. Separating the railroad crossing from Palm Ave will mitigate community impacts of goods movement and provide more reliable access to warehouse and truck facilities. Project/Benefits Railroad grade separations increase travel reliability on major roadways for both the community and truck traffic, improve air quality, and eliminate potential conflicts between vehicular and train traffic, which all act to mitigate the impact of freight movement on communities. This grade separation will eliminate gate down time of 6.6 hours per day in 2030 and is estimated to reduce 105 daily vehicle hours of delay in 2030. Project Milestone Date Project Study Report Approved N/A Begin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase 07/01/08 Circulate Draft Environmental Document Document Type: N/A CEQA SE Draft Project Report 06/30/09 End Environmental Phase (PA&ED Milestone) 12/30/09 Begin Design (PS&E) Phase 01/01/10 End Design Phase (Ready to List for Advertisement Milestone) 06/30/12 Begin Right of Way Phase 07/01/10 End Right of Way Phase (Right of Way Certification Milestone) 06/30/12 Begin Construction Phase (Contract Award Milestone) 07/01/12 End Construction Phase (Construction Contract Acceptance Milestone) 12/30/13 Begin Closeout Phase 01/01/14 End Closeout Phase (Closeout Report) 06/30/14 Page 3

C09063-pc



County	te: 07/10/08 EA 0
SBD	
Project Title: Palm Ave Railroad Grade Separation	0
Existing Total Project Cost Component Prior 08/09 09/10 10/11 11/12 12/13 13/14+ Total Implementing E&P (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Component Prior 08/09 09/10 10/11 11/12 12/13 13/14+ Total Implementing E&P (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Component Prior 08/09 09/10 10/11 11/12 12/13 13/14+ Total Implementing E&P (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
E&P (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 PS&E 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 RW SUP (CT) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 CON SUP (CT) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	g Agency
RW SUP (CT) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
CON SUP (CT) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
CON THE CONTROL OF TH	
TOTAL 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Proposed Total Project Cost	
E&P (PA&ED) 750 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 750	
PS&E 0 0 2 000 7 0 0 0 0 2 000 RW SUP (CT) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
CON SUP (CT)	
R/W 2 0 0 1,12e 2 0 0 1 7 0 1 7 1 12e	
CON 0 0 0 1 0 31,300 5 31,300 5 31,300	
TOTAL 750 0 3,426 0 34,300 0 35,776	
	Code
Fund No. 1: Program Existing Funding	Code
Component Prior 08/09 09/10 10/11 11/12 12/13 13/14+ Total Funding A	gency
E&P (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
PS&E 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
RW SUP (CT) 0 0 0 9 0 0	
CON SUP (CT) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
R/W 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
TOTAL 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Proposed Funding Note	5
E&P (PA&ED) Proposed TCIF	
PS&E	
R/W SUP (CT) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
RW 0	
CON 9,390 83 8,899	
TOTAL 0 0 0 0 9,300 0 0 10 18 8 18 9 0	
	Code
Fund No. 2: Program	
Existing Funding	
Existing Funding Component Prior 08/09 09/10 10/11 11/12 12/13 13/14+ Total Funding /	
Existing Funding	
Component Prior D8/09 O8/10 10/11 11/12 12/13 13/14+ Total Funding / E&P (PA&ED) O O O O O O O O O	
Component Prior 08/09 09/10 10/11 11/12 12/13 13/14+ Total Funding / E&P (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Component Prior 08/09 09/10 10/11 11/12 12/13 13/14+ Total Funding / EAP (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Component Prior 08/09 09/10 10/11 11/12 12/13 13/14+ Total Funding / EAP (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Agency
Component Prior D8/09 O8/10 10/11 11/12 12/13 13/14+ Total Funding / EaP (PA&ED) O O O O O O O O O	Agency
Component	as act Fees
Component	as act Fees
Existing Funding	es act Fees
Existing Funding	as act Fees Code Agency
Existing Funding	as act Fees Code Agency
Existing Funding	as act Fees Code Agency
Existing Funding	as act Fees Code Agency
Existing Funding	Agency as act Fees a Code Agency
Existing Funding	Agency as act Fees a Code Agency

Page 4

SANBAG Contract No. C09064

by and between

San Bernardino Associated Governments

and

County of San Bernardino

for

Rail-Highway Grade Separation Project at Glen Helen Parkway BNSF/UP

The second section of the second section secti	FOR A	CCOUNTIN	G PURPO:	SES ONLY	en de la companya da				
☐ Payable	Vendor Con	tract #		Retention:					
☐ Receivable	Vendor ID _			☐ Yes % 🗵	No				
Notes: Local DIF funding commitment agreement. Future Co-op agreement will determine dollar amount.									
	ф О	Previous	s Amendme	nendments Total: \$					
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>	Previous	s Amendme	nts Contingency T	otal: \$				
Contingency Amount:	\$ <u>0</u>	Current	Amendmen	t:	\$				
Contingency Amount.	Ψ <u>Q</u>	Current	Amendmen	t Contingency:	\$				
Contingency Amount requires	specific authoriza	ation by Task Ma	nager prior to i	release.					
Contract TOTAL	Contract TOTAL →								
		↓ Ple	ease include fund	ding allocation for the ori	ginal contract or the amendment.				
<u>Task</u>	Cost Code	Funding Sou	irces	Grant ID	<u>Amounts</u>				
<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	TCIF	•		\$				
			-	 ·	\$ \$ _				
			•		\$ \$				
Original Board Approved	d Contract Da	te: <u>9/3/08</u>	Contrac	ct Start: <u>9/2/08</u>					
New Amend. Approval (Board) Date:		Amend	. Start:	Amend. End:				
If this is a multi-year c budget authority and f					among approved				
Approved Budget F Authority →	_		Future I	Fiscal Year(s) – jeted Obligation •	→ \$				
Is this consistent with th				0					
If yes, which Task in	-	•							
If no, has the budge									
-		ITRACT MA	MAGEME	NI					
Please mark an "X" ne				7	will a seel				
☐ Intergovernmental ☐ Private ☐ Non-Local ☐ Local ☐ Partly Local									
	Disadvantaged Business Enterprise: ☑No ☐Yes% Task Manager: Ty Schuiling Contract Manager: Philip Chyr								
rask Manager. Ty och		-1/		or managor. I min	8/ 2/ 1/20				
Willi Wel	<u> </u>	8/13/08		X 1	415/08				
Task Manager Signatur	$\frac{e}{}$	Date	Contra	áct Manager Si g na	ature / Date				
Chief Financial Officer S	Signature	Date							

FUNDING AGREEMENT NO. C09064 BETWEEN

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

AND

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

FOR

Rail-Highway Grade Separation Project at Glen Helen Parkway BNSF/UP

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____ by and between the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (hereinafter referred to as "SANBAG") and County of San Bernardino (hereinafter referred to as "COUNTY").

<u>WITNESSETH</u>

WHEREAS, on April 10, 2008 the California Transportation Commission (CTC) approved \$7,172,000 in Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF) for this project; and

WHEREAS, the estimated total cost of the Glen Helen Parkway Railroad Grade Separation Project (hereinafter referred to as the "PROJECT")is \$26,868,000, which includes construction of a grade separation (highway over rail) on Glen Helen Parkway; and

WHEREAS, SANBAG and the COUNTY are the co-sponsors of the project; and

WHEREAS, in order to secure the \$7,172,000 in TCIF funding, the sponsoring agencies will need to identify all project funding as being committed and available; and

WHEREAS, if the COUNTY and SANBAG cannot provide the identified share of the project cost at the time of project construction, the TCIF funds will be lost;

NOW, THEREFORE, SANBAG and COUNTY agree to the following:

- 1. Local share is defined as the development contribution portion of the PROJECT cost established in the SANBAG Development Mitigation Nexus Study. This portion is established as 27.8% Percent for all phases of the PROJECT and is estimated in the PROJECT Programming Request form (Attachment A) which maybe be updated from time to time to reflect current conditions
- 2. TCIF funds are considered as part of the public share funding and the local share is not reduced by the TCIF contribution.

- 3. The COUNTY agrees to commit a minimum of 27.8% Percent of the total PROJECT cost as its share of the funding of the PROJECT. The COUNTY agrees that this funding will come from development contributions but that the COUNTY may borrow from other accounts to provide this funding, if provision is made to reimburse such accounts with development contribution as they become available.
- 4. If at the time the funds are required the COUNTY is not able to provide the required 27.8% Percent local share of the PROJECT cost as estimated on the most current Project Programming Request form (Attachment A), SANBAG may provide a loan of Measure I dollars to cover the COUNTY local share at the discretion of the SANBAG Board. Repayment of such a loan by development contributions would occur in accordance with the provision of a loan agreement between SANBAG and the COUNTY. Loan agreement will be established through the strategic plan process, which will result in specific policies and procedures governing these loans.
- 5. No commitment to loan Measure I funds for the PROJECT can be made until the SANBAG Board has approved a loan agreement containing the specific terms and conditions for the PROJECT.

<u>rnardino</u>
TO FORM AND
·
O'.



2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

General Instructions

✓ New Project	Amendment (Existing Project)			Det		3/10/08
Califians District	[-]/2\	124XO	MPC		ार्धिः	IP No.
08			2008	306	-1	N/A
Country late	uio/Suilitar Project	SELECT PROGRESSION	Œ7	MP(0)		Zement .
SBD	SANBAG			SCAG		MT
ા મહાલ્યા માર્		1.4				
	Railroad Grade Separation	that the common and the common make it is a second and the common				
	Properties Control	Piene		E-Well Ac	หมิสาเลล	
	Chris Saed	909-387-7877	00	aed@dpw.st		YOV
					Joourney.g	JOV
In the County of S	เ Lliniis, Desgription, Scop an Bernardino, on Glen Hele	e elevente de la	A PAICE -	orrore:		tion (likely
highway aver rail	an bernardino, on Gien Heis	iii rkwy al Ornn aii	u dinor, c	onstruct grad	e separa	tion (likely
highway over rail)	•					
]						
Gornoonani	ailinemelomii	а Маруачи		10210 LGG	o-sonia	Remise
PA&ED	County of San Bernardino	e le reciament	41 (512) 3	NEW TEGE	er compo	N-Main and Cal
PS&E	County of San Bernardino			1		
Right of Way	County of San Bernardino					
Construction	County of San Bernardino		 	f	<u> </u>	
Legislative Distri						
Assembly:		Senate: 3	1. 32		خانم فأس لماه سيبيد	
Congressional:			.,			
Peripose and Nex						
	connects I-15 and I-215 and	carries approximate	ly 5,600 ve	hicles per da	v althou	igh that
substantially incre	ase during special events at	Glen Helen Regiona	l Park and	l Hvundai Pav	illion wh	nich holds
65,000 people. In	addition, it is a detour altern	ative to the Devore	Interchang	e durina cons	struciton	or
emergencies. Th	e estimated 94 freight and tv	vo passenger trains t	hat cross	Glen Helen P	kwy evei	v dav create
substanital traffic	delays and and provide a ph	ysical impediment to	passenge	r and truck m	obility in	this
developing area.	Separating the railroad cross	sing from Glen Heler	Pkwy will	mitigate com	munity i	mpacts of
goods movement	,				-	-
Piopo:Barelle						
Railroad grade se	parations increase travel reli	iability on major road	ways for b	oth the comm	nunity an	d truck
traffic, improve air	quality, and eliminate poten	tial conflicts betweer	n vehicular	and train traf	fic, whic	n all act to
mitigate the impac	ct of freight movement on co	mmunities. This gra	de separa	tion will elimir	nate gate	down time
totaling 6.6 hours	per day in 2030 and is estim	ated to reduce 371 of	daily vehicl	e hours of de	lay in 20	30.
	ж.					
Project Mileston	The same of the sa	- Company of a substitution of the contract of				Delo
Project Study Rep						N/A
Circulate Droft En	ntal (PA&ED) Phase vironmental Document					06/01/06
Draft Project Rep			Maining ur	Type N/A		CEQA SE
	al Phase (PA&ED Milestone)					06/30/08
Begin Design (PS						06/30/08
End Design Phase	e (Ready to List for Advertise	amont Milestone				08/01/08
Begin Right of Wa		Sinerit Willestone)		·		09/30/10
	Phase (Right of Way Certifi	cation Milestone)				11/01/08
Begin Construction	n Phase (Contract Award M	ilestone)				09/01/09 11/01/10
End Construction	Phase (Construction Contra	ct Accentance Miles	tone)			
Begin Closeout P		or Acceptance Miles	ione)			11/01/12 11/01/12
	ase (Closeout Report)					06/01/13
C09064-pc	- (J. J. J	Page 3				00/01/13
Cozoo r po		I age 3				

Page 3 111



	(dollars in thousand	is and escalated to the p	programmed year)	Date: 03/10/08
County	CT Dietrict	PPNO	TCRP Project No.	EA
SBD	08	0	N/A	0
Project Title: Gien Helen Pkwy Railro	oad Grade Separation			

			Existing T	otal Projec	t Cost				
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Implementing Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	1 10		0		. To 0	0	Ö	. 0	
P\$&E		30	ē	(3) (4) (4) (4)	"Cont 0	0	0	0	
R/W SUP (CT)	9 0	es; 300 B			. 6	0	0	0	
CON SUP (CT)			3		ь	. 0	9	9 9 0	
R/W	o			Ď	0	0	100	and the contract of	
CON	9	Ů.			0		(6)	0	
TOTAL		0	0	0	0	6 0	0		
			Proposed 1	Total Projec	ct Cost				
E&P (PA&ED)		0.00	Đ.		i i	6	0	0.	
PS&E	2,850		0.		20000000	9 0		2,650	
R/W SUP (CT)		i i			0	. 0		- 6	
CON SUP (CT)	0.4	30 E	, n		0.00	0	0	0	
R/W	-0	44000			0.00	0 10	0.00	3,000	
CON	0.00	0	21,238	Ü	- 0	0	- 0	21,218	
TOTAL	2 650	5.75/050	21,218	Č.	0.00	. 0		26,868	

Fund No. 1:									Program Code
	Existing Funding								
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)		0		. 0		0	0	j. 1191- 0	
PS&E	0	. 0	Ō	- 0	0	. 0	0	0	
R/W SUP (CT)	10	0.00	0			Janes G	30.00	(EE 10.0)	
CON SUP (CT)	- 0		F 6	6	0	9 - 0	0	o c	
R/W		0	0.00	- 0	. 6	0			
CON	1 0	0		6	9		(9)	19	
TOTAL	6)	+ 0	o o	i e e		0	(1)	G.	•
			Propos	ed Fundin	g				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								13	Proposed TCIF
PS&E								g g	•
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
₹/W								0	
CON			7,172					7,172	
TOTAL	6	i i	7:172	. 6	. 0	· 0	- 0	7.172	A Property of the Control of the Con

Fund No. 2:									Program Code
Component	Prior .	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	ē.	(3)	0	0	9	0)	. 0	e.	
PS&E	. 0	0	6	0	, o	. 0	t)	0	
R/W SUP (CT)	10 TO	4 4600		10	0		0		
CON SUP (CT)			C C	0	- 0	0	0	a da G	
R/W	0	0	6	0	9 0	0	0	6	
CON		0	0	9	0	- 0	0	9	
TOTAL	0	0	0		0	. 0	٥	· 6	
			Propos	sed Fundir	ng				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								ď	Developer impact Fee
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)								0	
CON SUP (CT)					1			2 0	
R/W					T T			- 0	
CON			7,469		I			7,460	
TOTAL	0	. 0	7,469		0	0		7,469	

Fund No. 3:									Program Code
			Existi	ng Funding	3				
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)		o es es		esco D	0	0		0	
PS&E			0	9)	8		0	5	
R/W SUP (CT)	9 d	0.0	-2	8)	a	. 0	Ü	ů.	
CON SUP (CT)	-0 -0 -0	O.	0		. 0		0		
R/W	0.00	0	ė.			, 10	Ç.	C.	
CON	0	F 0	0	0	6	- 6	. 0	Ü	
TOTAL	0	0.1	0	0	9	- 0	0	0	
			Ргоро:	sed Fundin	g				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								0	San Bernardino County
PS&E	2,650							2 650	Measure I
R/W SUP (CT)								0	
CON SUP (CT)						_		0	
R/W		3,000						9,000	•
CON			6,577					6,6777	
TOTAL	2,650	0.000	8.577	. 0	0	0	0	12,227	

C09064-pc

Page 4

SANBAG Contract No. C09065

by and between

San Bernardino Associated Governments

and

City of Ontario

for

Rail-Highway Grade Separation Project at South Milliken Ave. UP

promise of a spirit		CCOUNTING		SES ONLY	<u>,, OI</u>				
☐ Payable	Vendor Con	tract #		Retention:		☑ Original			
Receivable	Vendor ID			☐ Yes % [⊠ No	☐ Amendment			
Notes: Local DIF funding amount.		agreement. Fu	iture Co-or						
amount	Previous Amendments Total: \$								
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>	n							
		Previous Amendments Contingency Total: \$							
Contingency Amount:	\$ <u>0</u>	Current A	mendment	t:		\$			
Contingonoy 7 anount.	Ψ <u>υ</u>	Current A	mendment	t Contingency:		\$			
Contingency Amount requires	specific authoriza	ation by Task Mana	ager prior to r	elease.					
Contract TOTAL	-				\$ <u>0</u>				
Contract 1017tE									
Task	Cost Code	₩ Pleas		ling allocation for the o		ontract or the amendment.			
NA NA	NA	TCIF	<u> </u>	JI GITT ID	\$				
		<u></u>	_		\$				
	****		_		\$				
	_		_		\$				
Original Board Approved	d Contract Da	te: <u>9/3/08</u>	Contrac	t Start: <u>9/2/08</u>	Con	tract End: <u>NA</u>			
New Amend. Approval (Board) Date:		Amend.	Start:	Ame	end. End:			
If this is a multi-year country budget authority and f	ontract/amer uture fiscal y	idment, please	allocate	budget authorit	y amo	ong approved			
Approved Budget F	_			iscal Year(s) –					
Authority →	\$		Unbudg	eted Obligation	→ \$	S			
Is this consistent with the	e adopted bu	dget? ∐Ye	s 🔲 No	o	<u>.</u>				
If yes, which Task ir	-								
If no, has the budge									
	CON	ITRACT MAN	IAGEMEN	TV					
Please mark an "X" ne	Please mark an "X" next to all that apply:								
☑ Intergovernmental ☐ Private ☐ Non-Local ☐ Local ☐ Partly Local									
Disadvantaged Business Enterprise: ⊠No ☐Yes%									
Task Manager: Ty Schu	iling		Contrac	t Manager: Phil	p.Chu	7 , ,			
WM. Ha	<u> </u>	8/17/08				8/13/08			
Task Manager Signature	•	Date	Contra	ct Manager Sigr	ature	Date			
Jon Stated		8/13/08							
Chief Financial Officer S	ignature	Date							

Filename: C09065-pc

FUNDING AGREEMENT NO. C09065 BETWEEN

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

AND

CITY OF ONTARIO

FOR

Rail-Highway Grade Separation Project at South Milliken Ave. UP

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____ by and between the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (hereinafter referred to as "SANBAG") and City of Ontario (hereinafter referred to as "CITY").

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, on April 10, 2008 the California Transportation Commission (CTC) approved \$8,031,000 in Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF) for this project; and

WHEREAS, the estimated total cost of the South Milliken Ave. Railroad Grade Separation Project (hereinafter referred to as the "PROJECT")is \$30,083,000, which includes construction of a grade separation (highway under rail) on South Milliken north of Mission Blvd; and

WHEREAS, SANBAG and the CITY are the co-sponsors of the project; and

WHEREAS, in order to secure the \$8,031,000 in TCIF funding, the sponsoring agencies will need to identify all project funding as being committed and available; and

WHEREAS, if the CITY and SANBAG cannot provide the identified share of the project cost at the time of project construction, the TCIF funds will be lost;

NOW, THEREFORE, SANBAG and the CITY agree to the following:

- 1. Local share is defined as the development contribution portion of the PROJECT cost established in the SANBAG Development Mitigation Nexus Study. This portion is established as 20% Percent for all phases of the PROJECT and is estimated in the PROJECT Programming Request form (Attachment A) which maybe be updated from time to time to reflect current conditions
- 2. TCIF funds are considered as part of the public share funding and the local share is not reduced by the TCIF contribution.

- 3. The CITY agrees to commit a minimum of 20% Percent of the total PROJECT cost as its share of the funding of the PROJECT. The CITY agrees that this funding will come from development contributions but that the CITY may borrow from other accounts to provide this funding, if provision is made to reimburse such accounts with development contribution as they become available.
- 4. If at the time the funds are required the CITY is not able to provide the required 20% Percent local share of the PROJECT cost as estimated on the most current Project Programming Request form (Attachment A), SANBAG may provide a loan of Measure I dollars to cover the CITY local share at the discretion of the SANBAG Board. Repayment of such a loan by development contributions would occur in accordance with the provision of a loan agreement between SANBAG and the CITY. Loan agreement will be established through the strategic plan process, which will result in specific policies and procedures governing these loans.
- 5. No commitment to loan Measure I funds for the PROJECT can be made until the SANBAG Board has approved a loan agreement containing the specific terms and conditions for the PROJECT.

San B	sernardino County	City of Untario
Trans	sportation Authority	
By:		By:
	Deborah Barmack Executive Director	Gregroy C. Devereaux City Manager
Date:		Date:
	ROVED AS TO FORM AND CEDURE:	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND PROCEDURE:
By:	Jean-Rene Basle	By:
	SANBAG County Counsel	Attorney
Date:	8/13/01	Date:



2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

Caltrans				Gener	al Instructions		
✓ New Project	Amendment (Existing Project)		Date	03/10/08		
Caltrans Distric		BENO	MEONDA	Secretary Cases	ORPINO.		
08			200405	MANAGEM STREET, N			
County	oute/Corridor						
SBD	SANBAG	Shareawsead Wolente			Elementia		
Project Fitte			SC	CAG	MT		
	The state of the s						
SOUTH WINKEH AV	e Railroad Grade Separation	i at UP/Los Angeles			:		
SEMISOKS EMISANG	Boled Moveouses			mail Address			
Nemalitation and the second	Tom Danna	909-395-2387	tdanna	@ci.ontario.ca	a.us		
Eccation Project	telmits Description Scor	pe of Work Legislativ	eDescription				
In the City of Ont	ario along Alameda Corridor	East, on Milliken Ave a	at UP/Los Ange	eles immediate	ely north of		
liviission Biva, cor	nstruct grade separation (like	ly highway under rail).					
Component	luplemenin						
PA&ED	Ontario	elections.	AD3090	Letter of	io Rielndice		
PS&E	Ontario		 	1	-		
Right of Way	Ontario	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 		_		
Construction	Ontario		 - - - - 	 			
Legislative Dist	icis						
Assembly	: 61, 71	Senate: 31	. 32				
Congressional	: 43, 44		,				
Purpose and Ne				116			
Milliken Ave is a	north/south corridor east of L	os Angeles/Ontario Inf	ernational Airp	ort (ONT) run	nina from SR-		
60 to 1-10 and pro	ovides access to and from O	NT (for both passenge	r traffic and ca	rgo-related us	es), and to		
Ontario's Foreign	i Trade Zone No. 50-1 (an ex	tension of the Port of I	-ong Beach's F	TZ NO. 50). It	carries an		
estimated 19,500) vehicles per day (43,000 vp	d by 2030), and heavy	duty trucks are	e estimated to	comprise 20		
percent of daily tr	raffic. Separating the railroa	d crossing from Millike	n Ave, which is	s a key locatio	n along the		
Alameda Corrido	r East, will mitigate communi	ity impacts of goods m	ovement and p	rovide more r	eliable truck		
laccess to the log	istics complex and the air ca	rgo facilities at ONT.					
Project/Benefits				Paragraph (Section Constraints)			
Bailroad grade se	eparations increase travel re	inhility on major ready					
traffic, improve a	ir quality, and eliminate poter	ntial conflicts between	ays for both th	e community a	and truck		
mitigate the impa	act of freight movement on co	mmunities This grad	veriicular and (e congration w	ram tramc, wn ill oliminato de	ich all act to		
totaling 2 hours p	per day in 2030 and is estima	ted to reduce 131 daily	e separation w vehicle houre	of delay in 20	ne down time		
	,	to rouded to rually	, como nous	or delay in 20	JU.		
	ie – Paris de la companya de la comp				Date Ma		
Project Study Re		The second second second	No. Transport Control		N/A		
	ental (PA&ED) Phase				07/01/08		
	nvironmental Document	Do	cument Type	N/A	CEQA SE		
Draft Project Rep					01/01/09		
	tal Phase (PA&ED Milestone)			12/31/09		
Begin Design (PS	S&E) Phase	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			01/01/10		
Bogin Blaht of 14	se (Ready to List for Advertis	ement Milestone)		-	12/31/11		
End Bight of War	Begin Right of Way Phase						
Begin Construction	y Phase (Right of Way Certif on Phase (Contract Award M	ication Milestone)			12/31/11		
End Construction	on Phase (Construction Contra	nestone)			12/01/12		
Begin Closeout F		ici Acceptance Milesto	ne)		12/31/14		
	ase (Closeout Report)				01/01/15		
Lina Cioscoat Fil	ase (Sioseout neport)				04/30/15		



Carterorus	Date: 03/10/08								
County	CT District	PPNO :	TCRP Project No.	EA.					
SBD	08	0	0	0					
Project Title:: South Milliken Ave Railroad Grade Separation at UP/Los Angeles									

Existing Total Project Cost									
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Implementing Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	district 0		0	0	. 0	a de G	
PS&E	0	0	E E	0	0	0	. 0	0	
R/W SUP (CT)	. 0	• O	8 - 68 5 0	i (a	0.00000	# O			
CON SUP (CT)	1 9 0	. 0	0	60.00	- 0	- 6 - 60	425 - 0	(0)	
R/W	0.00	0	- D	D	0	100		- 0	
CON	- 0		0	o e	e - 10 C	0.00	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	. 0	O O		5.0	. 0	0	
			Proposed T	otal Projec	t Cost			1	
E&P (PA&ED)	750	0		77.0	- 6	- 0	. 0	750	
PS&E	. 0	0	2,000	9 9			6 5 6	2,000	
R/W SUP (CT)	0	- D	0	0	e c	0.0	Company of	. 0	
CON SUP (CT)	1.0	. 0	asia C	0	G G		6)	. 0	
R/W	0		548				0	546	
CON	1. 0	. 0	. 0		26,787			26,787	
TOTAL	750	0	2,546	0	26.787		0	30,083	

Fund No. 1:									Program Code
Existing Funding									
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	C	. 0	0	. 0	0	0	0	G.	
PS&E	. 0	. 0	0		0	0	. 0	. 0	
R/W SUP (CT)	0	0	- 0		0	0	0	. 0	
CON SUP (CT)	.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
R/W		0	0	0.00		G C	0	0 Q	
CON	Ď	. 0	0.0	- 0	0.5	O.	C C		
TOTAL	0	. 0		£.			£.	G.	
			Propos	ed Funding	3				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								· , o	Proposed TCIF
PS&E								G	-
R/W SUP (CT)								0	
CON SUP (CT)								, Q	
R/W								0	
CON					8,031			8,031	
TOTAL	Ď	6	0	a	8,031	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6	6,031	

Fund No. 2:		-							Program Code
	-								
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	6	. 0	5 0	G.	- 0	0	- 0	0	
PS&E					9 0 0	0	6	6	
R/W SUP (CT)			100	M - 1 - 6	130 0	9,6	H 20 0	9 6	
CON SUP (CT)	- C	ti Q			6	6		. 0	,
R/W	# # 0	670.000		9 9 9		5, 10 S. O		0	
CON	9	0.00	0			Đ	Ö	. 0	
TOTAL		0 11 11 10	0	- 5	0	. 0	0	- 0	
			Propo	sed Fundin	9				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								0	Developer Impact Fees
PS&E								0	
R/W SUP (CT)								-0	
CON SUP (CT)								O.	
R/W									
CON					6,017			6,017	
TOTAL	- 350	6 O	0		8,017	G	G.	6,017	

Fund No. 3:									Program Code
	Existing Funding								
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	Ö	0	- 0	ű.	. 0	, e	6	5. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
PS&E	0	2 0	0	- W 0	0	. 0		0.00	
R/W SUP (CT)	0		20	1000	0				
CON SUP (CT)		0	0	10	0	- 0	Ö		
R/W	0	0	O.		0	. 0		. 0	
CON		- 0	- 6	6 at 10 at 6		0	6	0	
TOTAL.	g a g	0	6	0	. 0	. 0	0	0	
			Propos	ed Funding	3				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)	750							750	San Bernardino County
PS&E	[2,000						Measure I
R/W SUP (CT)								a c	
CON SUP (CT)								6 0	
R/W			546					546	
CON					12,739			12,739	
TOTAL	750	0	2,546	0	12,739	0	. 0	16,035	

С09065-рс

Page 4

SANBAG Contract No. <u>C09066</u>

by and between

San Bernardino Associated Governments

and

City of Ontario

for

Rail-Highway Grade Separation Project at North Milliken Ave. UP

	FOR A	cco	UNTING PUR		ES ONLY				
☐ Payable	Vendor Con	tract a	#		Retention:		☑ Original		
Receivable	Vendor ID _				☐ Yes % 🗵	No	☐ Amendment		
Notes: Local DIF funding amount.	g commitment	agre				eter			
Original Contract:			Previous Amen	dmer	nts Total:		\$		
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>		Previous Amen	dmer	nts Contingency To	otal:	\$		
Contingonal Amounts	¢ 0		Current Amend	nt Amendment: \$					
Contingency Amount:	\$ <u>0</u>		Current Amend	ment	Contingency:		\$		
Contingency Amount requires	Contingency Amount requires specific authorization by Task Manager prior to release.								
Contract TOTAL → \$0									
			◆ Please include Please	le fund	ing allocation for the ori	ginal	contract or the amendment.		
<u>Task</u>	Cost Code	Fund	ding Sources	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>Am</u>	<u>ounts</u>		
<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	TCIF	-	_					
			_						
			_	-					
Original Board Approved	Contract Dat	· · · ·	<u> </u>	ntroo	t Stort: 0/2/09		otroot End, NA		
New Amend. Approval (. C .			t Start: <u>9/2/08</u> Start:		ntract End: <u>NA</u> end. End:		
If this is a multi-year c		dme							
budget authority and f	uture fiscal y	ear(s)-unbudgeted	oblig	gations:	4	ong approvou		
Approved Budget F Authority →	iscal Year: \$				iscal Year(s) – eted Obligation -	•	\$		
Is this consistent with th	e adopted bud	get?	∐Yes	□No)				
If yes, which Task ir	_		-	_					
If no, has the budge		a a transport		20.20000.00000					
State Philips 10			CT MANAGE	ME	VT.		- 1 To 1 T		
Please mark an "X" ne									
Intergovernmental	☐ Private		☐ Non-Local		Local 🔲 Par	tly L	.ocal		
Disadvantaged Busines	· · · · · ·	⊠No		_%					
Task Manager: Ty Schu	illing		Co	ntrac	t Manager: Philip	Ch	97		
WHI I		8/	13/08 - 5		20		58/13/08		
Task Manager Signature	プ	'D	ate C	ontra	ct Manager Signa	ture	Date		
Ofm Street		8,	13/08						
Chief Financial Officer S Filename: C09066-pc	Signature	Ď	ate						

FUNDING AGREEMENT NO. C09066 BETWEEN

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

AND

CITY OF ONTARIO

FOR

Rail-Highway Grade Separation Project at North Milliken Ave. UP

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____ by and between the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (hereinafter referred to as "SANBAG") and City of Ontario (hereinafter referred to as "CITY").

<u>WITNESSETH</u>

WHEREAS, on April 10, 2008 the California Transportation Commission (CTC) approved \$6,490,000 in Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF) for this project; and

WHEREAS, the estimated total cost of the North Milliken Ave. Railroad Grade Separation Project (hereinafter referred to as the "PROJECT")is \$74,210,000, which includes construction of a grade separation (highway under rail) on North Milliken Ave. between Guasti and Airport Dr.; and

WHEREAS, SANBAG and the CITY are the co-sponsors of the project; and

WHEREAS, in order to secure the \$6,490,000 in TCIF funding, the sponsoring agencies will need to identify all project funding as being committed and available; and

WHEREAS, if the CITY and SANBAG cannot provide the identified share of the project cost at the time of project construction, the TCIF funds will be lost;

NOW, THEREFORE, SANBAG and CITY agree to the following:

- 1. Local share is defined as the development contribution portion of the PROJECT cost established in the SANBAG Development Mitigation Nexus Study. This portion is established as 20% Percent for all phases of the PROJECT and is estimated in the PROJECT Programming Request form (Attachment A) which maybe be updated from time to time to reflect current conditions
- 2. TCIF funds are considered as part of the public share funding and the local share is not reduced by the TCIF contribution.

- 3. Traffic Congestion Relief Project (TCRP) funds are considered as State and Federal earmark and will reduce the total project cost.
- 4. The CITY agrees to commit a minimum of 20% Percent of the total PROJECT cost as its share of the funding of the PROJECT. The CITY agrees that this funding will come from development contributions but that the CITY may borrow from other accounts to provide this funding, if provision is made to reimburse such accounts with development contribution as they become available.
- 5. If at the time the funds are required the CITY is not able to provide the required 20% Percent local share of the PROJECT cost as estimated on the most current Project Programming Request form (Attachment A), SANBAG may provide a loan of Measure I dollars to cover the CITY local share at the discretion of the SANBAG Board. Repayment of such a loan by development contributions would occur in accordance with the provision of a loan agreement between SANBAG and the CITY. Loan agreement will be established through the strategic plan process, which will result in specific policies and procedures governing these loans.
- 6. No commitment to loan Measure I funds for the PROJECT can be made until the SANBAG Board has approved a loan agreement containing the specific terms and conditions for the PROJECT.

	Bernardino County sportation Authority	City of	<u>Ontario</u>
Ву:		By:	
	Deborah Barmack Executive Director		Gregory C. Devereaux City Manager
Date:		Date:	
	ROVED AS TO FORM AND CEDURE:		OVED AS TO FORM AND EDURE:
By:	Jean-Rene Basle	Ву:	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
	SANBAG County Counsel		Attorney
Date:	8/13/08	Date:	



2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

General Instructions

				I set General annual Parish	
✓ New Project	Amendment (Existing Project)			Dates	03/10/08
Califars District	EXECUTE EXECUTE EXECUTE EXECUTE EXECUTE EXECUTION OF EXEC	PAUD	ামানত্র্যাত	1	311100
08			20010139		55.2
County A CRO	MERCONICON REPORTE	Sounson Les (A)	ri(av	12/67) Sleibéilte a
SBD	SANBAG			CAG	MT
Project Title		Appearance of the second secon			
	Railroad Grade Separation	at LIDDD	Alamanda (Marketala)		
	Projecting Garage		The second second of the second sections in the second sec		transfer spreaders a parameter and
				mail (Address	
	Tom Danna	909-395-2387	tdann	@ci.ontario.c	a.us
rocationar rojec	માં મારા મારા માટા માટા માટા માટા માટા માટા	EQVIOLE PUBLICA	ામાં મામ છે.		
construct and a	rio along Alameda Corridor	East, on Milliken Av	e at UPRR between	een Guasti and	l Airport Dr,
construct grade se	eparation (likely highway und	der rail). (TCRP Leg	. Desc Alamed	a Corridor Eas	t: Build grade
Colton through Co	Irlington Northern Santa Fe	and Union Pacific R	ailroad lines with	rail to rail sepa	aration at
Collon inrough Sa	n Bernardino County.)				
PA&ED	inplementin	el(vieling)	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	Letteroth	<u>िम्मिल्लिक</u>
PS&E	Ontario				
Right of Way	Ontario				
Construction	Ontario	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Legislative Distr	Ontario				
Assembly:					
Congressional:		Senate:	32		
Eurposetand Ne		A COLUMN TO THE PROPERTY OF TH			
FO to 1 10 and	orth/south corridor east of L	os Angeles/Ontario	International Air	oort (ONT) run	ning from SR-
loo to 1-10 and big	vides access to and from O	NT (for both passer	oper traffic and ca	arno-related us	act and to
ontano's Foreign	Trade Zone No. 50-1 (an ex	tension of the Port	of Long Beach's	FTZ No. 50). II	t carries an
persont of deliver	vehicles per day (45,000 vp	d by 2030), and hea	avy duty trucks ar	e estimated to	comprise 26
thereeur or daily fle	anic. Separating the railroa	d crossing from Mil	liken Ave which i	e a key locatio	n along the
Mairieda Collidol	⊏asi, will miligate communi	IV impacts of goods	movement and	provide more r	eliable truck
access to the logic	stics complex and the air ca	rgo facilities at ONT	•		
Riojee Eenellis	A Charles and the state of the				
rallioad grade se	parations increase travel rel	iability on major roa	dways for both th	ne community a	and truck
luanc, improve all	quality, and eliminate poter	itial conflicts betwee	n vehicular and	train traffia wh	ioh all act to
princigate the impac	or or relating movement on co	ommunities This o	'ada canaration u	ill aliminata ac	to down time -
locating 2.4 nours	per day in 2030 and is estim	nated to reduce 218	daily vehicle hou	irs of delay in 2	2030.
				_	
Project Milesion	And the second second	ETAL TAL MEDICATION STATES			
Project Study Rep					DEG
Begin Environmen	ntal (PA&ED) Phase				N/A
Circulate Draft En	vironmental Document				07/11/01
Draft Project Repo	An Orintellial Document		Does word this	N/A	CEQA SE
End Environment	al Phase (PA&ED Milestone				12/16/02
Begin Design (PS	&E\ Phase)			11/21/02
End Design Phase	A (Ready to List for Art. 1)				01/01/07
Begin Right of Wa	e (Ready to List for Advertis	ement Milestone)			06/30/08
End Right of Way	Phase (Dight of War C. 111				03/01/08
Begin Construction	Phase (Right of Way Certif	cation Milestone)			10/31/08
End Construction	n Phase (Contract Award M	liestone)			01/01/09
Begin Closeout Pl	Phase (Construction Contra	act Acceptance Mile	stone)		11/30/10
End Closeout Pho	ise (Closeout Report)				12/01/10
Page 3	ise (Ciuseout Heport)				12/31/10

C09066-pc



Caltrans	(dollars in thousands or	nd escalated to the programmed yea	ar) Date: 03/10/08
County			Project No.
SBD	08	0	55.2 0
Project Title: North Milliken Ave Railr	oad Grade Separation at UF	PRR	
	Existing Total Project Co		
Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED)	ENGINEERING CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	11/12 12/13 13/14+	Total Implementing Agency
E&P (PA&ED) 0 0 PS&E 0 0	The second secon	- 0 - 0 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Sec. 0
R/W SUP (CT)			0
CON SUP (CT)		P. 0 44 A000 10 10 10	
R/W 0 0	Section 10 Section 5		and the state of t
CON 0 K F M 0	Control of the Contro	1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1	7.7.0
TOTAL 0	January O House School		
COC (CASED)	Proposed Total Project C	ost	
E&P (PA&ED) 557 0 PS&E 4-443 0000	0 0 0		557
R/W SUP (CT)			
CON SUP (CT)		r o salano	
R/W 200 \$444 0	(0.00)	25% O RESERVE O LABOR	20.6
CON 9 6 6 8 80 010	155 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	PROFILE CONTRACTOR	@eto30
TOTAL 5/200 8 69.010		9 20 0	
Fund No. 1:			Brown Code
runa NO. 1:	Existing Funding		Program Code
Component Prior 08/09		11/12 12/13 13/14+	Total Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	Control of the second of the	and the second of the second of	(1949.L.O
PS&E 0 # 14	Programa (1996)	describeration (Co. Section Co.)	9
R/W SUP (CT)			(2,000)
CON SUP (CT)		O STATE O SECTION OF	
CON 6 9	A ANNOUNCE CONTRACTOR AND	0 - 0 0 0 0	
CON S S		0 0	
TOTAL	Proposed Funding		Notes
E&P (PA&ED)			Proposed TCIF
PS&E			C C
R/W SUP (CT)			<u> </u>
CON SUP (CT)	 		- 0
R/W	 		
CON 5,490	A COMPANY OF THE PARTY OF THE P		6,400
TOTAL 0.00 6.490	A COMPANY OF THE PARTY OF THE P	20,000 0000000 000000000000000000000000	5;490
) rec _e = 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	3 1 0 3 1 2 1 3 1 0 1 2 3 3 4 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	THE PROPOSITION OF THE PROPOSITI
TOTAL 6 9 6 490	Existing Funding		Program Code
TOTAL) rec _e = 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	11/12 12/13 13/14+	Program Code Total Funding Agency
TOTAL	Existing Funding 09/10 10//11	11/12 12/13 13/14+	6,490 Program Code Total Funding Agency
TOTAL 6 6 48: Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Existing Funding 09/10 10/11 dd 15/0 4	11/12 12/13 13/14+ (c 0) 0 0 0	Program Code Total Funding Agency
TOTAL 6 6 48: Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 2 PS&E 0	Existing Funding 09/10 10/11 0 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/14+	Program Code Total Funding Agency
Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 PS&E 0 8487 RW SUP (CT)	Existing Funding 09/10 10/11	11/12 12/13 13/14+ 12/13 13/14+ 12/13 13/14+ 12/13 13/14+	Program Code Total Funding Agency
TOTAL Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 PS&E 0 RW SUP (CT) 0 CON SUP (CT) 0 RW 0 CON	Existing Funding OB/10 10/11 OB/10 10/11 OB/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12	Program Code Total Funding Agency
TOTAL	Existing Funding 09/10 10/11 09/10 10/11 09/10 4/10 10/11 09/10 4/10 10/11 10/1	11/12 12/13 13/14+ 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Program Code Total Funding Agency 5
TOTAL 6 6 400 Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 PAEE 0 PAEE 0 PAEE 0 0 PAEE	Existing Funding OB/10 10/11 OB/10 10/11 OB/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12	Program Code Total Funding Agency 9 9 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
TOTAL	Existing Funding 09/10 10/11 09/10 10/11 09/10 4/10 10/11 09/10 4/10 10/11 10/1	11/12	Program Code Total Funding Agency 4.0 1.0 Notes TCRP - Ontario
TOTAL	Existing Funding 09/10 10/11 09/10 10/11 09/10 4/10 10/11 09/10 4/10 10/11 10/1	11/12	Program Code Total Funding Agency 9 9 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
TOTAL	Existing Funding 09/10 10/11 09/10 10/11 09/10 4/10 10/11 09/10 4/10 10/11 10/1	11/12	Program Code Total Funding Agency 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
TOTAL	Existing Funding 09/10 10/11 10/11	11/12	Program Code Total Funding Agency C 4.0 Total Funding Agency C 5.7 Notes TCRP - Ontario
TOTAL	Existing Funding 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12	6,486 Program Code Total Funding Agency 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,
TOTAL	Existing Funding 09/10 10/11 10/11	11/12	Program Code Total Funding Agency C 4.0 Total Funding Agency C 5.7 Notes TCRP - Ontario
TOTAL 6 6.400 Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Existing Funding 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12	6,490 Program Code Total Funding Agency 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
TOTAL	Existing Funding 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12	6,486 Program Code Total Funding Agency 1,24,0 1,34,15 2,44,4 3,44,4 4,44,4 4,44,4 4,44,4 4,44,4 4,44,4
TOTAL 60 6.400 Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Existing Funding 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12	6,490 Program Code Total Funding Agency 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
TOTAL Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 PS&E 0 CON 0 TOTAL 0 E&P (PA&ED) 557 PS&E 4,443 RW SUP (CT) 0 CON 0 TOTAL 0 CON SUP (CT) 0 E&P (PA&ED) 557 PS&E 4,443 RW SUP (CT) 0 CON SUP (CT) 0 TOTAL 0 CON SUP (CT) 0 CON 10 TOTAL 0 CON 10 COM 10	Existing Funding 09/10	11/12	6,490 Program Code Total Funding Agency 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
TOTAL Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 P\$&E 0 P\$&E 0 RW SUP (CT) 65/10 CON 0 TOTAL 0 E&P (PA&ED) 557 P\$&E 4,443 RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) RW UP (CT) CON 0 TOTAL 0 E&P (PA&ED) 557 P\$&E 4,443 RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON 30 43,45 TOTAL 5/190 33.46 Fund No. 3:	Existing Funding 09/10	11/12	6,490 Program Code Total Funding Agency 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
TOTAL Fund No. 2: Component	Existing Funding 09/10	11/12	6,490 Program Code Total Funding Agency 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
TOTAL 6.430 Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 PS&E 0 RW SUP (CT) 0 CON SUP (CT) 0 TOTAL 0 E&P (PA&ED) 557 PS&E 4,443 RW SUP (CT) 0 CON SUP (CT) 0 E&P (PA&ED) 557 For Sup (CT) 0 CON SUP (CT) 0 CON SUP (CT) 0 CON SUP (CT) 0 CON SUP (CT) 0 R/W 100 E&P (PA&ED) 33-36 Fund No. 3:	Existing Funding 09/10 10/11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12	6,490 Program Code Total Funding Agency 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
TOTAL 6.400 Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 PS&E 00 CON 00 TOTAL 00 E&P (PA&ED) 557 PS&E 4,443 RW SUP (CT) 0 CON 00 E&P (PA&ED) 557 PS&E 4,443 RW SUP (CT) 0 CON 00 CON	Existing Funding 00/10 10/11 0 0/10 10/11 0 0/10 10/11 0 0/10 10/11 0 0/10 10/11 Existing Funding 00/10 10/11 0 0/10 10/11 0 0/10 10/11	11/12	Program Code
TOTAL 6.400 Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 PS&E 00 CON 00 TOTAL 00 E&P (PA&ED) 557 PS&E 4,443 RW SUP (CT) 0 CON 00 E&P (PA&ED) 557 PS&E 4,443 RW SUP (CT) 0 CON 00 CON	Existing Funding 09/10	11/12	6,490 Program Code Total Funding Agency 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
TOTAL Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Existing Funding 09/10	11/12	Program Code Total Funding Agency Total Funding Agency Total Notes S52 TCRP - Ontario 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
TOTAL Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Existing Funding 09/10	11/12	Program Code
TOTAL Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 PS&E 0 RW SUP (CT) 0 RW 0 TOTAL 0 E&P (PA&ED) 557 PS&E 4,443 RW SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) 0 RW 10 CON 0 TOTAL 1 E&P (PA&ED) 557 PSAE 4,443 RW 100 CON 100 CON 100 CON 100 CON 100 E&P (PA&ED) 1 Fund No. 3: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 PS&E 1 RW SUP (CT) 0 CON SUP (CT) 0 RW 100 E&P (PA&ED) 0 PS&E 10 RW SUP (CT)	Existing Funding 09/10	11/12	Program Code
TOTAL Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Existing Funding 09/10	11/12	Program Code
TOTAL Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Existing Funding 09/10	11/12	Program Code
TOTAL Fund No. 2: Component Prior 08/09 E&P (PA&ED) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Existing Funding 00/10 10/11 0 10/11 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11 0 10/10 10/11	11/12	Program Code

Page 4 C09066-pc

SANBAG Contract No. <u>C09067</u>

by and between

San Bernardino Associated Governments

and

City of Ontario

for

Rail-Highway Grade Separation Project at Vineyard Ave. UP

IXAIP IIQ		COUNTING PURE	•						
☐ Payable	Vendor Cont	ract #	Retention:	☑ Original					
Receivable	Vendor ID		☐ Yes %						
Notes: Local DIF funding amount.	<u> </u>	agreement. Future C	o-op agreement will						
	_	Previous Amend	ments Total:	\$					
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>	Previous Amend	lments Contingency	Total: \$					
Contingonou Amount:	¢ 0	Current Amenda	nent:	\$					
Contingency Amount:	\$ <u>0</u>	Current Amenda	nent Contingency:	\$					
Contingency Amount requires specific authorization by Task Manager prior to release.									
Contract TOTAL → \$ 0									
◆ Please include funding allocation for the original contract or the amendment.									
<u>Task</u>	Cost Code	Funding Sources	Grant ID	<u>Amounts</u>					
<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	TCIF		\$					
				\$					
			 	ֆ s					
Original Board Approved	Contract Dat	te: 9/3/08 Cor	tract Start: <u>9/2/08</u>	Contract End: NA					
New Amend. Approval (I		<u> </u>	end. Start:	Amend. End:					
If this is a multi-year co				ty among approved					
	iscal Year:	` ′	obligations. ire Fiscal Year(s) –						
Authority ->	\$		udgeted Obligation						
Is this consistent with the	e adopted bud	dget? □Yes [□No						
If yes, which Task in	•		- 						
If no, has the budge	t amendment	been submitted?	′es □No						
	CON	ITRACT MANAGE	MENT						
Please mark an "X" ne	xt to all that	apply:							
☑ Intergovernmental	☐ Private	☐ Non-Local	☐ Local ☐ F	artly Local					
Disadvantaged Business	s Enterprise: [⊠No □Yes	%						
Task Manager: Ty Schu	iling,	Cor	ntract Manager : Phi l	lip Chu					
WM. Z1	15/1 -1/1/ 0/- 1/2								
Task Manager Signature				-//-// / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /					
Task Manager Signature				-//-///					

FUNDING AGREEMENT NO.C09067 BETWEEN

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

AND

CITY OF ONTARIO

FOR

Rail-Highway Grade Separation Project at Vineyard Ave. UP

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____ by and between the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (hereinafter referred to as "SANBAG") and City of Ontario (hereinafter referred to as "CITY").

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, on April 10, 2008 the California Transportation Commission (CTC) approved \$6,884,000 in Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF) for this project; and

WHEREAS, the estimated total cost of the Vineyard Ave. Railroad Grade Separation Project (hereinafter referred to as the "PROJECT")is \$25,786,000, which includes construction of a grade separation (highway under rail) on Vineyard Ave. south of Holt Blvd; and

WHEREAS, SANBAG and the CITY are the co-sponsors of the project; and

WHEREAS, in order to secure the \$6,884,000 in TCIF funding, the sponsoring agencies will need to identify all project funding as being committed and available; and

WHEREAS, if the CITY and SANBAG cannot provide the identified share of the project cost at the time of project construction, the TCIF funds will be lost;

NOW, THEREFORE, SANBAG and the CITY agree to the following:

- 1. Local share is defined as the development contribution portion of the PROJECT cost established in the SANBAG Development Mitigation Nexus Study. This portion is established as 20% Percent for all phases of the PROJECT and is estimated in the PROJECT Programming Request form (Attachment A) which maybe be updated from time to time to reflect current conditions
- 2. TCIF funds are considered as part of the public share funding and the local share is not reduced by the TCIF contribution.

Page 1

- 3. The CITY agrees to commit a minimum of 20% Percent of the total PROJECT cost as its share of the funding of the PROJECT. The CITY agrees that this funding will come from development contributions but that the CITY may borrow from other accounts to provide this funding, if provision is made to reimburse such accounts with development contribution as they become available.
- 4. If at the time the funds are required the CITY is not able to provide the required 20% Percent local share of the PROJECT cost as estimated on the most current Project Programming Request form (Attachment A), SANBAG may provide a loan of Measure I dollars to cover the CITY local share at the discretion of the SANBAG Board. Repayment of such a loan by development contributions would occur in accordance with the provision of a loan agreement between SANBAG and the CITY. Loan agreement will be established through the strategic plan process, which will result in specific policies and procedures governing these loans.
- 5. No commitment to loan Measure I funds for the PROJECT can be made until the SANBAG Board has approved a loan agreement containing the specific terms and conditions for the PROJECT.

San Bernarumo County	City of Ontario
Transportation Authority	
By: Deborah Barmack Executive Director	By: Gregroy C. Devereaux City Manager
Date:	Date:
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND PROCEDURE:	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND PROCEDURE:
By: Jean-Rene Basle SANBAG County Counsel	By:
Date: 8/13/08	Date:

Car Damandina County



2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

						G/	eneral	Instructions
✓ New Project		(Existing Project))		***************************************	Date		03/10/08
Caltrans District	EA		13.2.N(a)	MENT N	POID	STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1		RP No.
08			And the second s		00849	COLUMN DESCRIPTION	A STATE OF THE STA	
County	ute/Corridor	Project	Sponsor/Lead Age	Y55Y	Mark M	DOSSESSE	43435	
SBD	The state of the s	SANBAG	9000000			THE CONTRACTOR		Element
Project Title		OANDAG			30	CAG	211440	MT
Vineyard Ave Rail	road Grada Su		D/All					
VILLEDIS DISALE	Todu Glaue o	eparation at o	P/Ainambra					
PM BK PM And	E COlectivi	gr/Contact				mail Addre		Compared of the name of the same (1962)
		Danna	909-395-2387	L	tdanna	@ci.ontari	io.ca.	us
Location, Project	Elmits ; Desc	ription Scor	e of Work, Legisla	ative/Des	cription			
In the City of Onta	trio along Alam	neda Corridor	East, on Vinevard A	ve at UP	/Alhamb	ra immedi:	ately	south of Holt
Blvd, construct gra	ade separation	า (likely highwa	ay under rail).				-	
	I franchisch in all Comme	en a delegio por la constante popo en la persona						
Component PA&ED		Implementin	g Agency	MATERIAL TO A SECTION OF THE SECT	3 3090	Letter	of No	Prejudice
PS&E	Ontario Ontario							
Right of Way	Ontario				<u> </u>			
Construction	Ontario					 	<u> </u> _	
Legislative Distri]
Assembly:			Company					
Congressional:			Senate:	32				
Purpose and Nee				SOLUTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		- Carlo Santa Maria	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Vinevard Ave is a	north/south or	orridor porth o	41 as Assalas/Osts					
10 to ONT and to	Ontario's Fore	Minuot Hottii o	f Los Angeles/Ontar	io Interna	itional Ai	rport (ONT	Γ) run	ning from I-
50). It carries an e	estimated 11.4	ngn nade zo. On vehicles n	ne No. 50-1 (an exte er day (25,200 vpd b	TO DOISHE	the Port	of Long Be	each's	s FTZ NO.
to comprise 20 per	rcent of daily t	traffic Senar	ating the railroad cr	Jy 2030),	and near	vy duty truc	cks a	re estimated
location along the	Alameda Corr	ridor Fast, will	mitigate community	ossing no v impacte	of good	ard Ave, w	/hich	is a key
more reliable truck	caccess to the	e logistics con	nplex and the air car	/ IIIIpaulo ran faciliti	Or good:	3 Movemen	nt and	3 provide
		, logiolico co.,	Thier and the all cal	go racina	es al On	11.		
Project Benefits								20 W Date of the 200 o
Railroad grade ser	parations incre	ease travel rel	liability on major roa	idways for	r hoth th	a commun	ity an	d truck
manic, improve all	quanty, and e	ilminate noter	itial contlicts betwee	an vahicul	lar and tr	rain traffia	10.1-1-1	
Immigate the impac	a oi treignt mo	ovement on co	ommunities. This ar	rade sena	ration wi	ill Aliminata	anto	down time
totaling 2.4 hours p	per day in 203	0 and is estim	nated to reduce 98 o	Jailv vehic	ele hours	of delay in	, ga.c n 203	n down time
						o. uc,	1 =	J.
Project Milestone)*************************************							Date //
Project Study Repo	ort Approved					All de la constant de	-	N/A
Begin Environmen								07/01/08
Circulate Draft Env		ocument		Docume	nt Type	N/A		CEQA SE
Draft Project Repo								01/01/09
End Environmenta		ED Milestone)				\Box	12/31/09
Begin Design (PS&								01/01/10
End Design Phase	Ready to Lis	st for Advertise	ement Milestone)					12/31/11
Begin Right of Wa								01/01/10
End Right of Way	Phase (Hight	of Way Certifi	cation Milestone)					12/31/11
Begin Construction	1 Phase (Cont	ract Award M	ilestone)					12/01/12
End Construction (Phase (Constr	ruction Contra	act Acceptance Miles	stone)				12/31/14
Begin Closeout Ph								01/01/15
End Closeout Pha	se (Closeout F	Report)						04/30/15



Calbans		;	Date: 03/10/08						
					s and escala				eo EA
Project Title:	SBD	us Bailrand (08 Grade Separ		0			0	0
Project title	Villeyald A	ve italiioad t	siade Sepai	ation at OF7	Amambia				
			Existing To	····					
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Implementing Agency
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E	0	6	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	
R/W SUP (CT)		0	. 0	Ü		0	9	0	
CON SUP (CT)	0	0			. 0	. 0	0	. 0	
CON	G 6	0 0			D 0	0		0	
TOTAL	0	. 0	0	. 0		0		G	
		AND AND AND ASSESSMENT AND	Proposed T	************************	SUPPLIES THE PROPERTY OF THE P				
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E	.750 0	0	2,000	0	0 0	- 0	0	750 21080	
R/W SUP (CT)	0	0	0	o o	. 0	0	0	0	
CON SUP (CT)	- 0		9 - 0		0	0	. 0	0	
R/W	0	. 0	546	9	0	0	ů.	548	
TOTAL	750	6	2,546	0	22,490 22,490	0	0	27,490 25,786	
TOTAL	Salanna and Salan				constituental s				
Fund No. 1:	l								Program Code
Component	Prior	08/09	Existi 09/10	ng Funding 10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	Prior	08/08 D	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+) otal	Funding Agency
PS&E	• 0	6	Ö	9	9	0		. 0	
R/W SUP (CT)	0	0	er 0		- 0	- 0	0		
CON SUP (CT)	0	10.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	a de la companya de		- V 0				
TOTAL	- 9	0	6	g	. 0	8 6 6	. 0	0	
ERD (DARED)			Propos	sed Fundin	9				Notes
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E								0	Proposed TCIF
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
CON					6,884			6 884	
TOTAL	G	0	- 0	G	6,884		C	6,884	
Fund No. 2:			Essiati	ng Funding					Program Code
				ng runum					
Component	Prior	08/09		10/11		12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
Component E&P (PA&ED)	Prior 0	08/09 G	09/10 0	10/11 0	11/12 0	12/13 0	13/14+ 0	Total 6	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E	0	0	09/10 0	0		g G	9	######################################	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT)	0 0	0 0	09/10 0 2 0	. 0		0 e - 0	D 0	######################################	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E	0	0 0 10 0	09/10 0	0	11/12 6	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	######################################	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON	0 9 9 0	0 0	09/10 0 0 0	0	11/12 8 9 9 9	g G	D 0	######################################	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W	0 1 9 0 0	4 43 0 4 5 0 4 5 0	09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 19 0		11/12 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 40 0	β 2.2 0 3.2 0 3.0 0	######################################	
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL	0 9 9 0	, 6 0 1, 0 0 0	09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 19 0		11/12 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 40 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Notes
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E	0 9 9 0	, 6 0 1, 0 0 0	09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 19 0		11/12 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 40 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT)	0 9 9 0	, 6 0 1, 0 0 0	09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 19 0		11/12 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 40 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Notes
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT)	0 9 9 0	, 6 0 1, 0 0 0	09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 19 0		11/12 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 40 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Notes
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT)	0 9 9 0	, 6 0 1, 0 0 0	09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 19 0		11/12	0 0 0 40 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Notes
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) R/W SUP (CT) R/W	0 9 9 0	, 6 0 1, 0 0 0	09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 19 0		11/12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 40 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Notes
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL		, 6 0 1, 0 0 0	09/10 0 0 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		11/12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 5 0 6 0 6 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Notes Developer Impact Fees
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON		, 6 0 1, 0 0 0	09/10 0 9 0 9 0 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	11/12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 5 0 6 0 6 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Notes
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL		, 6 0 1, 0 0 0	09/10 0 9 0 9 0 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		11/12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 5 0 6 0 6 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Notes Developer Impact Fees
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL Fund No. 3: Component E&P (PA&ED)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/09	09/10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) R/W CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL Fund No. 3: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/09	09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	11/12 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL Fund No. 3: Component E&P (PA&ED)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	08/09	09/10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13/14+ 13/14+ 13/14+ 13/14+ 13/14+	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL	Prior	OB/OP	09/10 0 9 0 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	11/12 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 5,157 5,157 9 11/12 9 11/12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL Fund No. 3: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL	Prior 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OB/O9	09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	11/12 3 0 0 5 0 0 6 0 0 7 0 0 7 0 0 9 11/12 4 0 0 6 0 0 9 11/12 4 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 7 0 0 8 0 0 9 0 0	12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13	13/14+	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL	Prior	OB/OP	09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Propo Existi 09/10 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12	12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13	13/14+	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL Fund No. 3: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL	Prior 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OB/OP	09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Propo Existi 09/10 0 0	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	11/12	12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13	13/14+	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code Funding Agency Notes
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL Fund No. 3: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT)	Prior 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OB/OP	09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Propo Existi 09/10 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12	12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13	13/14+	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code Funding Agency Notes San Bernardino County
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL Fund No. 3: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL	Prior 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OB/OP	09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12	12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13	13/14+	Total Total Total Total Total Total	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code Funding Agency Notes San Bernardino County Measure I
E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT) R/W CON TOTAL Fund No. 3: Component E&P (PA&ED) PS&E R/W SUP (CT) CON SUP (CT)	Prior 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OB/OP	09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11/12	12/13 12/13 12/13 12/13	13/14+	Total Total Company	Notes Developer Impact Fees Program Code Funding Agency Notes San Bernardino County Measure I

С09067-рс

Page 4

SANBAG Contract No. <u>C09068</u>

by and between

San Bernardino Associated Governments

and

City of Colton

for

Rail-Highway Grade Separation Project at Valley Blvd, BNSF/LIP

The state of the s	Market Strategy and the second	100 C00 C00 C00 C00 C00 C00 C00 C00 C00	Controllers and controllers of the second controllers in	The second secon	SES ONLY	<u>/UP</u>				
☐ Payable	Vendor Cor		**************************************		Retention:		☑ Original			
Receivable	Vendor ID				☐ Yes % [2	ā No	1 -			
Notes: Local DIF fundin	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	t agr	eement. Fu	iture Co-o			Amendment			
amount.						40.0.	Time dollar			
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>		Previous A	Previous Amendments Total: \$						
Original Contract.	Φ <u>Ω</u>		Previous	Amendme	nts Contingency	Total:	\$			
0			Current A	mendmen	t:		\$			
Contingency Amount:	\$ <u>0</u>		Current A	mendmen	t Contingency:		\$			
Contingency Amount requires	specific authoriz	ation l					¥			
			•	•		\$ <u>0</u>				
Contract TOTAL	→					_				
			Ψ Pleas	e include fund	ling allocation for the or	riginal o	contract or the amendment.			
<u>Task</u>	Cost Code	Fur	nding Sourc		Grant ID	_	ounts			
<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	TC	<u>IF</u>	-		\$				
				-		\$				
				-		\$				
Original Board Approve	d Combract D-		0/0/00			_ \$ _				
Original Board Approved			9/3/08		t Start: <u>9/2/08</u>		ntract End:			
New Amend. Approval (Start:		end. End:			
If this is a multi-year country budget authority and f	ontract/amer uture fiscal v	ıdme /ear/	ent, please 's)-unbuda	allocate	budget authority	/ amo	ong approved			
l l	iscal Year:			•	iscal Year(s) –	1				
Authority ->	\$			Unbudg	eted Obligation	→ !	\$			
Is this consistent with th		daet'	?	LNo)	l_				
If yes, which Task ir										
If no, has the budge	t amendment	bee	n submitted	!?	□No		•			
	CON	ITR,	act Man	AGEMEN	Л Т: 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2					
Please mark an "X" ne	xt to all that	appl	y:							
☑ Intergovernmental	☐ Private		☐ Non-Loc	cal 🔲	Local Pa	rtly L	ocal			
Disadvantaged Business	s Enterprise:	⊠No	Yes	%						
Task Manager: Ty Schu	iling			Contrac	t Manager: Philip	Chr				
1411: 71	111.	8	13/04)	1/1	3 8/1>/01			
Taşk Manager Signature		/ 	Date	Contra	ct Manager Signa	ature	Date			
Am Stumb		-	10/01	/	a.i.ago, oigin		Date			
Chief Financial Officer S	Signature	<i>0</i> D	7 <i>737 0</i> 0 Date							
Filename: C09068-pc	9	_								

FUNDING AGREEMENT NO.C09068 BETWEEN

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

AND

City of Colton

FOR

Rail-Highway Grade Separation Project at Valley Blvd. BNSF/UP

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____ by and between the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (hereinafter referred to as "SANBAG") and City of Colton (hereinafter referred to as "CITY").

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, on April 10, 2008 the California Transportation Commission (CTC) approved \$7,658,000 in Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF) for this project; and

WHEREAS, the estimated total cost of the Valley Blvd. Railroad Grade Separation Project (hereinafter referred to as the "PROJECT") is \$28,686,000, which includes construction of a railroad grade separation by building a flyover bridge for the railroad on Valley Blvd from N. Pennsylvania Ave east to N. 7th St.; and

WHEREAS, SANBAG and the CITY are the co-sponsors of the project; and

WHEREAS, in order to secure the \$7,658,000 in TCIF funding, the sponsoring agencies will need to identify all project funding as being committed and available; and

WHEREAS, if the CITY and SANBAG cannot provide the identified share of the project cost at the time of project construction, the TCIF funds will be lost;

NOW, THEREFORE, SANBAG and the CITY agree to the following:

- 1. Local share is defined as the development contribution portion of the PROJECT cost established in the SANBAG Development mitigation Nexus Study. This portion is established as 19.6% Percent for all phases of the PROJECT and is estimated in the PROJECT Programming Request form (Attachment A) which maybe be updated from time to time to reflect current conditions
- 2. TCIF funds are considered as part of the public share funding and the local share is not reduced by the TCIF contribution.

- 3. The CITY agrees to commit a minimum of 19.6% Percent of the total PROJECT cost as its share of the funding of the PROJECT. The CITY agrees that this funding will come from development contributions but that the CITY may borrow from other accounts to provide this funding, if provision is made to reimburse such accounts with development contribution as they become available.
- 4. If at the time the funds are required CITY is not able to provide the required 19.6% Percent local share of the PROJECT cost as estimated on the most current Project Programming Request form (Attachment A), SANBAG may provide a loan of Measure I dollars to cover the CITY local share at the discretion of the SANBAG Board. Repayment of such a loan by development contributions would occur in accordance with the provision of a loan agreement between SANBAG and the CITY. Loan agreement will be established through the strategic plan process, which will result in specific policies and procedures governing these loans.
- 5. No commitment to loan Measure I funds for the PROJECT can be made until the SANBAG Board has approved a loan agreement containing the specific terms and conditions for the PROJECT.

San Bernardino County Transportation Authority	City of Colton
Ву:	Ву:
Deborah Barmack	Daryl Parrish
Executive Director	City Manager
Date:	Date:
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND PROCEDURE:	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND PROCEDURE:
By: Jean-Rene Basle	By:
SANBAG County Counsel	Attorney
Date: (A).) V	Date:
Date: 8/13/08	Date.



2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

			U O	nerar monucuons
✓ New Project	Amendment (Existing Project)		Pate	07/10/08
Caltrans Distric		PENON	MPOND W	TORPING
08			200849	N/A
	outo/Soution Marking an			
		Sign telegraphical Article		Se Elément .
SBD	SANBAG		SCAG	MT
Project Title				
	oad Grade Separation			
RMIBKI RMIAH	d Projection Control	Professional	Espell Aviola	
	Gilbert Betancourt	909-886-3971	gbetancourt@sanba	ig.ca.gov
Location, Projec	ALLimits, Dosellolion, Step	erotiWork#Legista	ในอยารัษปังเอง	
In Colton, on Val	ley Blvd from N. Pennsylvania	a Ave east to N. 7th	St, construct railroad grade	separation by
building a flyover	bridge for the railroad.			•
	a a sa a sa mpenentin	e Acestey	AE SOSO E LEGICITA	MNO1Prejudice
PA&ED	SANBAG			
PS&E	SANBAG			
Right of Way	SANBAG			
Construction	SANBAG			
Legislative Dist				
Assembly		Senate:	32	<u> </u>
Congressiona				
Purposeland N				
valley Bivo is a r	major east-west arterial that o	arries approximately	y 11,000 vehicles per day. T	he estimated 71
Colton Concret	Valley Blvd every day create	substanital traffic de	lays and disrupt business in	downtown
Corridor Fact wi	ing the railroad crossing from	Valley Blvd, which i	s also a key location along t	he Alameda
warehouse and t	ill mitigate community impact	s or goods moveme	nt and provide more reliable	access to
war on oddo and i	duck facilities.			
		**		
Project/Benefit				
	eparations increase travel re	liability on major roa	dyrave for both the commun	ib conditionals
traffic, improve a	air quality, and eliminate pote	ntial conflicts betwee	aways for both the confinition	ity and truck
mitigate the impa	act of freight movement on co	ommunities This or	ade separation is estimated	to roduce 115
daily vehicle hou	irs of delay in 2030.	ommunico. Trio gi	ade separation is estimated	to reduce 115
	•			
ProjectiMilesto	iG			Date
Project Study Re	eport Approved			N/A
Begin Environme	ental (PA&ED) Phase			07/01/0
Circulate Draft E	invironmental Document		Documentaty of N/A	CEQA SI
Draft Project Re	port			06/30/0
End Environmer	ntal Phase (PA&ED Milestone	9)		12/30/0
Begin Design (P				01/01/1
⊏nd Design Pha	se (Ready to List for Advertis	sement Milestone)		06/30/1
Begin Right of W	Vay Phase			07/01/1
End Hight of Wa	y Phase (Right of Way Certi	fication Milestone)		06/30/1
Begin Construct	ion Phase (Contract Award N	(lilestone)		07/01/1
Pocin Ciarra	n Phase (Construction Contr	act Acceptance Mile	stone)	12/30/1
Begin Closeout				01/01/1
End Closeout Pl	nase (Closeout Report)			06/30/1



	Date: 07/10/08						
GEOLULY)	(कोटांग्राज्य)	122(6)	Jest Hollend	IEAV			
SBD	08	0	N/A	0			
Project title Valley Blvd Railroad Grade Separation							

Existing Total Project Cost											
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Implementing Agency		
E&P (PA&ED)	g	9	.0]	3	:01	3	:0)	(6)	implementing Agency		
PS&E	ف ف	(6)	(0)	0,	<u>ioi</u>	.01	의	3			
R/W SUP (CT)	6	0)	0		- 6			6			
CON SUP (CT)	(0)	<u>o</u>	(6)	9	o)	16					
R/W	©	•9	9	9		0.1	3				
CON	<u>@</u>	3						- H			
TOTAL	હો	હ	(6)	10	0.0	2	한 인				
1		e i dalah dalah dalah	Proposed To								
E&P (PA&ED)	7/30	24	n#1	.33	G/I	<u> </u>	0/	24101			
PS&E	őj	5		3				5,9(0)			
R/W SUP (CT)	(0)	ζ <u>ο</u>		ii.	.0.			65			
CON SUP (CT)	[6]		7)	2	0.5 E.						
R/W	198	-01	2 250	50.		Č.	di				
CON	(0)		હોં		75 7.36						
TOTAL	/50	.01	-1, 24-511	0 %	2451 (1645)	16.	9				

Fund No. 1:									Program Code		
			Existin	g Funding							
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency		
E&P (PA&ED)	0)	희	ē.	:6)	Ġ.	6					
PS&E			i i i i	- E	52	9	2				
R/W SUP (CT)	9	170	0	6)	6)	-11	.0	161			
CON SUP (CT)	.gl	3.0	9			9					
R/W	(6)	.0.4 .0.4	ි ම	0.5	.01	0					
CON	@	2		is:	3		-3				
TOTAL	(6)	(9)	e'i	ō j		2.1		.0			
				ed Funding					Notes		
E&P (PA&ED)	I	ī	T	1	I			37	Proposed TCIF		
PS&E								.0]	, repeased ton		
R/W SUP (CT)											
CON SUP (CT)								e,			
R/W											
CON					7,658			7.00			
TOTAL	6	0	<u>@</u>	(6)	7 (953)	:01	o i	7 353			

Fund No. 2:									Program Code
			Existi	ng Funding	I				
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	<u>(i)</u>	<u> </u>	اف	ot	0	ji;	ē.	****	
PS&E	(e)	9[6	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	o t	7		
R/W SUP (CT)	6	(6)	0	.01	1			·c,	
CON SUP (CT)	2	67	(0)	6				.01	
R/W	(0)		Ji			6) C	01	6	
CON	<u> </u>	e.	9 9		3	s/	2)		
TOTAL	(6)	93	(6)	-92		01			
				ed Fundin					Notes
E&P (PA&ED)					1			4	Developer Impact Fees
PS&E								6.	Davalopel Impact I ces
R/W SUP (CT)				*					
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W								10.	
CON					5,623			6.6) 261	
TOTAL	اق	6	Ē.	<u></u>		10	6	5.6 <u>0</u> 25	

Fund No. 3:									Program Code
			Existin	g Funding					
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)		(ف	.01	97	9	0.1	의	-2)	
PS&E	0	:0!	9)	Ø.	(0)	i	i j		
R/W SUP (CT)	0	e)		6	el.	- 6	01		
CON SUP (CT)		(0)		9	:921	2	9	· C	
R/W	2	103					61		
CON	[2]		2	of of	2]		1	(0)	
TOTAL	<u></u>		.(0)	10.5		ĝ.	-01	(0)	
			Propos	ed Funding					Notes
E&P (PA&ED)	750							: a) ()	San Bernardino County
PS&E			2,500						Measure I
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W		T I	2,251					2-3pi	·
CON					9,904			(5) (5) (2)	
TOTAL	7-10	a	4 7/40	76	(*)(*)(*)	į.	2	3045	

C09068-pc

Page 4

SANBAG Contract No. C09069

by and between

San Bernardino Associated Governments

and

City of Fontana and County of San Bernardino

for

I-10 Corridor Logistics Access Project- Cherry Interchange Reconstruction

	FOR A	COUNTING	PURPOS	SES ONLY					
☐ Payable	Vendor Cont	ract #		Retention:	⊠ Original				
Receivable	Vendor ID _			☐ Yes% 🖾 N	lo Amendment				
Notes: Local DIF funding amount.	commitment	agreement. Fut	ure Co-or	p agreement will de	termine dollar				
		Previous A	mendme	nts Total:	\$				
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>	Previous A	Previous Amendments Contingency Total: \$						
Contingonou Amount:	¢ 0	Current An	nendmen	dment: \$					
Contingency Amount:	\$ <u>0</u> Current Amendme			t Contingency:	\$				
Contingency Amount requires	Contingency Amount requires specific authorization by Task Manager prior to release.								
Contract TOTAL →									
		↓ Please	include fund	ling allocation for the origi	nal contract or the amendment.				
<u>Task</u>	Cost Code	Funding Source	es (Grant ID	<u>Amounts</u>				
<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	TCIF	-		\$				
	<u></u>		-		\$				
			-		\$				
Original Board Approved	Contract Dat	0/2/09	Control		Sontroot End: NA				
New Amend. Approval (I		e: <u>9/3/08</u>			Contract End: <u>NA</u> Amend. End:				
If this is a multi-year co		dmont places							
budget authority and fi	uture fiscal y	ear(s)-unbudg	anocate eted obli	gations:	among approved				
Approved Budget Find Authority →	scal Year: \$	_		- Fiscal Year(s) – eted Obligation →	\$				
Is this consistent with the	adopted bud	lget? □Yes	. □No	0					
If yes, which Task in	•	• —							
If no, has the budge									
		TRACT MAN	AGEME	NT					
Please mark an "X" ne		apply:							
☑ Intergovernmental	☐ Private	☐ Non-Loc	al 🗆	Local 🔲 Parti	ly Local				
Disadvantaged Business		⊠No □Yes	%	A					
Task Manager: Ty Schu	iling		Contrac	t Manager: Philip	Chu				
Will. Is	1	E/13/02			(
Task Manager Signature	, 7	Date,	Contrá	act Manager Signat	ure (Date)				
Mar Sturm		8/13/08	/						
Chief Financial Officer S Filename: C09069-pc	ignature	Date							

FUNDING AGREEMENT NO. C09069 BETWEEN

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

AND

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, CITY OF FONTANA

FOR

I-10 Corridor Logistics Access Project- Cherry Interchange Reconstruction

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this ____ day of ____ by and between the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (hereinafter referred to as "SANBAG") County of San Bernardino (hereinafter referred to as "COUNTY") and City of Fontana (hereinafter referred to as "CITY")

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, on April 10, 2008 the California Transportation Commission (CTC) approved \$30,773,000 in Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF) for this project; and

WHEREAS, the estimated total cost of the I-10 Corridor Logistics Access Project-Cherry Interchange Reconstruction (hereinafter referred to as the "PROJECT") is \$76,886,000, which include replacing the existing five-lane Cherry Ave bridge over I-10 with an eight-lane bridge, add one additional lane on all four ramps of the interchange, improve the Cherry-Valley intersection, and widen the existing Cherry Avenue bridge over the UP railroad from four lanes to eight lanes; and

WHEREAS, SANBAG, CITY and COUNTY are the co-sponsors of the project; and

WHEREAS, in order to secure the \$30,773,000 in TCIF funding, the sponsoring agencies will need to identify all project funding as being committed and available; and

WHEREAS, if the CITY, the COUNTY and SANBAG cannot provide the identified share of the project cost at the time of project construction, the TCIF funds will be lost;

NOW, THEREFORE, SANBAG, the CITY and the COUNTY agree to the following:

- 1. Local share is defined as the development contribution portion of the PROJECT cost established in the SANBAG Development Mitigation Nexus Study. This portion is established as 22.7% Percent County share and 12.7% Percent City share for all phases of the PROJECT and is estimated in the PROJECT Programming Request form (Attachment A) which maybe be updated from time to time to reflect current conditions
- 2. TCIF funds are considered as part of the public share funding and the local share is not reduced by the TCIF contribution.

Page 2 C09069-pc

- 3. The CITY and the COUNTY agrees to commit a minimum of 22.7% Percent County share and 12.7% Percent City share of the total PROJECT cost as its share of the funding of the PROJECT. The CITY and the COUNTY agrees that this funding will come from development contributions but that the CITY and the COUNTY may borrow from other accounts to provide this funding, if provision is made to reimburse such accounts with development contribution as they become available.
- 4. If at the time the funds are required the CITY and the COUNTY is not able to provide the required 22.7% Percent County share and 12.7% Percent City share of the PROJECT cost as estimated on the most current Project Programming Request form (Attachment A), SANBAG may provide a loan of Measure I dollars to cover the CITY and the COUNTY local share at the discretion of the SANBAG Board. Repayment of such a loan by development contributions would occur in accordance with the provision of a loan agreement between SANBAG the CITY and the COUNTY. Loan agreement will be established through the strategic plan process, which will result in specific policies and procedures governing these loans.
- 5. No commitment to loan Measure I funds for the PROJECT can be made until the SANBAG Board has approved a loan agreement containing the specific terms and conditions for the PROJECT.

County of San Bernardino
By: Paul Biane Chairman
Date:
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND PROCEDURE:
By: Attorney

By: Mark N. Nuaimi Mayor Date: APPROVED AS TO FORM AND PROCEDURE:

City of Fontana

By:

Attorney

Page 4 C09069-pc



2008 Project Programming Request (Project Information)

							Gene	iai ilistiuctiolis	
New Pro	ject	✓ Amendment	(Existing Project)			Date:	07/11/08	-
Callians D	igirigi.	E A		(૦) દ્રાસાર	N.	P(0) [D	1	Cirip Nio,	į
8		4680	00	0137T		020812			-
County	A ROU	te/Confelor	Pionael	Sportson/Local A	01=1010A1/	Mirk	0)	Blancon	į
SBD		10	SANBAG		~ <u>~~~~</u>	SCA		СО	3
Project till	5	Carried American		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		00/10	~		ì
	model to a visit and a second	C Reconstru	ction	<u></u>			<u>-:</u>		1
EMBK P		Project V		1 Size		i e		34	
	13.8			Phone			ij) (y@j) (5) 年		_
			sr Husain	909-884-8276			@sanbag.ca	a.gov	_
Eoesition 10	Stolect		वर्षानुसन्त अन्त	عرقدكا كالمنفلانا تفادم	Sterilize Des	(eigle) gjetut			
Houte 10 at	Cherry	Avenue inte	rcnange. Ini	s project improves interchange at Ch	interchang	e and mai	nline opera	tion and safety	
Gonpoi Das Ed		Ooksoo	oilmemeilemit	ie) Alejanicky	(4)	£ 310800		होत है। हो गाविह	
PA&ED PS&E		Caltrans SANBAG				<u> </u>		Ц	_
Right of W			, of			 		<u> </u>	_
Constructi		Fontana, City SANBAG	7 01			+		 	_
Legislatiwe									-
the party of the p	mbly:			Senate	. 22				Į
Congress			:	Jenate	5. JOZ				-
Purpose at			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
			iect is to reliev	e congestion for	rucks and	nassenger	vehicles ac	cessing land	
uses north	and sou	th of I-10. T	his stretch of	I-10 is one of the	most conge	sted freew	av seamen	ts in San	
Bernardino	County	, and more c	apacity is nee	ded to support rel	iable and ti	melv distrib	oution of ma	ainline truck	
traffic to and	d from g	growing logis	tics-related la	nd uses in the are	a. Inadeqi	uate capac	ity for freigl	ht at the	
interchange	s choke	es local road	s, inhibits eme	ergency vehicles,	and degrad	es air qual	ity.		
					_	•	•		
	Charles & School Co.								
भिरव्यक्ति हिस									
Project will	replace	existing five	-lane Cherry A	ve bridge over I-1	10 with an e	ight-lane b	ridge, add	one additional	
iane on all t	our ram	ips of the inte	erchange, pro	vide extensive imp	provements	at the Che	erry-Slover	intersection,	
improve the	onerry	-valley inter	section, and w	viden the existing	Cherry Ave	nue bridge	over the U	P railroad from	
project Thi	o eigni:i	anes. The p	roject Will also	provide needed	underneath	clearance	for the ultir	mate I-10 HOV	
project. Tri	is projet	e and evictin	ntially reduce	delays on this cor nd logistics centers	riaor, increa	ase throug	nput, and ir	nprove access	
Project Mil			y business ar	iu logistics center	S.				
		rt Approved		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	06/40/0	
Begin Envir	onment	al (PA&ED)	Phase	-				06/13/0	
		ironmental D			E Same	ini Tyyas	ID/EONSI	09/30/0 05/11/0	
Draft Projec			- COUNTRY - COUN		HANGE WE	CONTRACTOR	ND/I ONSI	04/30/0	
			ED Milestone	1)				03/31/0	
Begin Desig								02/15/0	
			st for Advertis	ement Milestone)				12/31/1	-
Begin Right	of Way	/ Phase						04/01/0	
End Right o	f Way F	Phase (Right	of Way Certif	ication Milestone)				04/01/1	_
Begin Cons	truction	Phase (Con	tract Award M	lilestone)				08/01/1	
End Constru	uction P	hase (Const	ruction Contra	act Acceptance M	ilestone)		_	12/31/1	
Begin Close								12/31/1	-
End Classo	ut Phon	A /Classout	Donort)					00/00/4	

Page 5 C09069-pc



(dollars in thousands and escalated to the programmed year) Date: 07/11/08 ला छोड़ालिस (111)(0) SBD 0137T 468000 Project ritie: I-10 / Cherry Ave I/C Reconstruction

	Existing Total Project Cost										
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Implementing Agency		
E&P (PA&ED)	\$11.51							55) <u>s</u>	Caltrans		
PS&E	\$1333							456	SANBAG		
R/W SUP (CT)	sisloj				1			9819			
CON SUP (CT)	1 430(0)							, S010)			
R/W	1(3)								Fontana, City of		
CON	1212199							1. 1618	SANBAG		
TOTAL	251.7930					A - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	1				
			Proposed 1	otal Projec	t Cost						
E&P (PA&ED)	3386				1		i i	2,11,12			
PS&E	0.3512										
R/W SUP (CT)											
CON SUP (CT)											
R/W	3: 3:018	% 61215¢									
CON				\$1. 1 · 1.							
TOTAL	1360,31815	5,5835		8 × 5±16		[stera.			

Fund No. 1:	RIP - State	Cash (ST-0	CASH)						Program Code
		20.XX.075.600							
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	45							į ž	
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									i
R/W									
CON							j		í
TOTAL	i ē						i	::5	
			Propo	sed Fundin	g		<i></i>	Harry or a second	Notes
E&P (PA&ED)					Ī				
PS&E							1		
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)					l			# n	
R/W						-	†		
CON						!			2
TOTAL							1		4

Fund No. 2:	RIP - State	Cash (ST-C	CASH)						Program Code
	20.XX.075.600								
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)				,		1	ker i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		Fontana, City of
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W	31,210}5							> 39 (6	
CON					Ĺ.				
TOTAL	\$1,01015					`[2 (1.12	
			Propo	sed Fundin					Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									City is requesting allocation
PS&E									extension to FY08/09
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)								2 1	
R/W	3,908							31 210}5	3
CON									
TOTAL	61)\$1616]	1, 21012	

Fund No. 3:	Loc Funds	- Local Tra	insportation	n Funds (L1	F)				Program Code		
	Existing Funding										
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency		
E&P (PA&ED)	<u> </u>							519]0]	Fontana, City of		
PS&E	ese.							:45			
R/W SUP (CT)											
CON SUP (CT)		angustal title i b			ت ندهه د د		tt				
R/W											
CON				-			1				
TOTAL	1235							ිටරක			
			Propo	sed Fundin	g	Charle and the second	di		Notes		
E&P (PA&ED)	935			T	Ĭ	<u> </u>		93	San Bernardino County DIF		
PS&E					· · · · · ·			j	Can Bernaramo Coamy Bri		
R/W SUP (CT)							†				
CON SUP (CT)								<u> </u>			
R/W					 		 				
CON							 				
TOTAL	995							124			

Fund No. 4:	Loc Funds -	Local Tra		Program Code					
	LOCAL FUNDS								
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)						[Fontana, City of
PS&E		بنب جبند. نداد							
R/W SUP (CT)	51910)							29860	
CON SUP (CT)	1 -1000	a i - Amaia (wase inc.	1-7-1					100	
R/W	9 236		[150	
CON	45 835							14. 3(4)	
TOTAL	2(0):2(2(2)							91. acte	
			Propo	sed Funding			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									Change to Development
PS&E									Impact Fee
R/W SUP (CT)	ľ								
CON SUP (CT)						 			
R/W									1
CON				, 25,151		<u> </u>		745 11.51	
TOTAL	[][A		35. ISA				25 dan	

Fund No. 5;						-			Program Code
Existing Funding									
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E	_								
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)			1			<u> </u>	·		
R/W			ngan Sada a na a a an	ř · · ·					
CON	1		T				: 8	ji -	
TOTAL									
				sed Fundin				11,	Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								ľ	Proposed TCIF
PS&E									ropocoa ron
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)						 	 		
R/W							——		
CON				30,773			-	99 7/3	
TOTAL				56,777/35				26.579	

Fund No. 6:									Program Code
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E						j			
R/W SUP (CT)				·					
CON SUP (CT)						· · · - · ·	j		
R/W	.9					i		j	
CON								Je saksi katal	
TOTAL									
				sed Funding			ir		Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								f	San Bernardino County
PS&E	5,822								Measure I (35% MI and
R/W SUP (CT)									64% County)
CON SUP (CT)									O T / O COUNTY)
R/W		5,595						র, ব্রা <u>র</u>	
CON				5,622				6.7%	
TOTAL	5, 322	হ:কংগুরী		5,522				(199	

SANBAG Contract No. C09070

by and between

San Bernardino Associated Governments

and

City of Fontana and county of San Bernardino

for

I-10 Corridor Logistics Access Project- Citrus Interchange Reconstruction

	FOR A	SCOUNTIN	G PURPOS	SES ONLY						
☐ Payable	Vendor Cont	ract #		Retention:	⊠ Original					
Receivable	Vendor ID _			☐ Yes % 🖾 No	□ Amendment					
Notes: Local DIF funding amount.	g commitment	agreement. I	Future Co-o	p agreement will det	ermine dollar					
0::				Previous Amendments Total:						
Original Contract:	\$ <u>0</u>	Previous	Previous Amendments Contingency Total: \$							
Contingency Amount:	\$ <u>0</u>	Current	Current Amendment: \$							
Contingency Amount.	Ψ <u>υ</u>	Current	Amendmen	\$						
Contingency Amount requires	specific authoriza	ition by Task Ma	nager prior to	release.						
Contract TOTAL →										
				ding allocation for the origin	nal contract or the amendment.					
<u>Task</u>	Cost Code	Funding Sou	irces		mounts					
NA NA	<u>NA</u>	TCIF			-					
			•							
			-	 \$						
Original Board Approved	d Contract Dat	e 9/3/08	Contrac	 ct Start: 9/2/08	Contract End: NA					
New Amend. Approval (.c. <u>5/5/00</u>			Amend. End:					
If this is a multi-year co		dment plea								
budget authority and f					mong approved					
Approved Budget F Authority →		_		Fiscal Year(s) – peted Obligation →	\$					
Is this consistent with th	e adopted bud	dget?	∕es □N	0	1					
If yes, which Task ir		•								
If no, has the budge										
100	CON	ITRACT MA	NAGEME	NT to the						
Please mark an "X" ne										
Intergovernmental	☐ Private	☐ Non-l		Local Partl	y Local					
Disadvantaged Busines	s Enterprise:	⊠No □Ye	es%							
Task Manager: Ty Schu	iling		Contra	ct Manager: Philip (Chu					
WH: 214	7	8/13/09	7		8/13/08					
Task Manager Signature	e	Date	Contra	aet Manager Signat.	Date!					
An At		8/13/08								
Chief Financial Officer S	Signature	Date	_							
Filename: C09070-pc										

140

FUNDING AGREEMENT NO. C09070 BETWEEN

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

AND

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, CITY OF FONTANA

FOR

I-10 Corridor Logistics Access Project- Citrus Interchange Reconstruction

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this _____ day of _____ by and between the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (hereinafter referred to as "SANBAG") County of San Bernardino (hereinafter referred to as "COUNTY") and City of Fontana (hereinafter referred to as "CITY")

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, on April 10, 2008 the California Transportation Commission (CTC) approved \$23,600,000 in Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF) for this project; and

WHEREAS, the estimated total cost of the I-10 Corridor Logistics Access Project- Citrus Interchange Reconstruction (hereinafter referred to as the "PROJECT") is \$54,458,000 which include replacing existing four-lane Citrus Ave bridge over I-10 with a seven-lane bridge, add one additional lane on all four ramps of the interchange, and widen the existing Citrus Avenue bridge over the UP railroad from three lanes to six lanes; and

WHEREAS, SANBAG, CITY and COUNTY are the co-sponsors of the project; and

WHEREAS, in order to secure the \$23,600,000 in TCIF funding, the sponsoring agencies will need to identify all project funding as being committed and available; and

WHEREAS, if the CITY, the COUNTY and SANBAG cannot provide the identified share of the project cost at the time of project construction, the TCIF funds will be lost;

NOW, THEREFORE, SANBAG, the CITY and the COUNTY agree to the following:

1. Local share is defined as the development contribution portion of the PROJECT cost established in the SANBAG Development Mitigation Nexus Study. This portion is established as 0.2% Percent County share and 38.2% Percent City share for all phases of the PROJECT and is estimated in the PROJECT Programming Request form (Attachment A) which maybe be updated from time to time to reflect current conditions

- 2. TCIF funds are considered as part of the public share funding and the local share is not reduced by the TCIF contribution.
- 3. The CITY and the COUNTY agrees to commit a minimum of 0.2% Percent County share and 38.2% Percent City share of the total PROJECT cost as its share of the funding of the PROJECT. The CITY and the COUNTY agrees that this funding will come from development contributions but that the CITY and the COUNTY may borrow from other accounts to provide this funding, if provision is made to reimburse such accounts with development contribution as they become available.
- 4. If at the time the funds are required the CITY and the COUNTY is not able to provide the required 0.2% Percent County share and 38.2% Percent City share of the PROJECT cost as estimated on the most current Project Programming Request form (Attachment A), SANBAG may provide a loan of Measure I dollars to cover the CITY and the COUNTY local share at the discretion of the SANBAG Board. Repayment of such a loan by development contributions would occur in accordance with the provision of a loan agreement between SANBAG the CITY and the COUNTY. Loan agreement will be established through the strategic plan process, which will result in specific policies and procedures governing these loans.
- 5. No commitment to loan Measure I funds for the PROJECT can be made until the SANBAG Board has approved a loan agreement containing the specific terms and conditions for the PROJECT.

San Bernardino County Transportation Authority	County of San Bernardino
By: Deborah Barmack Executive Director	By: Paul Biane Chairman
Date:	Date:
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND PROCEDURE:	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND PROCEDURE:
By: Jean-Rene Basle	Ву:
SANBAG County Counsel	Attorney

City of Fontana

By:	
	Mark N. Nuaimi Mayor
.	
Date:	,
	OVED AS TO FORM AND
PROC	CEDURE:
By:	
-	Attorney

Attachment A

2008 Project Programming Request

(Project Information)

General Instructions Amendment (Existing Project) New Project Date 07/11/08 TCRPNO 46810 0138G SDB45000 County Route/Corridor, Project/Sponsor/Lead:Agency MRO MRO Elements SBD 10 SANBAG **SCAG** CO Project Title Route 10 Citrus Avenue Interchange Reconstruction PMBK PM/And Project Mgr/contact Phone es & E-mail Address & A 14.8 15.5 Abunnasr Husain 909-884-8276 ahusain@sanbag.ca.gov Location, Project Limits, Description Scope of Work, Legislative Description Fontana - Interchange 10 at Citrus Avenue Interchange. This project improves interchange and mainline operation and safety in the city of Fontana by replacing a deficient interchange at Citrus Avenue Component Implementing Agency ABR3090 Letter of No Prejudice PA&ED Fontana, City of PS&E SANBAG Right of Way Fontana, City of Construction SANBAG Legislative districe: Assembly: 62 Senate: 32 Congressional: 43 Purpose and Need The primary purpose of this project is to relieve congestion for trucks and passenger vehicles accessing land uses north and south of I-10. This stretch of I-10 is one of the most congested freeway segments in San Bernardino County, and more capacity is needed to support reliable and timely distribution of mainline truck traffic to and from growing logistics-related land uses in the area. Inadequate capacity for freight at the interchanges chokes local roads, inhibits emergency vehicles, and degrades air quality. ProjectiBenefits. Project will replace existing four-lane Citrus Ave bridge over I-10 with a seven-lane bridge, add one additional lane on all four ramps of the interchange, and widen the existing Citrus Avenue bridge over the UP railroad from three lanes to six lanes. The project will also provide needed underneath clearance for the ultimate I-10 HOV project. This project will substantially reduce delays on this corridor, increase throughput, and improve access to key logistics hubs and existing business and logistics centers. Project Milestone Date Project Study Report Approved 10/30/01 Begin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase 10/15/01 Circulate Draft Environmental Document Document Type: ND/FONSI 08/24/07 Draft Project Report 05/04/07 End Environmental Phase (PA&ED Milestone) 10/31/08 Begin Design (PS&E) Phase 02/15/08 End Design Phase (Ready to List for Advertisement Milestone) 12/31/10 Begin Right of Way Phase 11/01/08 End Right of Way Phase (Right of Way Certification Milestone) 12/31/10 Begin Construction Phase (Contract Award Milestone) 04/01/11 End Construction Phase (Construction Contract Acceptance Milestone) 12/31/13 Begin Closeout Phase 12/31/13 End Closeout Phase (Closeout Report)

06/30/14

2008 Project Programming Request (Funding Information)

(dollars in thousands and escalated to the programmed year) Date: 07/11/08 EA. CT District PPNO. 45 TCRP Project No. 46810 0138G Project Title: Route 10 Citrus Avenue Interchange Reconstruction

			Existing To	otal Projec	t Cost				
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Implementing Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	1,100						100	1,100	Fontana, City of
PS&E	3,759					7.		3,759	SANBAG
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
RW	5.257							5,257	Fontana, City of
CON		43,496						43,196	SANBAG
TOTAL	10,116	43,196						53,312	
			Proposed 1	Total Proje	ct Cost				
E&P (PA&ED)	100								
PS&E	2,000							2,000	
R/W SUP (CT)	25								
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W	5,257							5,257	
CON				47,201				47,201	
TOTAL	7,257			47,201				54,458	

Fund No. 1:	RIP - State	Cash (ST-C	ASH)						Program Code
			Existi	ing Funding	9				20.XX.075.600
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									Fontana, City of
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)							100		
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W	9,238							3,238	
CON									
TOTAL	3,238							3,238	
			Propo	sed Fundir	ng				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
RW	3,238							3,238	
CON									
TOTAL	3,238			100				3,238	

Fund No. 2:	Loc Funds	- Locai Tra	nsportation	ı Funds (LTI	F)		-		Program Code
			Exist	ing Funding					LOCAL FUNDS
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									Fontana, City of
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)								1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W	2,019							2,019	
CON									
TOTAL	2,019							2,019	·
			Ргоро	sed Fundin	9				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									Developer Fees
PS&E									·
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON				20,912				20,912	
TOTAL				20.912				20,912	

С09070-рс

Fund No. 3:	Loc Funds	- Local Tran	sportation	Funds (LT	F)				Program Code
			Existi	ng Fundin	9				LOCAL FUNDS
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	1,100							1,100	Fontana, City of
PS&E	3,759	1.41						3,759	
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON		43,196						43,196	
TOTAL	4,859	43,196						48,055	
			Propo	sed Fundir	ıg		71072111		Notes
E&P (PA&ED)								era Grand de la	
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)								1.0	
R/W									
CON									
TOTAL									

Fund No. 4:					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				Program Code
			Existi	ng Funding	1				
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)							10.00		
CON SUP (CT)								edia e e	
R/W									
CON									
TOTAL									
			Propos	sed Fundin	g				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									Proposed TCIF
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W									
CON				23,601				23,601	
TOTAL				23,601				23,601	

Fund No. 5:									Program Code
			Existi	ing Funding					
Component	Prior	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)									
PS&E									
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W			- 10						
CON	0.00								
TOTAL									
			Propo	sed Fundin	g				Notes
E&P (PA&ED)									San Bernardino County
PS&E	2,000							2,000	Measure I
R/W SUP (CT)									
CON SUP (CT)									
R/W	2,019							2,019	
CON				2,688				2,688	
TOTAL	4,019			2 688				6.707	



San Bernardino Associated Governments

1170 W. 3rd Street, 2nd Floor San Bernardino, CA 92410-1715
Phone: (909) 884-8276 Fax: (909) 885-4407 Web: www.sanbag.ca.gov



■ San Bernardino County Transportation Commission ■ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority

■ San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency ■ Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

	Minute	Action		
	AGENDA ITE	M:8		
Date:	August 20, 2008			
Subject:	Quarterly Administrative Repo	rt on SANBAG Feder	al Funding Programs	
Recommendation:*	1) Receive report on quarterly	reporting and obligat	ion status.	
	2) Adopt a finding of compagencies.	oliance with obligation	on requirements for all affe	ected
Background:	Assembly Bill 1012 (AB1012) on the use of Congestion Mitigarnsportation Program (RST allocated by the SANBAG I eligible for obligation for the Federal Highway Administration amount of federal funds for apportionments are subject to agencies.	gation and Air Quality P) funds apportioned Board. Federal fund ee years. Obligation on (FHWA) to reimb a specific project.	y (CMAQ) and Regional Sur to San Bernardino County s apportioned to SANBAG refers to a commitment by urse an agency for an author After three years, unoblig	rface r and r are rized gated
	Because of SANBAG's required of funding pursuant to the proprotocol that requires recipient contracts with SANBAG. The approved project, the amount implementation. In addition, to submit quarterly progress retted the project. In accordance with provision of the contract constitutions.	visions of AB1012, the sof federal funds allesse contracts include of federal fund allocates the terms of the contract ports on their projects hadopted SANBAG	ne SANBAG Board establish ocated by SANBAG to enter a description of the scope of t	hed a r into of the roject oients on of henry
*			Approved	··
			nd Programs Committee	
		Moved:	Second:	
		In Favor:	Opposed: Abstained:	

ppc0808a-bct.doc Attachment: ppc0808a1-bct 37309000 Witnessed:

Plans and Programs Agenda Item August 20, 2008 Page 2

funding by action of the SANBAG Board pursuant to the protocol specified in each contract.

Quarterly Reporting Status

Tables 1-3 summarize the projects to which funds were allocated, their quarterly reporting history, and the status of the project. All agencies required to report to SANBAG on the status of their projects submitted quarterly reports by July 15^{th} as required by the terms of their contract.

Obligation Status

As mentioned earlier, federal funds are available for obligation for three years from the date of apportionment. Therefore, unobligated balances from federal apportionments through fiscal year 05/06 will be subject to reprogramming in November 2008. As shown in Tables 1 – 3, SANBAG has already met the AB1012 obligation requirements for the CMAQ funds for fiscal year 07/08 and has already met the AB1012 obligation requirements for the STP funds for fiscal year 07/08; therefore, staff does not expect any CMAQ or STP funds to be subject to reprogramming in November, as indicated by the negative amounts shown in each table under "Expected Amount Subject to Reprogramming 11/08". According to schedules provided by project sponsors in the quarterly reporting, SANBAG will meet the obligation requirements for fiscal year 08/09, as well.

As was reported to the SANBAG Board in June 2006, Caltrans has developed an Obligational Authority (OA) Management Policy that limits annual obligations to annual OA levels on a county-by-county basis. Because annual apportionments are almost always higher than annual OA levels, OA being the mechanism to access the apportionments, it is inevitable that SANBAG will eventually lose a portion of past apportionments through AB1012. In addition, FHWA has been issuing rescissions of federal apportionments. An analysis of the projected impacts of the OA Management Policy and the federal rescissions is necessary before allocation of additional funds.

Financial Impact:

Funding for SANBAG's monitoring of local assistance project status is consistent with the adopted SANBAG Budget Task No. 37309000. The absence of critical project status and progress information provided in quarterly reports could result in SANBAG's inability to assure timely obligation of funds to avoid loss to the agency and its members.

Reviewed By:

This item is scheduled for review by the Mountain Desert Committee on August 15, 2008 and the Planning and Programming Committee on August 20, 2008.

Responsible Staff:

Ty Schuiling, Director of Planning and Programming

ppc0808a-bct.doc Attachment: ppc0808a1-bct 37309000

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Program Status Mojave Desert Air Basin TABLE 1

Quarterly Reporting Status

Number Approval Amount Amount 1 2 3 01-052 12/06/00 \$224,000 \$0 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 20040701 08/06/03 \$13,846 \$290,150 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 20041303 08/06/03 \$1,142,000 \$0 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 20041303 08/06/03 \$1,142,000 \$1 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 2004026 10/05/05 \$1,350,000 \$1,000,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 20040828 08/06/03 \$1,81,000 \$556,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 20040828 10/05/05 \$1,81,000 \$556,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 01-048 12/06/00 \$931,987 \$102,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 00-107 02/02/00 \$71,91,01 \$80,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 00-107 08/06/03 \$1,137,000 \$265,590 Apr-08			Contract	Board	Allocated	Obligated	200	2008 Quarterly Reports	y Reports		
Adelanto/Auburn/Jonathan Paving 01-052 12/06/00 \$224,000 \$0 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 ransit Paratransit Vahicle Replacement - Gas 20040701 08/06/03 \$613,846 \$290,150 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 ransit Purchase Replace Alf Fuel Paratransit Vehicles 20020140 08/06/03 \$204,889 \$96,889 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 ransit Bus System - 27 Passenger Replacement Alf Fuel 200401303 08/06/03 \$1,142,000 \$1,000,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Rideshare Program for Mojave Desert Air Basin 20040828 08/06/03 \$1,331,000 \$1,000,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Park & Ride at Victor Valley College 01-048 12/06/00 \$131,010 \$880,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 1-15/Amargosa Park-n-Ride Lot Expansion 00-107 02/02/00 \$1,191,01 \$80,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Replace All: Fuel Paratransit Vehicles 100-1107 02/02/00 \$1,191,00 \$265,590 Apr-08 <td< th=""><th>Lead Agency</th><th>Project Description</th><th>Number</th><th>Approval</th><th>Amount</th><th>Amount</th><th>-</th><th>2</th><th>3</th><th>4</th><th>Comments</th></td<>	Lead Agency	Project Description	Number	Approval	Amount	Amount	-	2	3	4	Comments
ransit Paratransit Vahicie Replacement - Gas 20040701 08/06/03 \$613,846 \$290,150 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 ransit Purchase Replace Alf Fuel Paratransit Vehicles 20020140 08/06/03 \$204,889 \$96,889 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 ransit Bus System - 27 Passenger Replacement Alf Fuel 200401303 08/06/03 \$1,142,000 \$0 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Rideshare Program for Mojave Desert Air Basin 20040828 08/06/03 \$1,331,000 \$585,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Park & Ride at Victor Valley College 01-048 12/06/00 \$719,101 \$880,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 1-15/Amargosa Park-n-Ride Lot Expansion 00-107 02/02/00 \$1,191,01 \$80,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Replace All: Fuel Paratransit Vehicles 100-114 08/06/03 \$1,137,000 \$265,590 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07	Adelanto	Adelanto/Auburn/Jonathan Paving	01-052	12/06/00	\$224,000	\$	Apr-08	30-luc		Jan-08	RFA3 to be submitted 1/11
Purchase Replace At Fuel Paratransit Vehicles 20020140 08/06/03 \$204,889 \$96,889 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Bus System - 27 Passenger Replacement At Fuel 20041303 08/06/03 \$1,142,000 \$40 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 I.E. Transportation Management Center & PNR - Fontand 200626 10/05/05 \$1,350,000 \$1,000,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Rideshare Program for Mojave Desert Air Basin 20040826 08/06/03 \$1,831,000 \$555,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Park & Ride at Victor Valley College 01-048 12/06/00 \$931,987 \$102,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 I-15/Amargosa Park-n-Ride Lot Expansion 00-107 02/02/00 \$719,101 \$80,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Replace Alt. Fuel Paratransit Vehicles 2004082 08/06/03 \$1,137,000 \$255,590 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07	Barstow Transit	Paratransit Vehicle Replacement - Gas	20040701	60/90/80	\$613,846	\$290,150				Jan-08	Jan-08 Funds to obligate by end of FFY
Bus System - 27 Passenger Replacement Alt Fuel 20041303 08/06/03 \$1,142,000 \$0 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 I.E. Transportation Management Center & PNR - Fontand Rideshare Program for Mojave Desert Air Basin 20040826 10/05/05 \$1,350,000 \$1,000,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Park & Ride at Victor Valley College 01-048 12/06/00 \$931,987 \$102,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 I-15/Amargosa Park-n-Ride Lot Expansion 00-107 02/02/00 \$719,101 \$80,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Replace Alt. Fuel Paratransit Vehicles SBD41114 08/06/03 \$1,137,000 \$2555,500 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07	Barstow Transit	Purchase Replace Alt Fuel Paratransit Vehicles	20020140	60/90/80	\$204,889	\$96,889	Apr-08	90-JnC	Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 Funds programmed in future years
IE. Transportation Management Center & PNR - Fortand 200626 10/05/05 \$1,350,000 \$1,000,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Rideshare Program for Mojave Desert Air Basin 20040829 08/06/03 \$1,831,000 \$585,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Oct-07 Park & Ride at Victor Valley College 11-048 12/06/00 \$931,987 \$102,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Oct-07 Park & Ride at Victor Valley College 00-107 02/02/00 \$719,101 \$80,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Oct-07 Park & Ride Library College 11-05 Park & Ride Library Colle	Barstow Transit	Bus System - 27 Passenger Replacement Alt Fuel	20041303	08/06/03	\$1,142,000	O\$	Apr-08			Jan-08	Jan-08 Funds programmed in future years
Rideshare Program for Mojave Desert Air Basin 20040828 08/06/03 \$1,831,000 \$585,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Park & Ride at Victor Valley College 01-048 12/06/00 \$931,987 \$102,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 1-15/Amargosa Park-n-Ride Lot Expansion 00-107 02/02/00 \$719,101 \$80,000 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07 Replace Alt. Fuel Paratransit Vehicles SBD41114 08/06/03 \$1,137,000 \$265,590 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07	Caltrans	I.E. Transportation Management Center & PNR - Fontana	200626	10/05/05	\$1,350,000	\$1,000,000	Apr-08	Н		Jan-08	Jan-08 To FHWA.Obligation funds expected 8/08.Partial obligation 8/07.
Park & Ride at Victor Vallege	SANBAG	Rideshare Program for Moiave Desert Air Basin	20040828	08/06/03	\$1,831,000	\$585,000			Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 Funds programmed in future years
1-15/Amargosa Park-n-Ride Lot Expansion	Victorille	Park & Bide at Victor Valley College	01-048	12/06/00	\$931,987	\$102,000	Apr-08		Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 RFA3 to be re-submitted 8/08
Replace Alt. Fuel Paratransit Vehicles SBD41114 08/06/03 \$1,137,000 \$265,590 Apr-08 Jul-08 Oct-07	Victorville	1.15/Amaroosa Park-n-Ride Lot Expansion	00-107	02/02/00	\$719,101	\$80,000	Apr-08		Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 RFA3 to be re-submitted 7/08
TOTALS 823	WTA	Replace Alt. Fuel Paratransit Vehicles	SBD41114	60/90/80	\$1,137,000	\$265,590	Apr-08	-	Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 Funds programmed in future years
		TOTALS			\$8,153,823	\$2,419,629					

Obligation Status	
Fiscal Year 07/08:	Fiscal Year 08/09:
Apportionment Subject to Reprogramming \$14,093,009 Obligated Amount to Date* \$6,508,912 Additional Obligation Scheduled by 11/08 \$1,201,797 Expected Amount Subject to Reprogramming 11/08 \$6,382,300	Apportionment Subject to Reprogramming \$10,715,232 Obligated Amount for FY08/09 Additional Obligation Scheduled by 11/09 \$3,782,119 Expected Amount Subject to Reprogramming 11/09* \$6,933,113

* - Includes projects with closed contracts and projects funded through Board set-asides
** Includes projects with closed contracts and projects funded through Board set-asides
** The obligation of CMAQ apportionments is not monitored by Air Basin, therefore, over-obligation in the SCAB compensates for under-obligation in the MDAB
C - Project Complete/Cancelled
C - Project Complete/Cancelled
FFA1 - PSE Request for Authorization, RFA2 - ROW Request for Authorization, RFA3 - CONST Request for Authorization

TABLE 2 Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Program Status South Coast Air Basin

Quarterly Reporting Status

						Ì			I	
		Contract	Board	Allocated	Obligated	8	2008 Quarterly Reports	ly Reports		
Part Agency	Project Description	Number	Approval	Amount	Amount	-	2	က	4	Comments
Cattrans	I F. Transportation Management Center & PNR - Fontana	200626	10/05/05	\$5,050,000	0\$	Apr-08	80-JnC	Oct-07	Jan-08	To FHWA. Obligation of funds expected 8/08
Caltrans	1-215 - 1-10 to SR 30 HOV Lanes & Op Improvements	713	08/10/05	\$72,651,000	\$33,754,000	Apr-08	90-Inf	Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 RFA3 for Segment 1 to be submitted 2/09.
Colton	Washington St at Reche Cyn & Hunts Ln Mitigation	00-102	02/05/00	\$400,000	\$60,000	Apr-08	Jul-08	Oct-07	Jan-08	RFA3 to be submitted 12/08
MARTA	Replacement Paratransit Vehicle Purchase	200423	60/90/80	\$724,000	\$281,791	Apr-08	90-Inf	Oct-07	Jan-08	Funds programmed for 07/08 and future years
Omnitrans	Bus Replacement - Alt Fuel	SBD90105	60/90/80	\$9,317,000	\$9,317,000	Apr-08	Jul-08	Oct-07	Jan-08	Obligated 5/20/08
San Bernardino	-	20020802	10/05/05	\$6,608,000	\$0	Apr-08	30-Inc	Oct-07	Jan-08	Anticipate obligating funds before end of FFY
SANRAG		2001014	12/05/01	\$2,324,246	\$0	Apr-08	30-Jnc	Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 Funds programmed for 07/08
SANBAG	Rideshare Program for SCAB	20040827	12/01/04	\$6,063,000	\$2,038,000	Apr-08	30-luc	Oct-07	Jan-08	Funds programmed for 07/08 and future years
SANBAG	Valley Traffic Signal Coordination - Tier 3 & 4	20061502	60/90/80	\$6,500,000	0\$	Apr-08	90-Inf	Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 Funds programmed for 07/08 and future years
Unland	Upland Metrolink Station - Parking Expansion	20040825	10/05/05	\$2,776,800	0\$	Apr-08	90-Inf	Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 Funds programmed in future years
	TOTALS			\$112,414,046	\$45,450,791					

Obligation Status

Fiscal Year 07/08:	山	Fiscal Year 08/09:	
Apportionment Subject to Reprogramming \$54,816,737 Obligated Amount to Date* \$50,349,336 Additional Obligation Scheduled by 11/08 \$16,952,922 Expected Amount Subject to Reprogramming 11/08* \$-12,485,521	\$54,816,737 \$50,349,336 \$16,952,922 \$-12,485,521	Apportionment Subject to Reprogramming \$6,730,090 Obligated Amount for FY08/09 \$0 Additional Obligation Scheduled by 11/09 \$43,537,914 Expected Amount Subject to Reprogramming 11/09** \$-36,807,824 (includes amount over-obligated in FY 07/08)	\$6,730,090 \$0 \$43,537,914 \$-36,807,824

* Includes projects with closed contracts and projects funded through Board set asides
** Includes projects with closed contracts and projects funded through Board set asides
** The obligation of CMAQ apportionments is not monitored by Air Basin, therefore, over-obligation in the SCAB compensates for under-obligation in the MDAB
C - Project Complete/Cancelled
RFA1 - PSE Request for Authorization, RFA2 - ROW Request for Authorization, RFA2 - ROW Request for Authorization

Regional Surface Transportation Program Status TABLE 3

Quarterly Reporting Status

		Contract	Board	Allocated Obligated	Obligated	20	08 Quart	2008 Quarterly Reports	8	
Lead Agency	Project Description	Number	Approval	Amount	Amount	F	2	3	4	3 4 Comments
Adelanto	El Mirage Rehab & Paving - West City Limits to US395	01-036	12/07/05	\$1,375,466	0\$	\$0 Apr-08 Jul-08	90-Inc	Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 RFA3 to be submitted 11/2010
Caltrans	1-215 - 1-10 to SR30 HOV Lanes & Op Improvements	713	08/01/03	\$47,118,000	0\$	\$0 Apr-08 Jul-08	30-Jul	1 Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 Obligation scheduled for 08/09
Colton	Main St & Iowa Ave Intersection	01-077	02/07/01	\$250,000	\$19,476 Apr-08	Apr-08	80-Jnf	Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 RFA2 to be submitted 9/08
Hesperia	Ranchero Rd Grade Separation	SBD031276	12/07/05	\$3,650,000	0\$	\$0 Apr-08	90-Inc	Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 RFA3 to be submitted 7/09
San Bernardino	State St-16th St to Foothill-Extend 2 lanes	01-082	02/07/01	\$2,005,000	0\$	\$0 Apr-08	90-Inc	Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 RFA2 to be submitted 1/09
SB County	Needles Hwy-N St to Nevada StateLine-Realign, Rehab	01-033	10/04/00	\$2,478,840	\$2,478,840 \$1,043,975 Apr-08	Apr-08	30-Jul	Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 RFA2 to be submitted 5/09
SB County	National Trails Hwy - Passing Lanes	01-038	10/04/00	\$1,907,284	\$310,000 Apr-08	Apr-08	90-Inf	Oct-07	Jan-08	Jan-08 RFA2 to be submitted 5/09
	TOTALS			\$58,784,590	\$1,373,451					

Obligation Status

Apportionment Subject to Reprogramming \$50,749,240 Apportionment Subject to Reprogramming \$4,293,741 \$4,293,741 Additional Obligated Amount Subject to Reprogramming 11/08 \$-49,955,741	Fiscal Year 07/08:		Fiscal Year 08/09:	
\$66,854,340 Obligated Amount for FY08/09 \$0 Additional Obligation Scheduled by 11/09 \$-16,105,100 Expected Amount Subject to Reprogramming 11/09	Apportionment Subject to Reprogramming	\$50,749,240	Apportionment Subject to Reprogramming	\$4,293,741
\$0 Additional Obligation Scheduled by 11/09 \$-16,105,100 Expected Amount Subject to Reprogramming 11/09	Obligated Amount to Date*	\$66,854,340	Obligated Amount for FY08/09	\$0
\$-16,105,100	Additional Obligation Scheduled by 11/08	\$0	Additional Obligation Scheduled by 11/09	\$54,259,455
	Expected Amount Subject to Reprogramming 11/08	\$-16,105,100	Expected Amount Subject to Reprogramming 11/09	\$-49,965,714

NOTES:

AB Assembly Bill

ACE Alameda Corridor East

ACT Association for Commuter Transportation

ADA Americans with Disabilities Act

APTA American Public Transportation Association

AQMP Air Quality Management Plan

ATMIS Advanced Transportation Management Information Systems

BAT Barstow Area Transit
CAC Call Answering Center

CALACT California Association for Coordination Transportation CALCOG California Association of Councils of Governments

CALSAFE California Committee for Service Authorities for Freeway Emergencies

CALTRANS California Department of Transportation

CARB California Air Resources Board
CEQA California Environmental Quality Act

CHP California Highway Patrol

CMAQ Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality
CMP Congestion Management Program

CNG Compressed Natural Gas
COG Council of Governments

CSAC California State Association of Counties

CTA California Transit Association

CTAA Community Transportation Association of America

CTC California Transportation Commission
CTC County Transportation Commission
CTP Comprehensive Transportation Plan

DMO Data Management Office
DOT Department of Transportation
E&H Elderly and Handicapped
EIR Environmental Impact Report
EIS Environmental Impact Statement

EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

ETC Employee Transportation Coordinator
FEIS Final Environmental Impact Statement
FHWA Federal Highway Administration

FSP Freeway Service Patrol FTA Federal Transit Administration

FTIP Federal Transportation Improvement Program
GFOA Government Finance Officers Association

GIS Geographic Information Systems

HOV High-Occupancy Vehicle

ICMA International City/County Management Association

ICTC Interstate Clean Transportation Corridor IEEP Inland Empire Economic Partnership

ISTEA Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991
IIP/ITIP Interregional Transportation Improvement Program

ITS Intelligent Transportation Systems
IVDA Inland Valley Development Agency
JARC Job Access Reverse Commute

LACMTA Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

LNG Liquefied Natural Gas
LTF Local Transportation Funds

MAGLEV Magnetic Levitation

MARTA Mountain Area Regional Transportation Authority

MBTA Morongo Basin Transit Authority

MDAB Mojave Desert Air Basin

MDAQMD Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District

MIS Major Investment Study

MOU Memorandum of Understanding

MPO Metropolitan Planning Organization

MSRC Mobile Source Air Pollution Reduction Review Committee

MTP Metropolitan Transportation Plan

NAT Needles Area Transit
OA Obligation Authority

OCTA Orange County Transportation Authority

OWP Overall Work Program

PA&ED Project Approval and Environmental Document

PASTACC Public and Specialized Transportation Advisory and Coordinating Council

PDT Project Development Team

PPM Planning, Programming and Monitoring Funds

PSR Project Study Report

PTA Public Transportation Account
PVEA Petroleum Violation Escrow Account

RCTC Riverside County Transportation Commission

RDA Redevelopment Agency RFP Request for Proposal

RIP Regional Improvement Program

ROD Record of Decision

RTAC Regional Transportation Agencies' Coalition
RTIP Regional Transportation Improvement Program

RTP Regional Transportation Plan

RTPA Regional Transportation Planning Agencies

SB Senate Bill

SAFE Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies SANBAG San Bernardino Associated Governments

SCAB South Coast Air Basin

SCAG Southern California Association of Governments
SCAQMD South Coast Air Quality Management District
SCRRA Southern California Regional Rail Authority

SED Socioeconomic Data SHA State Highway Account

SHOPP State Highway Operations and Protection Program

SOV Single-Occupant Vehicle
SRTP Short Range Transit Plan
STAF State Transit Assistance Funds

STIP State Transportation Improvement Program

STP Surface Transportation Program
TAC Technical Advisory Committee
TCM Transportation Control Measure
TCRP Traffic Congestion Relief Program
TDA Transportation Development Act
TEA Transportation Enhancement Activities
TEA-21 Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century

TIA Traffic Impact Analysis

TMC Transportation Management Center

TMEE Traffic Management and Environmental Enhancement

TOC Traffic Operations Center

TOPRS Transit Operator Performance Reporting System

TSM Transportation Systems Management USFWS United States Fish and Wildlife Service

UZAs Urbanized Areas

VCTC Ventura County Transportation Commission

VVTA Victor Valley Transit Authority

WRCOG Western Riverside Council of Governments

San Bernardino Associated Governments



MISSION STATEMENT

To enhance the quality of life for all residents, San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) will:

- Improve cooperative regional planning
- Develop an accessible, efficient, multi-modal transportation system
- Strengthen economic development efforts
- Exert leadership in creative problem solving

To successfully accomplish this mission, SANBAG will foster enhanced relationships among all of its stakeholders while adding to the value of local governments.

> Approved June 2, 1993 Reaffirmed March 6, 1996